SAMPLE

Building Tomorrow's Innovators

The Change's Keeper in the Machine Era

Aiman JoeHanz

Free sample: Introduction & Chapter 1

putrabooks.github.io

Buy the full book:

Carousell carousell.sg/p/building-tomorrow-s-innovators-ebook-pdf-1471382926

Ko-fi

Payhip

Contents

Introduction

Chapter 1 — The Definition

Chapter 2 — The Territories

Chapter 3 — The Path

Chapter 4 — The Working Day

Chapter 5 — The Portfolio

Chapter 6 — The Burnout

Chapter 7 — The Conversation

Chapter 8 — The Instruments

Chapter 9 — The Year Whole

Chapter 10 — The Long Path

Introduction

The world keeps two pictures of the innovator, and neither of them can survive a budget review. The first picture is the lone genius: the inventor in the garage, the eureka at three in the morning, the wild-haired prophet decades ahead of a public that will only understand him after he is gone. The second picture is the disruption guru: the keynote speaker on the conference stage, the slide that promises that everything you know is about to be demolished, the consultant who arrives with a framework, a metaphor, and an invoice. The first picture says innovation is a lightning strike; the second says it is a weather report; and both are wrong in the way every folklore is wrong, which is that each one takes the trade's most photogenic surface and mistakes it for the profession's whole body. The garage inventor is a story about a moment. The disruption guru is a story about a mood. Neither is a story about the work.

This book is about the working innovator: the professional whose job is to take a possibility and turn it into a change that is actually adopted and actually keeps working. The engineer who shepherds a new process past the middle managers who will have to live with it. The product lead who runs the pilot, reads the evidence, and recommends the kill when the evidence says kill. The intrapreneur inside an old company who has learned that the hardest part of a new idea is not having it. The public-sector innovator who must win adoption in an institution that cannot be fired and cannot go out of business. The researcher who wants the discovery to leave the lab and land in someone's real life. These are the people this book follows, and the trade's actual defining fact, the one the garage and the stage both hide, is that the innovator does not own the idea. The innovator owns the change. Anyone can have an idea; the profession is the craft of making one real, in a world that is indifferent to it, and then keeping it real after the applause has moved on.

The machine era has made this trade both easier and stranger. The instruments arrived in a flood: the generative drafts that produce a first prototype in an afternoon, the simulation that tests a design before a single part is cut, the cheap fabrication that turns a sketch into a physical object by mail, the data pipelines that show what customers actually do rather than what they say. Generation, the part of innovation the folklores love most, has become nearly free. And that is exactly why the trade's center of gravity has moved. When ideas are cheap, the scarce thing is judgment: knowing which cheap idea is worth the expensive work of adoption, which pilot is honest, which evidence is real, and when to stop. The machine made ideas abundant and adoption no less hard, and it made the innovator's hardest question, the

one the gurus never ask, the defining question of the decade: not can you invent it, but can you make anyone use it.

This book is written for the professional standing in that middle, and for the student deciding whether to enter it, and for the manager who has to decide what to fund. It follows the series' method, which is to anatomize one profession honestly: the folklores it must shed, the definition it must carry, the territories where it is practiced, the path that leads in, the working day, the craft's central run, the burnout that waits at the far end, the conversations the trade cannot avoid, the instruments the machine era handed it, the shape of one honest year, and the long path ahead. The change is the thing this book keeps. Everything else, the ideas, the stages, the frameworks, is machinery.

Chapter 1 — The Definition

The Two Pictures

Every honest definition in this series begins by weighing the folklores, because a profession's public pictures are not harmless decoration; they are the recruiting posters, the expectations, and the excuses, and until both of the innovator's pictures have been held up and found wanting, no definition can be earned. The first picture is the lone genius, and its scripture is the eureka: the garage, the midnight, the apple falling, the bathtub, the flash of insight that arrives complete and changes everything. The genius picture is seductive because it flatters the amateur: it says that the decisive act is a thought, that the thought is private, and that the world's failure to appreciate it is proof of how far ahead the thinker is. It is also, as a description of the trade, almost entirely false. The garages in the famous stories are almost always the visible tip of a buried organization: the machinist who actually made the part, the partner who paid the rent, the first customer who took the risk, the decades of failed attempts before the one that worked. The eureka is the moment the story needs, not the moment the work happened. What the genius picture hides is the whole second half of the trade, which is everything after the idea: the building, the testing, the persuading, the selling, the keeping.

