SAMPLE

Building Tomorrow's Writers

The Story's Keeper in the Machine Era

Aiman JoeHanz

Free sample: Introduction & Chapter 1

putrabooks.github.io

Buy the full book:

Carousell carousell.sg/p/building-tomorrow-s-writers-ebook-pdf-1471356570

Ko-fi

Payhip

Contents

Introduction

Chapter 1: The Definition

Chapter 2: The Instrument Case

Chapter 3: The Five Courts

Chapter 4: The Brief

Chapter 5: The Flood

Chapter 6: The Ledger

Chapter 7: The Difficult Trades

Chapter 8: The Arithmetic

Chapter 9: The Program

Chapter 10: The Path

Introduction

This book is about the people who write what a culture reads, and it starts where every book in this series starts: with the two stories told about the trade, both of them wrong.

The muse's legend is the first: writer as burning genius, vessel of inspiration, tormented figure channelling rather than crafting, suffering worn as credential, process imagined as possession, drafts imagined as eruptions, every habit however ruinous romanticised into the gift's price. The cynic's sketch is the second, drawn by a practical public: writer as lottery ticket, starving romantic typing in obscurity, vanity project with a printer, workshop cliché chasing a market that never pays, all folded into the sneer the trade has never outlived, that everyone has a story in them and most should keep it there. Both agree on one proposition, that a writer's actual method neither exists nor matters, and both fail identically: neither describes a professional answerable for a story that has to reach a reader and hold them to the final page.

What this volume follows is a working writer, treated as every trade in this series is treated: as somebody who keeps something. The product is a story reaching and holding, a piece made for a genuine audience, prose assembled sentence by sentence, revision that earns attention, delivery on the terms the byline gave. What gets kept is the story itself, along with the culture's supply of honest narrative, the craft's living standards, the reader's confidence in that byline, and the next generation carrying the sentences past this one's retirement. The chapters build a working machine: honest definition, instrument case, five courts, the pitch's brief, the machine era's flood, the money ledger, difficult trades, compounding arithmetic, a working program, and the road from a first tuition draft to an honest exit. This trade's own words carry the series' promise: prose a reader cannot believe was an echo from the start, and a story kept honestly, drafted, revised and delivered to a stranger who stays, being the only thing a shelf ever truly holds.

Chapter 1: The Definition

The two folklores

Two bad sales pitches surround this writer, one from admirers, one from despisers, and the pitches rhyme. Admirers offer the muse's legend: writer as burning genius, taken at midnight, pulling story from a place craft cannot reach. Such a figure owns a gift imagined as possession rather than practice, suffering worn as its evidence, blockage read as the muse's silence instead of the schedule's failure, vices however lethal romanticised into the price of greatness, the drink that wrote the books, the ruin that fed the poems, chaos converted into necessary weather. Despisers offer the cynic's portrait: writer as the culture's lottery ticket, one of millions typing a novel nobody requested, workshop graduates holding degrees and no readers, self-published shelves nobody browses, freelance poverty worn as market proof. It condenses into the sneer that will not die: the public's unwritten novels should stay unwritten, and the industry exists to keep the drawer closed, on the theory that an unread shelf proves a con. Both stories get consumed because both flatter: the legend flatters the writer who needs suffering to count and the reader who wants books consecrated, while the sneer flatters a public wanting its own unread novel excused and its attention saved for things that pay. Folklore, in this series' exact sense, means both: stories sold so an unexamined trade looks readable, owing nothing to the actual working product.

The definition, against both

The definition follows this series' pattern, applied to writing: a working writer keeps the story — the accountable professional whose product is the story that reaches and holds. The product is either concrete or it does not exist: a piece aimed at a real audience and designed for what that audience actually reads; the prose built at the sentence's craft — the clarity, the rhythm, the detail that lands; the revision that earns the draft its second read; the delivery that meets the deadline, the brief, and the byline's promise; and the reader held — the piece finished, the page turned, the stranger who stays to the last line and comes back for the next one. The legend cannot sustain it, because keeping needs instruments — the working block, the reader's map, the revision's discipline — and the instruments are what the legend legend's price: the writer who carries them stops being the vessel and becomes, in the legend's own vocabulary, a functionary. The sneer cannot hold it either, because the definition's story must reach: the writer who cannot hold a reader to the last page has not kept a beautiful dream from a crude market; they have failed at the trade's only test, which is the stranger who stays — and the

reader, held, is the sneer's refutation, delivered in the only language the practical public respects: attention, given freely and finished. The professional who keeps the definition is neither a vessel nor a lottery ticket; they answer, which is the only category a shelf can actually be stocked with.

