SAMPLE

Building Tomorrow's Financial Analysts

The Judgment's Keeper in the Machine Era

Aiman JoeHanz

Free sample: Introduction & Chapter 1

putrabooks.github.io

Buy the full book:

Carousell carousell.sg/p/building-tomorrow-s-financial-analysts-ebook-pdf-1471373825

Ko-fi

Payhip

Contents

Introduction

Chapter 1: The Analyst's Definition

Chapter 2: The Workpapers Behind a Rating

Chapter 3: The Bench That Audits the Call

Chapter 4: The Coverage Brief

Chapter 5: The Screener Machines and Their Waterline

Chapter 6: The Forecast and Fee Ledger

Chapter 7: The Calls That Break Analysts

Chapter 8: The Arithmetic of Coverage Years

Chapter 9: The Annual Research Cycle

Chapter 10: The Analyst's Thirty Years

Introduction

Two caricatures stand guard over the public's picture of the financial analyst, and most people meet the profession through one of the two before ever seeing a live call. The first is the mover's legend: the star whose single published word lifts a stock before lunch, the conference-stage voice the market leans toward, a figure whose profession is imagined as a talent for being right first and loudest, and whose calls, the legend insists, are a scoreboard the doubter can read any quarter. The second is the automaton's obituary: the doomed spreadsheet operator, twelve hours deep in cells the terminal now refreshes alone, who mistook data entry for reasoning and formatting for foresight, and whose profession the warning presses have spent a decade announcing is a commodity the machines will price at zero the moment someone asks. The legend flatters the trade into a gift. The obituary flatters the machines into a ruling. Both caricatures miss the same thing. Neither shows a working professional answerable for a judgment through its entire life, and so neither can explain the analyst whose tenure is quieter than either picture allows, who survived the star's churn by refusing to be the story and the automaton's churn by refusing to be the cell, and who is still there at year ten, maintaining a sourced, time-stamped, falsifiable judgment a committee can act on while both caricatures have long since left the building.

This book replaces both caricatures with a working definition. The financial analyst is the judgment's keeper: a professional whose output is not a number, a model, or a hunch but a defensible judgment — an estimate with its sources named, a thesis with its falsifiers stated, a recommendation with its timestamp and its author attached — and whose name stands behind the judgment's whole life, from the first coverage conversation through the quarter that proves it wrong. The chapters ahead treat the analysis of companies the way this series treats every honest craft, as a discipline with instruments, verdicts, briefs, and a floor under its income. The trade's true product is not the model, the screen's data, or the story about the quarter. It is the kept judgment, and the whole book builds toward the practices that make keeping possible: the definition settled in chapter one, the instrument case in chapter two, the courts that judge a call in chapter three, the engagement brief in chapter four, the machine era's flood priced honestly in chapter five, the money ledger in chapter six, the difficult trades in chapter seven, the growth's arithmetic in chapter eight, the working program in chapter nine, and the practitioner's path in chapter ten, from the tuition years through the voice-harvested record and the long maturation to the seat chosen deliberately. A discipline earns the name profession only once its operator can still run its dull pages on an

unremarkable working Tuesday, and the working analyst will find that Tuesday's cadence here, along with the tuition ledger, the harvested voice, the long maturation, and the exit chosen on purpose that close every volume in this series.

The chapters that follow run on the series' working rhythm: definitions sturdy enough for a first client meeting, instruments a working analyst can open on a Monday morning, courts that convene on a fixed calendar, and a one-page program, with the practitioner's road, the tuition, the voice, the maturation, and the honest exit arriving to close the ledger the way any serious trade's account should close. The professional whose hands are already in this work, and the reader still weighing whether to enter it, stand on the same honest floor: what the job truly is, what it truly pays, which parts the machines have genuinely absorbed, and which duties belong to a keeper alone.

The book's method is the series' method: no folklore is left standing, no instrument is described without its floor, and no chapter ends without the working week that turns its pages into the practice's calendar. The trade's technical material, the statements, the terminals, the feeds, the drafting engines, is discussed generically, because the engineering changes yearly and the instruments that carry it change rarely, and the professional who keeps the instruments keeps up with the engineering as a matter of course.

