# SAMPLE

## Building Tomorrow's Executives

The Executive Layer as an Operating Discipline

Aiman JoeHanz

Free sample: Introduction & Chapter 1

putrabooks.github.io

**Buy the full book:**

Ko-fi

ElevenReader

Gumroad

Payhip

# INTRODUCTION

This is the ninth volume of the Building Tomorrow's People series, and it takes up a layer the series has circled but never centered: the executives -- the leaders who run the organization's functions between the chief executive and the work itself. The volumes before this one have treated the CEO's office (the direction, the capital, the bench, the board) and the founder's arc (the founding through the exit); this volume treats the profession that sits between and around them: the executive as a role with its own anatomy, its own failure modes, its own instruments, and its own career -- a profession that is, in the machine era, simultaneously more exposed (the analyses, forecasts, and briefs that used to justify much of the executive layer are now abundant) and more valuable (the judgment, the cross-functional work, and the leadership that the machines cannot hold), and whose practitioners are mostly left to learn the difference by folklore: the executive presence mythology, the leadership-content industry, and the promotion that teaches nothing but the title.

The book's position, stated at the start: the executive role is not a bigger manager. Management runs work; the executive layer runs domains -- the whole of a function, its strategy, its people, its tradeoffs against the rest of the organization -- and the domain's running has a discipline the folklore never describes, because the folklore is aimed at the top (the CEO's biography) or the middle (the manager's handbook), and the layer between them has mostly been left to inference. The chapters take the layer apart deliberately: Chapter 1 defines the executive layer and its difference from management; Chapter 2 treats the functional domain -- what running a whole function actually consists of; Chapter 3 treats managing up -- the chief executive relationship, candor with the top, and the board's shadow; Chapter 4 treats the peer layer -- the executive team, cross-functional work, and the turf wars the layer's structure invites; Chapter 5 treats managing down at scale -- leading leaders, the bench beneath, and the delegation the executive role demands; Chapter 6 treats the information environment -- the executive's version of the funnel problem, and the probes and bypass channels that keep the picture honest; Chapter 7 treats executive judgment -- the decision categories that belong to the layer, and the preparation and review that make them a practice; Chapter 8 treats presence and authority -- the real substance behind the most mythologized word in the profession; Chapter 9 treats the path in -- the manager-to-executive transition, the C-suite entry, internal promotion versus external hire, and the first ninety days; Chapter 10 treats the arc out -- the executive's long career, the renewals, the CEO question, the board seats, and the operating discipline that holds the whole practice together.

Every chapter follows the structure the series has used throughout: the anatomy of the discipline, its failure modes, its instruments, and the practice the executive can run -- closing with an argument and a checklist, because the series' readers are practitioners, and practitioners read to act. The book's standing promise is the series' own: no dated references, no product names, no fabricated statistics -- the disciplines are the durable parts, and they are what remains when the tools change.

# CHAPTER 1: THE EXECUTIVE LAYER

## 1.1 What the layer is

Every organization above a certain size grows a structure the org chart draws but rarely defines: the executive layer -- the leaders who run the organization's functions, between the chief executive who holds the whole and the managers who hold the work. The layer's definition, held precisely, is the chapter's first task, because the folklore's definition (the senior people) describes a status rather than a role, and the role is specific: an executive is a leader whose primary unit of work is a domain -- a whole function of the organization, its strategy, its people, its budget, its tradeoffs against every other function -- held on behalf of the whole, on the chief executive's behalf, and inside the organization's governance. The executive owns the domain's results; the manager owns the work's execution; and the difference between the two, which the promotion culture obscures and the practice insists on, is the difference between running a system and running inside one.

The layer's function in the organization is worth stating because the layer is expensive -- the organization pays for it in compensation, in coordination costs, and in the distance it places between the work and the top -- and the expense buys four things: scale (the organization's functions run without the chief executive's attention in each of them); specialization (each domain is led by someone whose judgment in it exceeds the top's); integration (the layer is where the functions' conflicts are traded against each other, at the level where the tradeoffs are real); and succession (the layer is the bench from which the next chief executive and the next generation of executives are drawn). The layer that provides none of these is the layer the machine era's efficiency arguments correctly target -- the translation layer, carrying directions down and summaries up -- and the layer that provides all four is the organization's actual operating system, the structure that lets a thousand people act as one without a thousand people being watched by one.

## 1.2 The promotion that teaches nothing

The executive layer's practitioners mostly arrive by promotion, and the promotion is the profession's founding problem: the promotion that creates the executive is awarded, almost always, for excellence at the previous role -- the best manager becomes the executive, the best specialist becomes the manager -- and the excellence that earned the promotion is the wrong skill set for the role it purchased. The manager's excellence was execution: the team's output, the work's quality, the metrics of the managed unit. The executive's work is judgment: the domain's direction, the cross-functional tradeoffs, the leaders beneath, the relationship above. The promotion that carries the manager's habits upward produces the executive who still manages -- the domain's best operator, personally reviewing the work, hoarding the decisions, running the function as a very large team -- and the organization discovers the failure slowly, because the failure looks like diligence: the executive who works hard, cares deeply, and is in every meeting, while the function drifts (no strategy the team can state), the bench thins (no leaders developed, because the executive does not develop what the executive does personally), and the layer's four functions go unprovided while its salary is paid in full.