The kept thing

This volume needs its word for the kept thing, as every volume here does. A writer keeps the story: strange, perishable, load-bearing, the instrument the entire trade exists to carry. A story has parts, and each is a professional judgement. The telling is the sentence craft that moves a reader past the friction of the page. The honesty holds nonfiction to its facts and fiction to its own truth, both at the standard the byline promises. The trust is the reader's earned belief that the next page will repay the last one. The audience is the live relationship between telling and strangers reached, which decays while unread exactly as knowledge does. Every part is judgement made by hand and correctable only by hand. The working truth is the one this series keeps finding: the public does not buy the writing, which belongs to the legend; the public buys a read it can actually finish. A story unkept for a decade becomes a shelf the next generation cannot draw on, however earnestly it was started.

The definition at the desk

Test the definition at the worst hour and see whether it holds. A writer wakes to a commissioned piece due Friday that will not close, a feed full of composed prose winning the algorithms, a novel stalled in the middle, and invoices three weeks late. Legend follows romance: the stall becomes the muse's silence, the deadline a matter beneath the gift, the composed prose a crime, the invoices left to poetry. The sneer follows surrender: abandon the piece, shelve the novel, announce that the flood proves the market's verdict, quit by lunchtime. A working writer does neither. Diagnose the wall with the draft's own instruments and walk around it by sitting down again. Close the piece the oldest way there is, rereading the outline, finding the ending, running the last revision. Read the composed prose accurately as the era's water and price it by the gate this volume names. Send the invoices, because a trade that will not bill is a hobby wearing a byline. That morning took judgement and craft, and from outside it looked like a person typing. That is the definition practised: a story that reaches and holds, under a name, with the reader likelier to trust the next one.

The imitator's apprenticeship

How does a writer actually form? Not by waiting. The honest apprenticeship is theft of technique: read the passage that worked, take it apart, find why. The writer who loves a certain opening line reads it aloud, then writes the same move with different words, and again, and again, until the move lives in the hand rather than the page it was taken from. Every real writer begins as an imitator, and the folklores agree on the one thing they both get wrong: the imitator's stage is not a failure to be ashamed of but the tuition itself. The legend says the great one was never an imitator, born with a voice entire. The sneer says the imitator is a hack. The working truth sits between: every voice is assembled from admired wreckage, and the writer who will not study the masters honestly — line by line, movement by movement, with a pen — is skipping the only training the trade has ever had.

The taste gap

There is a period in every writer's formation when the taste outruns the skill. The writer can tell that a page is wrong before being able to write a right one. This gap is the most demoralizing passage in the trade, and both folklores misread it. The legend calls it proof of genius misunderstood, and the sneer calls it proof of mediocrity. The working answer: the gap is evidence the training is working. Taste arrives first because it is built from reading; skill arrives second because it is built from pages written. The writer in the gap has exactly one obligation, which is to keep producing finished work through it. The unfinished pile is the price of the crossing, and every writer who has ever made it across has paid it in full.

The working conditions myth

Ask a waiting writer what they are waiting for and the answer is often a room, a silence, a grant, a season of life — the perfect conditions. The legend builds the myth: the great book was written in the perfect attic. The sneer inverts it: no one can afford the attic, so the trade is a rich person's hobby. The working truth is duller and better. Conditions matter on the margin and not at all on the mean. The professional's craft is writing in the conditions that exist: the notebook on the train, the draft between shifts, the hour before the household wakes. The writer who can only work under perfection has trained a dependency, not a skill. The pages the trade actually remembers were mostly written by tired people in imperfect rooms who came back to the desk anyway.

The reader's existence proof

Every draft has a hidden failure mode both folklores share: writing to the mirror instead of to a reader. The legend writes to the admired dead, expecting applause from a gallery that cannot speak; the sneer writes to the enemy, composed entirely of the comeback the writer will never have to deliver. Both are fictional audiences, and fiction about the audience produces prose about the writer. The working correction is the existence proof: one real, specific, reachable stranger, imagined in full — what they know, what they want, what makes them stop reading. The writer who can name the reader can test every paragraph against them. The writer who cannot name the reader is not writing for anyone, and the page eventually shows it.