One reading note before the first chapter. The craft the book describes is regulated differently across jurisdictions and seats, and the chapters' instruments, tribunals, and briefs are written to the standard of the working trade rather than to any single rulebook: the analyst practicing under a specific compliance regime should read the book's disciplines as the floor beneath their local rules, never as a substitute for them. The chapters assume no technical background beyond an adult's working knowledge of money and companies, and every instrument is described in the committee's own language rather than the industry's, because the judgment that cannot be explained at the table is the judgment this whole book was written to prevent.

Chapter 1: The Analyst's Definition

The room the analyst enters

Picture the room before the folklore ever enters it. A desk or a bench, two or three screens, a queue of companies, and a calendar governed by other people's deadlines: the quarterly reports that arrive when the quarter decides, the client questions that arrive when the market has already moved, the committee meetings that arrive on a schedule the analyst does not set. Somewhere behind the screens sits a folder — today it is a drive, yesterday it was a drawer — and in that folder lives the only thing the room actually produces: a set of written judgments about what specific companies are worth and why, each one carrying a name and a date. The trade's furniture is unremarkable. The judgment is not. A pension fund moves, or does not move, a billion dollars on the strength of a page that some analyst wrote at an ordinary desk on some ordinary Tuesday, and the fund never meets the analyst and never learns the name. That is the room this volume describes: not the stage of the conference keynote, and not the boiler room of the legend's imagination, but the working desk where a judgment is built, kept, defended, and — this is the part the folklore never shows — voluntarily surrendered when the evidence turns.

The profession sits between two parties who never meet. On one side are the owners of money — the funds, the institutions, the households saving toward a decade — who cannot read every company and so pay someone to read some of them well. On the other side are the companies themselves, complex machines of revenue and cost and management intent that neither stand still nor explain themselves honestly by accident. The analyst is the tradesperson standing between the two: the professional who converts a company's noisy, partial, occasionally misleading self-report into a judgment the money can act on. Strip away the terminals, the titles, the acronym soup of the industry, and the job is that sentence. Everything else in this book — the model, the estimate file, the courts, the brief, the ledger — exists to make that sentence answerable.

The legend and the obituary both deny the room. The star of the mover's legend lives on the stage, where the judgment is a performance and the audience forgets the timestamp. The automaton of the obituary lives in the cell, where the judgment is a refresh and the audience forgets there was ever an author. The working analyst lives in neither place, and the first discipline of the trade is refusing both addresses. The judgment that matters is written, dated, sourced, and signed — and the rest of this chapter builds that definition

piece by piece.

The trade's three clocks

Every craft runs on clocks, and the analyst's trade runs on three that never quite agree. The first is the company's clock: the fiscal quarter, the earnings cadence, the capital cycle, the years a factory takes to pay for itself. It is slow, patient, and indifferent to the observer. The second is the market's clock: the tick, the multiple, the sentiment that reprices in an afternoon what the company clock will take a year to earn. It is fast, skittish, and permanently tempted to answer questions the company has not finished asking. The third is the analyst's own clock: the working week, the maintenance calendar, the review cadence by which the judgment itself is kept honest. The amateur lives on the market's clock and calls it the company's. The star lives on the market's clock and calls it their own. The automaton lives on the company's clock and thinks the other two are noise. The keeper lives on the analyst's clock — the only one of the three clocks the professional genuinely owns — and uses it to translate between the other two, telling the money what the company is doing and telling the calendar when the company deserves to be reread.

The three clocks are why the trade has two products that amateurs confuse. The near product is the estimate: what the company will report this quarter and this year, a forecast with a horizon measured in months. The far product is the judgment: what the company is worth and why, a claim with a horizon measured in years, of which the estimate is merely one supporting beam. An analyst can be right about the quarter and wrong about the company — that is the star's failure, a scoreboard of wins inside a wasted decade. An analyst can be right about the company and wrong all year about the quarters — that is the automaton's failure, a correct thesis nobody funds because the near calls kept shaking the money loose. The keeper refuses to choose. The judgment owns the estimate the way a tower owns its floors: the far claim is built out of near claims, and the near claims are meaningless without the far claim to hang them from.

The clocks also explain the trade's famous volatility of mood. The analyst who works the company's clock will look idle during the market's tantrums and busy during the market's yawns; the analyst who lives on the market's clock looks busy always and produces nothing durable. The working week in chapter nine is built entirely around this: the calendar, not the tick, decides when the judgment is reopened. Until then, the tick is data, not instruction. A price move is a question the market is asking the thesis — sometimes the only question it

asks all day — and the disciplined answer is either "the thesis has a page for this" or "the thesis has no page for this," and the page, not the panic, decides.