The second picture is the disruption guru, and its scripture is the keynote. The guru does not invent anything; the guru predicts. The stage, the spotlight, the borrowed graph trending upward, the phrase that will be on a thousand slide decks by Friday, the claim that the entire industry is about to be flattened by a wave that only the guru can see. The disruption picture is seductive because it flatters the listener into feeling informed: it converts the vertigo of the machine era into a story with a villain (the incumbent), a hero (the disruptor), and a happy ending (the wave). It is also, as a description of the trade, a business model rather than a discipline. The guru is paid for the mood, not for the change; the guru's product is a forecast, and forecasts are never audited. What the disruption picture hides is the labor: the gurus never carry a pilot through a resistant department, never sit with the evidence that says the idea is wrong, never keep a change alive in its third unglamorous year. The genius and the guru are the trade's two extremes, its myth and its marketing, and the professional this book defends lives and works in the long country between them.

The Definition

The definition this book will defend, and hold the trade to for nine more

chapters, is this: the innovator is the professional who carries a possibility into an adopted, still-working change. The innovator is not the person who has the idea; the innovator is the person who makes the idea real in the world and keeps it real. The unit of the trade is not the insight but the change, and a change is only finished when someone else is using it, has chosen it over the old way, and keeps choosing it after the novelty wears off. This is the sentence the folklores cannot survive. The genius owns a moment; the guru owns a prediction; the innovator owns a change, and a change is owned by carrying it all the way to a working adoption and then holding it there.

The definition has a keeper, because every profession in this series keeps something, and the innovator's keeper is the change itself. To keep a change is to keep four promises in sequence, and the trade is the discipline of keeping all four: the problem seen truly, the option built small, the evidence faced honestly, and the adoption won and held. A profession that keeps only some of these is not innovating; it is performing one of the four and calling it the whole. The chapter will earn each promise in turn, because the definition is not a slogan; it is the load-bearing structure of the trade, and every folklore fails at a different promise.

The Problem Seen Truly

The first promise is that the problem was seen truly, and it is the promise most often skipped in silence. The amateur begins with a solution and hunts for a problem to justify it; the guru begins with a trend and hunts for victims of it; the working innovator begins with a problem and refuses to move until the problem has been seen as it actually is, in the life of the person who has it. Seeing a problem truly means separating the stated problem from the real one: the customer who asks for a faster horse, the department that asks for a new tool when it needs a new process, the executive who asks for a dashboard when the decision it would inform is one nobody has the authority to make. The problem is almost never where the first request points. It is found by watching, by counting, by sitting with the work as it is actually done rather than as the process diagram says it is done. The first promise is the promise that the innovator did not fall in love with a solution before understanding the life the solution must enter.

The Option Built Small

The second promise is that the option was built small: small enough to be honest, cheap enough to be killed, real enough to teach. The folklore of the grand launch, the big bet, the all-or-nothing commitment, is the enemy of this

promise, because a large build hides its own wrongness until it is too expensive to abandon. The trade's instrument is the small honest test: the prototype, the pilot, the limited rollout, the version that answers one question at a time. Building small is not timidity; it is the discipline that makes the other promises possible. A small build can be wrong without ruin, which is what allows the third promise to be kept at all. The innovator who cannot build small cannot afford to learn, and the professional who cannot afford to learn is not innovating; they are gambling with someone else's money and calling it courage.

The Evidence Faced

The third promise is that the evidence was faced, which is the promise that separates the profession from the hobby. Facing evidence means letting the small build tell the truth even when the truth is that the idea should die. It means reading the pilot's numbers instead of the pilot's anecdotes, weighing the disconfirming data at least as heavily as the confirming, and holding the kill decision as a real option that a serious professional exercises without shame. The trade's hardest sentence is not "it worked"; it is "it did not work, and we stopped." The folklore cannot say that sentence, because both the genius and the guru are selling an outcome, and an outcome cannot be killed. The working innovator kills things for a living. A portfolio in which nothing has ever been killed is not a portfolio of successes; it is a portfolio of things nobody has honestly examined.

The Adoption Won and Held

The fourth promise is that the adoption was won and then held, and it is the promise the folklores never mention because it is the least photogenic and the most decisive. Winning adoption means the change has landed in someone's real workflow, that a real person has chosen the new way over the old, and that the choice has survived the first inconvenience. Adoption is where the organization's immune system does its work: the middle manager whose team must change its habits, the colleague whose competence the old way protected, the customer whose switching cost nobody budgeted. Winning adoption is a political and human craft as much as a technical one, and the innovator who cannot practice it will watch every good idea die at the door of the very people it was meant to help. And holding adoption is the promise that extends past the launch: the change must keep working after the funding wave recedes, which means the maintenance, the training, the small repairs, and the quiet ownership that no launch party ever celebrates.