The reread's verdict

Definition needs a test, and the trade's own test is the reread. A story that is consumed once is a delivery; a story that bears rereading is a possession, and the difference is definitional. The reread works because the good story was built with more in it than the first pass could spend: the setups that mean differently once the ending is known, the sentences that answer questions the reader had not yet learned to ask. The machine era sharpens the test, because composed fluency is engineered for exactly one pass — smooth, frictionless, and empty on the return visit. The working writer asks of every draft not only whether a stranger will finish it but whether that stranger, a year later, would recognize the trapdoor in the third chapter. A story that does not survive the reread was not built; it was merely assembled, and the reread always finds out.

The two definitions of original

The trade runs on a word it never defines carefully. The legend's original means unprecedented — the book like no other, the voice from nowhere, and by that standard almost nothing qualifies, which is the point. The sneer's original means merely new to the moment, and by that standard the flood is infinite, which is also the point. The working definition is third: originality is what one particular lifetime notices in a material everyone shares. No one else has read the canon through that exact childhood, that exact set of desks and rejections, that exact set of loves and funerals. The material is common. The witness is not. A writer who understands this stops performing novelty and starts recording the witness — and paradoxically becomes, by every working standard, more original than either folklore can afford to notice.

The promise kept

Say plainly what the keeper keeps, because the flood has made the answer commercial. The writer keeps a promise to the stranger, and the promise has a form the reader can verify even when no one audits the process. The events were lived or were honestly invented and labeled as such. The claims were checked or hedged as claims. The ending was earned by what the book actually did, not by the last chapter's need to stop. This is the trust the stranger extends when the pages are taken home, and it is the only inventory the trade has ever had. The machine can produce pages, but a promise is a personal liability: someone must be answerable for it when it is broken. The working writer signs the book the way a builder signs a beam — knowing the record will eventually put weight on it, and that the signature, in the end, is the product.

The keeper's oath

Collect the promise into its working form and it reads like an oath, the same in the poem and the manual. The work is mine: written by this hand, and where this hand borrowed, it credited. The work is true: to the facts where it claims them, to the feeling where it claims that instead, and it never dresses one up as the other to win an argument it could not win honestly. The work is finished: revised until the page does what it promises, and released only then, because the reader's hours are the currency the trade actually spends. The oath binds nobody but the one who takes it, which is why the folklores never mention it: the legend cannot afford the clause about the hours, the sneer cannot afford the clause about the truth. The keeper's authority is exactly this — that when the stranger opens the book, the stranger is holding the only artifact in the market that a person has signed personally.

The window, not the mirror

Two sentences distinguish the working product from both folklores. The mirror's prose is about the writer: the feelings performed, the style displayed, the sentences arranged so the author's cleverness is the subject of every paragraph. The window's prose is about what is on the other side of the glass: a world, a person, a question, rendered so the glass itself disappears. Both can be competent; only one is a product. The stranger pays for the window, because the stranger has a life that needs to see through. The legend mistakes the mirror for the window and calls the confusion art; the sneer calls everything a mirror and wonders why anyone pays. The working test is a glance at any page: when the reader describes the book afterward, does the description contain the world, or only the writer's performance of it?

The unautomatable act

Ask, finally, what the keeper does that the flood cannot price to zero, and the answer is not craft, which the machines have; it is not fluency, which they have in abundance; and it is not speed, where they are unbeatable. The unautomatable act is the personal liability: the putting of one accountable life behind the words. A machine cannot mean it. It cannot stand in the record's court and answer for the claim, because there is no one there to answer. The writer's entire working edge, in the flood's era, reduces to this one capacity for meaning it — the witnessed experience, the checked fact, the sentence the writer will defend at cost to their own name. That is why the trade survives its obituaries. It was never really in the sentence business. It was in the promise business, and the promise needs a throat to put it in.

What the legend costs

Price the first folklore across a whole career and the bill is long, because the legend charges its believers in installments. It charges the waiting years — the writer who will not submit until the work is worthy of the legend, and never learns that worthiness is what submission builds. It charges the revisions the legend is too proud to make, since genius does not take notes. It charges the craft's tools, skipped as clerical: the log, the drafts, the workshop, all beneath the story the writer is living. It charges the worst interest of all, on the working relationships: the writer performing genius is a colleague who cannot be told anything, and the trade quietly stops trying, which the legend reads as tribute. The legend's final invoice arrives at the shelf: a career of defended drafts that were never finished, praised for promise by the gallery, while the readers — the only court that counts — were never given anything to read at all.