The trade the analyst actually makes

Say it plainly, because the folklore cannot. The analyst sells decision-grade opinion. Not data — the feeds sell data, at prices falling toward zero. Not access — the bankers and the salespeople sell access, with rules the analyst does not write. Not arithmetic — the machines do arithmetic now, instantly, and have for years. What the analyst sells is the one product the money cannot generate for itself: a written, sourced, time-stamped judgment about a specific company, backed by a name that can be questioned, reopened, and held to account when the company does what it was predicted not to do. The client is not buying the number. The client is buying the number's author — the keeping that made the number worth reading.

This is why the trade's honest unit of production is the note, the model, and the follow-up — the judgment's written life — and not the appearance, the call on television, or the ranking in a magazine. The appearance is marketing, sometimes the employer's and sometimes the analyst's own, and marketing is a legitimate expense only when it sells a product that exists. The ranking is the market's attempt to grade a trade it cannot see, built mostly from the noise of the market's own clock. The product is the judgment, and every hour spent anywhere else is an hour spent off the product.

It is worth pausing on what "decision-grade" means, because it is the adjective doing all the work. A decision-grade judgment is one that a committee, not a sentiment, can act on. It has a direction, a number, a horizon, and a falsifier: not merely "the company looks interesting" but "the shares are worth more than the market pays, for these three named reasons, falsified if these two named things happen." The committee can disagree with the judgment — that is the point. A judgment that cannot be disagreed with is a mood, not an opinion, and moods are free, which is why the market is full of them and why the money pays no one for another one. The keeper's product costs money precisely because it can be proven wrong in public, on record, with the author's name attached. Cheap opinion is ambient. Kept judgment is scarce. The trade's whole economics rest on that scarcity, and chapter five will show exactly how the machine era attacked it.

The companies and their manners

The analyst's raw material is the company, and companies have manners the

way people do — some revealing, some rehearsed. The public statements are the company's formal costume: the filings that are audited, the releases that are drafted to flatter, the calls that are rehearsed to the second. The informal record is where the trade's edge historically lived: the supplier who mentions an order book that quietly filled, the store counter with more traffic than the filings implied, the hiring page that contradicts the announced cost program, the competitor's pricing sheet that explains a margin nobody has explained. The craftspeople who built this trade a generation ago were collectors of the informal record, and the legend's "channel checks" are the folk memory of that collecting. The keeper keeps both records and labels them — audited, drafted, gathered, assumed — because the judgment's honesty is mostly a labeling discipline: a number that is honestly labeled as an assumption can be defended; a number dishonestly labeled as a fact cannot be repaired later by any amount of being right.

The manners differ by the kind of company, and the trade's rough map is worth carrying. A business that sells things people must buy behaves one way: revenue is durable, margins are the story, and the judgment lives or dies on cost discipline and regulation. A business that sells things people might buy behaves another: demand is the story, and the judgment lives or dies on the product cycle and the mood of the customer. A business that sells to other businesses behaves a third: the customer list is short, each name is heavy, and the judgment lives or dies on a handful of contracts the public may never see clearly. The analyst who analyzes all three of them through one template produces the same wrong page in three separate ways, and the trade has a name for the result: the model that projects confidently and predicts nothing. The instruments in chapter two are deliberately built to flex across the three manners, because the manners are the raw material's grain, and the craft that ignores the grain saws it wrongly.

Beneath the manners sits the one thing every company shares: it is run by people with incentives, and the incentives are only sometimes the shareholder's. The chief executive is paid to tell a growth story; the chief financial officer is paid to make the quarter land inside the guidance; the founders are paid by options that mature on a calendar the public does not control. None of this is villainy; it is design, and the analyst who takes any single statement at face value is not truly trusting the company but misunderstanding its machinery. The keeper's habit is the oldest one in the trade: read what the company does in the numbers it cannot dress, and read what it says only after. The cash flow statement, the inventory line, the

receivables, the order of the footnotes — these are the company's involuntary diary, and they are the first instrument because they are the only testimony the company cannot rehearse.