What the Definition Is Not

The definition draws a border, and the border excludes several trades that share the innovator's vocabulary. The inventor is not automatically an innovator: an invention is a new thing, an innovation is a new thing adopted, and the gap between them is the whole profession. The founder is not automatically an innovator: founders may innovate, but founding is a trade of its own, about building a company rather than carrying a change, and many founders spend their innovation budget on the company itself. The creative is not automatically an innovator: creativity generates options, and the innovator must generate, choose, build, and adopt, which is four trades in one. And the futurist is not an innovator at all: the futurist describes worlds that may arrive, while the innovator is accountable for a change that did arrive. The definition is not a demotion of these trades; it is a clarification of which one this book anatomizes, because a reader who confuses them will spend a career having ideas and wondering why the world never moved.

The Keeper's Stake

Every keeper in this series carries a stake, the thing the professional personally risks, and the innovator's stake is reputation spent on a change that may fail. The innovator puts their name on the possibility before the evidence arrives, and if the change dies, the name is the thing that pays. This is why the trade is not for the merely enthusiastic: enthusiasm is cheap when nothing is at stake, and the innovator's enthusiasm is expensive because it is staked. The stake is also the trade's discipline. Because the innovator's judgment is on the line, the innovator has a reason to face the evidence that the enthusiast lacks, a reason to build small, a reason to kill early, and a reason to see the problem truly. The stake is not a burden the profession tolerates; it is the mechanism that keeps the profession honest, and a professional who has learned to innovate without staking anything has learned to be a commentator instead.

The Machine Era's Shift

The machine era has changed which promise is hardest, and the change is the reason this book exists. Generation, the promise the folklores celebrate, has become nearly free: the machine drafts, simulates, renders, and fabricates at a cost that collapses every year, and the innovator's first drafts now arrive in hours rather than months. What has not become free is judgment and adoption. The abundance of cheap options has made the second promise harder to keep honestly, because there is always another prototype to build

and never a shortage of ideas to avoid deciding among. It has made the third promise harder, because a flood of generative output produces a flood of confirming evidence that is easy to mistake for truth. And it has made the fourth promise no easier at all, because people adopt changes at the speed of trust, and trust is not a technology. The machine made ideas abundant; it left the innovator exactly where the profession has always lived, at the narrow gate where a possibility has to become a change someone else will keep.

The Definition Held

The definition stands, and the trade rests on it: an innovator turns a bare possibility into a change that is adopted and still running, and the turning is kept honest by four promises, a true problem, a small option, faced evidence, and a held adoption. It is a demanding definition, and it is meant to be, because the trade's two folklores have spent a century making it sound easy, and the reader who wants the easy version has the garage and the keynote stage and needs nothing from this book. The reader who wants the profession, the one that survives a budget review and a resistant department and a third unglamorous year, will find the definition tested against the real territories of the trade in the next chapter, where the innovator's map is drawn and the reader is placed on it.

The Definition's Four Clauses

The definition earns its keep one clause at a time, and each clause is a small discipline the folklore skips. The first clause is that the problem is seen truly, which means the innovator has stood where the problem lives and described it in the words of the person who has it, rather than in the language of a solution the innovator already prefers. The second clause is that the option is built small, which means the first test of any possibility is the smallest honest experiment that could tell the innovator something real, run in weeks and priced in the money that buys a decision rather than the money that buys a monument. The third clause is that the evidence is faced, which means the innovator has written down, before the test, what result would count as a failure, and then read the result against that standard rather than against hope. The fourth clause is that the adoption is won and held, which means the change has landed in someone else's real workflow and stayed there, maintained and used, after the innovator's attention moved on. The four clauses are the whole trade, and an innovation missing any one of them is not an innovation but one of its imitations.

The Imitations and Their Names

Because the definition is strict, the imitations have names, and the innovator should be able to recognize all of them. The idea with no problem is the invention, and it is the folklore's favorite, a clever thing looking for someone who needs it. The problem with no test is the opinion, and it is the most common failure in organizations that talk about innovation without ever running an experiment. The test with no honesty is the demo, and it is the most dangerous, because a demo can be tuned to succeed and teach the organization nothing. The adoption without the keeping is the launch, and it is the one that costs the most, because the organization pays for the change, celebrates it, and then watches it decay for want of maintenance. The definition exists to give these imitations their plain names, because a trade that cannot distinguish the real thing from its imitations will fill its portfolio with the imitations and wonder why the money never produces a change.