What the sneer costs

Price the second folklore honestly, because the sneer presents itself as the realistic option and bills like the opposite. It charges the writer's own pages first: prose written with contempt for the form arrives faint, and the readers the sneer claims to speak for decline it politely. It charges the relationships: the sneering colleague teaches no one, learns from no one, and sits in the workshop delivering verdicts the room stops bringing work to. It charges the market's chances, because the sneer refuses the cases that need making — the interview performed badly on principle, the pitch refused as selling out — and then blames the rigged room for the absence of results. The sneer's final invoice is the mirror of the legend's: a career of unfinished resentment, certain the trade was never worth the work, with nothing on the shelf to check the

claim against. The keeper, meanwhile, just writes the next book — the only answer either folklore has ever been given.

The definition, taken to work

A definition here earns its keep on an ordinary Tuesday, so end the chapter at the desk. On the Tuesday in question, the working writer is the person who notices what one particular lifetime notices, writes it at the highest craft the practice currently holds, keeps every promise the pages make, and stands behind the result under a name that can be held to it. That is the whole trade once both costumes come off. The legend's writer never appears on a Tuesday, since visitations run to no schedule. The sneer's writer is absent too, contempt being no way to finish a draft. An actual writer's Tuesday is duller and harder: hours held, pages made, promise kept, work signed. The machine era has not moved this definition one inch. It has only cleared away the excuses around it — the waiting for perfect conditions, the pretending that fluency was the job — until what remains is the trade's oldest sentence, written by every writer worth reading: I noticed this, I made it true, and here is my name. A story the reader cannot believe was an echo all along, and the whole working life is the business of being believed.

The two folklores' common lie

Set the folklores side by side at the end and one lie turns out to be shared, and it is the trade's most important sentence to unlearn. The legend and the sneer agree that the writer is a special kind of person — chosen in one telling, deluded in the other — and that the trade's central question is whether one has the gift or the goods. This is false, and everything working in this trade's history says so. Writing is a practice with learnable instruments, auditable decisions, and a compounding that rewards exactly the behaviors any honest trade rewards: study, production, verification, and kept promises. The folklores exist because both versions of the specialness feel better than the plain truth — the legend flatters the waiting writer, and the sneer comforts the writer who stopped. The keeper's account gives up both comforts. It says that the work is ordinary, that ordinary is not an insult, that the ordinary is exactly why a career can be built by anyone who will do the unromantic things on schedule, and that the only genuinely special thing in the whole trade is the same thing it always was: a stranger, at the end of a long day, choosing to spend an hour on pages that kept their promise.

A last word on the folklores

Be fair to them at the close. The legend and the sneer both carry a truth that got inflated into a costume. What the legend has right is that the work needs an inner motive no market supplies, and the writer with nothing to say should not pretend otherwise. What the sneer has right is that the trade attracts self-flattery of every kind, and that the correction is a service. The keeper keeps both truths, disposes of both costumes, and gets back to the desk.

The stranger's hour

End where the trade's whole economy actually happens: a stranger, at the end of a long day, opening a book nobody recommended. The folklores describe the trade from the writer's side of that moment and never see the decision for what it is — a working person spending the scarcest currency they have, an hour of a life, on pages with only one guarantee attached: a name that promised. The legend pictures the reader adoring; the sneer pictures the reader duped. The reader is neither. The reader is an auditor with a full schedule, deciding in the first pages whether this writer keeps promises, and recording the verdict in the only ledger that matters — whether the hour was spent well and whether another of this writer's hours will be risked next season. Every practice, instrument, court, and discipline in this book exists to win that audit honestly.

Why the folklores persist

Why these two stories outlast every correction the trade has made is a fair question, and the answer is the one this series keeps arriving at: they do work for people who are not writers. The legend does work for an industry that would rather have the labour romanticised and the payment reduced, so the gift stands in for its own wage, and for readers who prefer books venerated and authors consumed as characters. The sneer does work for platforms that would price a composed substitute beneath the craft, for amateurs who want an unread manuscript excused, and for commentators who like the culture's book talk run as a contest of status. Nobody who ever carried a manuscript from opening draft to a stranger's finished read drew either picture; galleries did. A working professional refuses to take any of it personally, and this volume refuses likewise, treating the stories as market conditions in the way each volume here treats water in its flood chapter. What the definition must do is make itself unmissable, so that ten minutes of an actual working week, watched with the correct question, shows the trade plainly. The question is what this professional answers for, and the answer now sits on the table: a story that reaches and holds, plus the reader's trust that carries it forward. The

remainder of the volume opens the case.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Aiman JoeHanz writes the Building Tomorrow's People series about the working professions of the machine era. Each volume takes one trade, names the two folklores that mis-sell it, and rebuilds the professional's actual working week from the floor up: the instruments, the customers, the disciplines, the money, and the path.