The seat as an ecosystem

The seat an analyst occupies is not a room so much as an ecosystem, and the definition of the trade changes with the seat's surroundings. On the sell side — the research houses whose notes are distributed to many clients — the analyst writes for strangers, under a compliance regime that reads every page before the public does, and the product is breadth and speed: the first defensible judgment, published to many. On the buy side — the funds' own benches — the analyst writes for colleagues, the portfolio manager across the desk or the committee at the end of the hall, and the product is depth and trust: the judgment a specific book of capital will actually act on. The industry has spent a generation arguing which seat is the "real" analyst seat, and the argument is a waste of a good question. The judgment is the same product in both seats; the difference is the audience's size and the feedback's bluntness. The sell-side keeper learns to write for readers who cannot be questioned in person; the buy-side keeper learns to survive readers who question everything in person. Both disciplines feed the other, and the professionals who move between seats mid-career, as many do, tend to be the rounder analysts for exactly that reason.

Around whichever seat stands a supporting cast the analyst does not choose: the salespeople who want the judgment in one quotable line, the bankers who want the coverage to be warm, the executives who want the note to be kind, the compliance officers who want it to be provable, and the employer who wants all of it at once. The folklore casts these pressures as the trade's corruption, and the chapters ahead treat them instead as the trade's weather: constant, structural, and survivable only with instruments. The wall between the analyst's judgment and the banker's calendar is the single most load-bearing wall in the entire ecosystem — the industry's rules and the series' courts both exist because that wall, historically, has failed. The keeper treats that wall as part of the job's own definition, not an insult to the job's purity: a judgment that only survives in a room with no pressures is a judgment that has never been tested, and the fund that buys it is buying an untried product.

The ecosystem pays the analyst through two different channels that the money-obsessed chapters will later price honestly: the salary and the bonus of the seat, and, separately, the record — the track of published, time-stamped

judgments that follows the analyst from seat to seat across a career. The first channel is loud, erratic, and, in part, political. The second is quiet, cumulative, and unforgiving without appeal. Everything in this book leans toward the second, because the legend lives on the first while the career is built on the second channel, and the whole difference between star and keeper is which channel the professional actually works.

The judgment, defined

Define the guarded thing precisely, because the rest of the book stands on the definition. A judgment is a claim about a specific company, in writing, that carries four mandatory parts. First, a position: what the analyst believes the company is worth, relative to the market's current price for it, stated as a direction and a number. Second, a case: the named reasons, in ranked order, that make the position defensible — the two or three drivers that do the work, not the twenty that decorate. Third, a horizon: how long the trade's evidence needs before it can honestly be graded, stated in quarters or years, never "long enough to be right eventually." Fourth, a falsifier: the specific observable events that would prove the judgment wrong, written down before the market can be recruited to disprove it after the fact. A claim missing any of the four is not a judgment; it is a mood with a spreadsheet.

The four parts are not decoration; they are what makes the judgment tradable, in the professional sense. The position makes it actionable — a committee can size a decision to it. The case makes it examinable — the readers can attack the drivers instead of the author. The horizon makes it gradeable — the analyst and the client agree in advance when the scoreboard gets to speak. The falsifier makes it honest — the author has named the evidence that would force a public change of mind, which is the only pledge that makes the other three parts worth reading. The machines, chapter five will show, can assemble the first three parts impressively. The fourth part is where the machines stop and the keeper begins, because the falsifier is an act of self-harm by design — a pre-commitment against the author's own future comfort — and no engine writes those, because no engine has a future comfort to sacrifice.

The keeper, in this trade, is the professional who tends the judgment's entire life. Tending does not mean defending; it means the opposite. A judgment is a living thing that must be fed with new evidence, weighed against that evidence without mercy, revised in public when the evidence turns, and retired with its name intact when the falsifier fires. The amateur defends the judgment because the amateur is the judgment; the star performs the

judgment because the star is the audience; the keeper keeps the judgment the way a keeper keeps any trust: at arm's length, on the record, ready to hand it back the day it stops being true. This is the series' keeper's seat, and the profession-specific name for its burden is the record: the career-long page of calls, revisions, and surrenders that no one can write for the analyst and nothing can take from the analyst except the analyst's own shortcuts.