The Keeper and the Machine

The machine era sharpens the definition rather than softening it, and the sharpening is worth stating plainly. The tools of the machine era have made the first two clauses cheap: a problem can be researched in an afternoon, an option can be prototyped in a day, a draft can be generated in seconds. What the tools have made more expensive, relatively, is the third and fourth clauses, the facing of evidence and the winning of adoption, because evidence still has to be faced by a person who would rather not, and adoption still has to be won inside an organization that resists the unfamiliar. The keeper's trade in the machine era is therefore more concentrated than ever on the two clauses the machine cannot perform, and the innovator who understands this stops competing on the generation of ideas, which is now nearly free, and competes on judgment and adoption, which remain the profession's scarce and durable goods.

The Definition Held to the Reader

The chapter closes by holding the definition up to the reader, because the trade is not the property of the people with the title. Every professional on this shelf makes changes, and every one of them faces the same four clauses in miniature. The operator who redesigns a workaround, the manager who changes a process, the teacher who changes a lesson, the parent who changes a household routine, all of them are practicing the trade, well or badly, according to whether they see the problem truly, build the option small, face the evidence, and win and hold the adoption. The innovator is not a

separate species; the innovator is the person who practices the trade deliberately, keeps the instruments, and can therefore do it again on purpose. The definition is the reader's invitation into that deliberate practice, and the nine chapters that follow walk it clause by clause.

The Word the Trade Uses Loosely

The word innovation is used so loosely that it has nearly lost its meaning, and the trade pays for the looseness every day. In ordinary speech, innovation means anything new and vaguely exciting, a new flavor, a new slogan, a new feature, a new meeting format, and the looseness lets organizations call almost anything innovation and then wonder why their innovation programs produce so little. The definition restores the word by making it costly: an innovation is a change that has passed through four clauses and survived, and the survival is the test that separates the trade from the talk. The innovator who insists on the strict meaning, who asks a colleague who claims an innovation which of the four clauses it has passed, is not being pedantic; they are protecting the trade from the inflation that would make its currency worthless.

The Definition and the Reader's Own Work

The definition is not abstract, and the chapter insists the reader test it on their own work before moving on. Take the last change the reader tried to make, at work or at home, and run it through the four clauses. Was the problem seen truly, in the words of the person who had it, or was it seen through the solution the reader already wanted? Was the option built small, in weeks and at the cost of a decision, or was it launched at the cost of a monument? Was the evidence faced against a standard written in advance, or was it read against the reader's hope? And was the adoption won and held, or was the change announced and then quietly abandoned? Most readers will find that their last change passed two clauses and failed two, and the finding is not an indictment; it is the trade's honest baseline, and the definition's whole value is that it makes the missing clauses visible before the next change is attempted.

The Definition Across the Series

The definition belongs to a series, and the chapter is honest about how it sits among its siblings. Every profession in this collection keeps something, and the innovator keeps the change, which is the profession that every other profession on the shelf depends on, because every machine in the series was once somebody's change. The keeper of the change is therefore the trade

behind the trades, the one that turns a possibility into a thing that runs, and the reader who has met the other keepers in this collection will recognize the innovator's keeper as the one whose work is upstream of all the rest. The definition's placement in the series is the chapter's last observation: the innovator is not a specialist among specialists but the generalist of change, and the four clauses the reader has just tested are the same four clauses every other keeper in the collection has had to satisfy in their own form.

The Problem Seen Truly

The first clause deserves its own page, because it is the one the trade most often skips and the one whose skipping costs the most. To see a problem truly is to see it from where it lives, in the words of the person who has it, with the workaround they invented and the cost they have learned to absorb. The innovator who sees the problem from a distance, from a dashboard or a report or a strategy slide, sees a shadow of it, and a shadow is enough to start a project and not enough to start a change. The discipline of the first clause is the discipline of standing on the floor, of asking the operator to show rather than to tell, of hearing the problem at full length before naming a solution. The first clause is slow, and it is the cheapest speed the innovator will ever buy, because a problem seen truly saves a year that a problem seen falsely would spend.

The Option Built Small

The second clause is the trade's answer to uncertainty, and its logic is worth stating plainly. No one can know in advance whether a possibility will work, and the only honest way to find out is to spend as little as possible to learn as much as possible, which means the first test of any bet should be the smallest experiment that could produce a real signal. The option built small is a discipline against the innovator's own ambition, which wants to build the full thing before testing, and against the organization's desire for a demonstration, which wants to see the finished article. The small option is unglamorous and it is the trade's engine, because a portfolio of small options can be wrong many times cheaply, and cheap wrongness is the only kind of wrongness a portfolio can afford.