The two failures of definition, named

Every craft has two standard ways to fail its own definition, and the analyst's pair bookends the whole profession. The first is the star's classic failure: mistaking the audience for the product. The star's work degrades in a specific, observable order — the judgment is written for the appearance rather than the committee, then trimmed to the sound the appearance prefers, then timed for the attention rather than the evidence, until nothing remains but a voice with a following and a record nobody can grade. The star is not dishonest; the star is employed by the applause. The failure is fatal to the career for the precise reason that it is painless in the year it happens: the audience is warm, the employer is pleased, the bonus arrives, and the record quietly stops growing. The keeper's defense is structural rather than moral: the four-part definition, the timestamp, the falsifier — a judgment that cannot be performed is a judgment the applause cannot corrupt.

The second is the automaton's failure: mistaking the arithmetic for the product. The automaton's work also degrades in a fixed order — the model is maintained instead of the judgment, the estimate inherits the model's precision and none of its honesty, the update becomes a refresh and the refresh becomes the work, until a perfectly formatted file exists that no decision was ever built on. The obituary pages announce this failure as the machines' victory, and the announcement is half right: the machines did indeed take the arithmetic, completely, and the automaton who kept doing it manually was made redundant by exactly that lesson. The half the obituary leaves out is that the machines took only the arithmetic because the arithmetic was never the product. The judgment's four parts — position, case, horizon, falsifier — all survive the terminal intact, and the analyst who was only ever a pair of hands at a keyboard was never keeping anything whatsoever.

Between the two failures runs the trade's honest narrow path: the judgment that is neither performed nor computed, but kept. The chapters ahead are the path's map, and each instrument exists because one of the two failures

claimed someone competent first. The model is for the automaton in every analyst; the timestamp is for the star in every analyst; both live in the same professional, and the working week is the fence between them.

What the machines took, and what they cannot

The definition needs its machine-era clause early, because the flood is the trade's weather and pretending otherwise is how the obituary recruits. What the machines took, cleanly and forever: the arithmetic of the statements — the ratios, the growth rates, the standard screens that a junior spent a career computing; the formatting of the standard page — the tables, the charts, the drafted boilerplate of the initiation note; the distribution — the note that once traveled by paper and phone now travels at zero cost to everyone simultaneously; and the near-estimate, at least in its mechanical form — the consensus number assembled in minutes from filings that arrived as data. Each of those tasks was honest work while it lasted, and the professionals who built identities on them were right to feel the floor shift.

What the machines cannot take, structurally rather than sentimentally: the falsifier — the pre-commitment that requires an author with something at stake; the source — the human being who will say off the record what cannot be found in any feed; the access, governed and imperfect, to the people who run the company; the weight of the record — the career-long page that only accumulates one way; and the judgment itself, the four-part claim that a committee trusts because it can be attacked. Chapter five prices each of these honestly, including the ugly ones: the access regimes are tightening, the drafting engines are closing in on the case's prose, and the commons the machines left is narrower than the trade's veterans remember it. The definition's work is not to deny the flood but to build on the ground the flood cannot hold, and the ground, it turns out, is the same ground the trade always stood on: the kept judgment, the named author, the timestamp, the surrender.

There is a final asymmetry worth stating now, because it governs the whole machine-era chapter later. The machines got good at the near product first, because the near product is assembled from data, and data is the stuff machines eat. The machines got bad at the far product, because the far product is assembled from testimony, incentives, and time — the company's involuntary diary, the supplier's shrug, the executive's rehearsed answer and what it was rehearsed to avoid — and testimony is the one thing machines cannot eat. The trade's center of gravity, this decade, has been sliding from the near product toward the far product for exactly this reason. The analyst

who still sells arithmetic is selling the flood's own inventory. The analyst who sells the kept judgment is selling the one thing the flood cannot manufacture: an author.

The definition's audience, who the book is for

The definition is written for three readers, and the book will meet all three honestly. The working analyst — sell side or buy side, associate or senior — who suspects the trade can be practiced as a discipline rather than a performance, and wants the instruments rather than the motivational speech. The student and the career-changer deciding whether to enter it at all, who deserve to see the trade's floor before they see its ceiling: what the work really is, what it pays at every stage, what the machines have already taken, and what the decade's tuition costs. And the adjacent reader — the portfolio manager, the founder, the finance chief, the saver — who consumes the trade's product and wants to read it like an inspector: what makes a judgment worth acting on, and what the tells of the two failures are. The three readers want the same book because the trade's honesty is one thing: the definition that survives the committee also survives the interview and the inspection.

A note on the trade's entry gates, stated here only once because the folklore weaponizes them. The industry's recruiting is credential-heavy and pedigree-flavored, and the gatekeeping is real: the certifications, the analyst programs, the unpaid-internship economics of the seats that pay best. Nothing in this book minimizes the gates or pretends they are meritocratic in any comfortable way. But the craft itself is not gated — the statements are public, the companies publish, the judgments can be written, kept, and graded by anyone with the discipline to build a record — and the practitioner's path in chapter ten is built around that distinction: the gates control access to the seats, not access to the skill, and the record you keep is the credential the gates cannot refuse indefinitely. The industry hires the ranked amateur quietly all the time; it calls it a passion for markets on the resume, and chapter ten will call it what it is: a kept record, the trade's honest degree.

The two folklores, priced

Price the caricatures, because the rest of the book bills against them. The mover's legend prices the trade at fame and speed: the star is made by a call that lands, the audience does the rest, and the profession is a lottery with a market terminal. The legend's economics are true for a handful of lucky seats and false for the trade: the star's compensation is real, the star's churn is real, and the star's half-life — the years between the breakout call and the

load-bearing wrong one that the audience remembers longest — is the shortest in finance. The gambler has the obituary in the forex book; the analyst's version is the automaton, priced at zero: the machines do the arithmetic, the feeds do the distribution, and the seat is a cost line awaiting a committee's courage. That economics is true of the arithmetic and false of the judgment, and the distance between those two sentences is this book's whole argument.

Both folklores, priced honestly, are recruiting posters for the same two failures. The legend recruits stars: professionals willing to trade the record for the audience, discover the audience leaves with the next voice, and finish the decade with neither. The obituary recruits automatons: professionals who will define themselves as the arithmetic, discover the arithmetic left, and end the decade unemployed by a spreadsheet they once were. The keeper sends the third invoice: the judgment, kept for a decade, compounding quietly at the rate at which a record that can be attacked earns a seat that cannot be argued with. The invoice is smaller, slower, and the only invoice the market still honors at year ten. The chapters ahead are its itemization.

How this chapter enters the week

A definition either lands in a week or it decorates a shelf. Monday: the desk is opened, the queue is read, and each judgment's maintenance date is checked against the working calendar — this week's work is dated rather than felt. Tuesday: the company clock gets its hour; filings and the involuntary diary are read for the names on the maintenance list, and notes are taken in the judgment's own file, not in the head. Wednesday: the human record gets its hour; one source call, one supplier conversation, one store visit, or one expert call per active name, logged with date and content summary. Thursday: the writes; revisions drafted, positions and falsifiers rechecked, the record's page updated whether or not anything changed, because the un-updated judgment is the un-kept judgment. Friday: the review; the courts from chapter three sit for an hour, the week's work is graded against the definition, and the record — not the mood, not the audience, not the tick — gets the week's last word. The week is deliberately boring. A craft that cannot be practiced on a boring Tuesday is a sentiment, and the judgment that cannot be kept on this calendar is not being kept at all.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Aiman JoeHanz writes the Building of Tomorrow and Building Tomorrow's People series -- a volume-per-subject account of the systems and professions defining this decade, from the algorithms and their infrastructure to the consultants, chief executives, founders, executives, people leaders, team leaders, managers, negotiators, speakers, operators, sales leaders, AI engineers, coaches, content creators, creatives, fashion designers, filmmakers, graphic designers, interior designers, journalists, musicians, photographers, translators, legal professionals, librarians, nonprofit leaders, politicians, professors, writers, social workers, teachers, accountants, actuaries, crypto traders, financial advisors, and forex traders -- and now the financial analysts whose judgments every other finance volume's reader eventually relies on. Each book takes the same structural approach: the anatomy, the failure modes, the instruments, and the operating discipline a practitioner can run. In this volume the author treats the financial analyst as the judgment's keeper -- the honest definition, the instrument case, the courts that judge, the engagement brief, the machine era's flood, the money ledger, the difficult trades, the compounding arithmetic, the working program, and the practitioner's path -- and holds the trade whose product is kept rather than performed to the standard the series holds every discipline to: read honestly and run by design.