The Evidence Faced and the Adoption Held

The last two clauses are the ones that separate the trade from its imitations, and they are the hardest because they require the innovator to subordinate their own wish. To face the evidence is to have named, ahead of the test, the

result that would count against the bet, and then to read what happened against that mark rather than against hope, which is the moment the innovator's affection for their own bet is most severely tested. To win and hold the adoption is to carry the change into someone else's real workflow and keep it there, which is the long, unglamorous phase the folklores skip entirely. The two clauses are the trade's integrity and its persistence, and the innovator who can face evidence without flinching and hold adoption without tiring has the whole profession in hand.

The Definition Against the Clock

The definition has an enemy, and the enemy is the clock. The organization's clock wants a result by the quarter, and the definition's four clauses do not fit a quarter: a problem seen truly can take a month, an option built small another, and the adoption, the longest clause of all, can take a year or more. The definition's whole difficulty is that it is honest about the time the trade actually takes, and the organization's clock is honest about the time the organization actually has, and the two are reconciled only by the innovator's skill at pricing bets at the cost to the next decision. The definition does not ask the organization to wait for the whole change; it asks the organization to fund the next piece of evidence, which fits the quarter, and to keep funding it, quarter after quarter, until the change lands. The definition and the clock are reconciled by the small option, and the innovator who understands this can run an honest year inside a quarterly organization, which is the trade's daily miracle.

The Definition as the Reader's Ruler

The definition is finally the reader's ruler, and the chapter ends there. It is the instrument by which the reader can measure any change, their own or another's, and the measurement is the trade's gift to anyone who learns it. The ruler has four marks, and a change that passes all four is an innovation, and a change that passes fewer is one of the imitations the chapter already named. The reader who carries the ruler will find it uncomfortable, because most of what organizations call innovation passes two marks and fails two, and the reader who carries it anyway has joined the trade at the level of judgment rather than vocabulary. The definition is the reader's, and the ruler is the chapter's last gift, because a trade is only as good as the standard it holds its own work to, and the four clauses are that standard.

The Definition's First Friend and First Enemy

The definition's first friend is the operator who shows the innovator a workaround, because the workaround is the problem seen truly in physical form, and the definition's first enemy is the innovator's own solution, because the solution arrives before the problem is understood. The chapter's opening caution and its closing gift are the same: the trade begins when the innovator learns to hold the solution back until the problem has been heard at full length. The friend and the enemy are both inside the innovator's own habits, and the definition's four clauses are simply the discipline of letting the friend speak first.

The Definition and the Organization's Words

The organization's words can carry the definition or betray it, and the chapter's closing note is that the innovator should watch the vocabulary. An organization that says innovation when it means invention has lost the first clause; an organization that says innovation when it means announcement has lost the fourth; an organization that says innovation when it means experimentation has kept the middle two and dropped the ends. The innovator who listens to the organization's words can hear which clauses the organization actually values, and the innovator who gently corrects the vocabulary is doing the trade's quiet work of restoring the definition to the room. The words matter because the words set the standard, and the standard sets the trade.

The Definition and the Reader's Patience

The definition asks the reader for patience, and the chapter's final note is that patience is the trade's first skill rather than its last. The four clauses take time, and the reader who wants a change by Friday will skip three of them and get a launch instead of an innovation. The patience is not passive; it is the active practice of funding the next piece of evidence and refusing to declare a change before it has been adopted. The reader who gives the definition its time receives the trade; the reader who does not receives the imitations, and the choice is made every time a problem is heard.

The Definition, Kept

The definition is kept by practice rather than by memory, and the chapter's last line is an instruction: run the four clauses on the next change, and the definition will be the reader's. The trade asks for no credential and grants no title; it asks only that the clauses be run, and the reader who runs them has the trade in hand.

The Definition and the Next Change

The definition's real test is not this chapter but the reader's next change, and the chapter's closing thought is that the trade is proven in practice rather than in argument. The reader who runs the four clauses on the next change will find the definition confirmed or corrected by their own experience, and either result is the trade working, because the trade is a method that improves by being used. The definition is the reader's to test, and the testing is the practice.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Aiman JoeHanz writes the Building Tomorrow's People series. Innovation, the volume he calls the change's keeper, is the trade that must persuade an organisation to abandon something that still works. The book follows the idea from the whiteboard to the pilot to the budget cycle that quietly ends it, and it takes seriously the difference between a novelty that gets funded and a change that survives its second year. It is a study of internal politics conducted with the same instruments as engineering.