The promotion's problem has a mirror: the arrival from outside -- the executive hired from another organization, with the role's title but not this organization's context -- and the external arrival inverts the promotion's failure (the habits are right; the context is missing) while sharing its root: the assumption that the executive role is a generic competence, transferable whole, when the role is half generic discipline and half local knowledge, and the half that is missing is invisible precisely because the half that is present is so polished. The chapter's disciplines exist for both arrivals: the role's anatomy (this chapter), the domain's running (Chapter 2), and the transitions themselves (Chapter 9) -- because the executive layer is learned, like every profession in this series, as a discipline rather than inherited as a talent, and the practitioners who treat it as one are the layer the organization actually gets.

## 1.3 The machine era's effect on the layer

The layer's definition and its arrival described, the era's question arrives, and it deserves the same sober treatment this series has given it everywhere: what happens to the executive role when the machines make the layer's traditional products abundant? The executive layer's routine products were always substantial -- the analyses that summarized the function for the top, the forecasts that translated the domain into the organization's planning language, the briefs that carried the work's status upward, the decks that argued the function's case -- and those products are now generated fluently by tools any team can run, which has the same effect on the layer that it has had on every profession the machines entered: the routine products fall in value, and the judgment products rise. The executive whose value was the polished brief is the executive whose value the tools have already absorbed; the executive whose value is the domain's judgment -- the tradeoff the analysis cannot weigh, the talent call the metrics do not contain, the strategy the forecast cannot choose -- holds the role's durable core, and the era's practitioners should locate themselves against that line deliberately, because the difference between the two executives is not visible in the title, the compensation, or the calendar, and it will be visible in the layer's market for as long as the layer exists.

The era's second effect is subtler and runs the other direction: the layer's judgment work is better supported than it has ever been -- the evidence the executive decides on is deeper, the scenarios are cheaper, the domain's data is continuous -- and the executive who runs the machine era well decides faster, on more evidence, with less of the layer's traditional friction. The two effects together define the era's executive: less briefing, more judging; less translating, more integrating; less presenting, more deciding -- and the practitioners who feel the role thinning (the meetings where the executive's old contribution is now automated) and the practitioners who feel it deepening (the decisions where the executive's contribution is now better informed) are both describing the same shift, from the layer's products to the layer's judgment, and only the second executive is built for the decade the layer has entered.

## 1.4 The anatomy of the role

The role's anatomy, held as the chapter's summary and the book's map: the executive holds one domain, on behalf of the whole, through four accountabilities. The first is direction: the domain's strategy -- what the function does, what it refuses, where it bets -- stated in the organization's terms, argued with the chief executive and the peers, and held against the domain's daily noise. The second is resources: the domain's budget, its headcount, its

allocation across the function's own portfolio -- the continuous capital-allocation work the finance profession treats as its own and the executive exercises daily, in a currency broader than money. The third is people: the domain's bench -- the leaders beneath, their development, the appointments and the exits -- run by the appointment protocol the series has held everywhere: definition, field, evidence, character. The fourth is integration: the domain's tradeoffs against every other function -- the shared budgets, the shared people, the shared customers -- negotiated at the layer where the tradeoffs are real, in the peer relationship of Chapter 4. The four accountabilities are the role; everything else the executive does -- the meetings, the reviews, the escalations, the ceremonies -- is either an instrument of the four or an ornament the calendar grew, and the practitioner who can sort the calendar into the two piles has begun the operating discipline that Chapter 10 assembles.

The anatomy's test, and the chapter's close: the executive's role is held in the difference between the domain's best and the domain's system -- the executive who is the function's best operator has built the function's ceiling out of their own competence, and the executive who has made the function's system better than any operator in it (the strategy, the bench, the processes, the culture) has built the only asset that outlasts the executive's tenure. The layer's practitioners are the organization's most expensive leaders, and the expense is justified by exactly one thing: the domain that runs better because of the way its executive runs it -- which is the whole book's subject, and the standard every chapter holds the role against.

## The layer's two calendars

The executive role's anatomy has a time dimension the org chart does not draw, and it deserves stating because the two clocks drive most of the layer's daily confusion: the domain's clock and the organization's clock. The domain's clock runs the function's own work -- the domain's cycles of delivery, review, and improvement, with the tempo the function's work actually has (the daily grain of operations, the weekly grain of the team's rhythms, the quarterly grain of the domain's own strategy). The organization's clock runs the whole company's cycles -- the enterprise's planning, reporting, and governance seasons: the budget cycle, the board's calendar, the enterprise strategy's review cadence -- and the executive is one of the few roles that lives on both clocks simultaneously: the domain's practitioner inside the function's tempo, and the enterprise's officer inside the organization's seasons. The two clocks' collision is the layer's signature experience: the budget's freeze arriving mid-initiative, the board's question pulling the executive upward during the function's crunch, the enterprise planning season demanding the domain's numbers before the domain's quarter has produced them -- and the executive's craft includes the clocks' management: the domain's cycles planned around the organization's seasons (the function's initiatives started when the enterprise calendar will not interrupt them), the organization's demands anticipated (the board's questions, the budget's asks, the planning's inputs -- prepared in the domain's quiet weeks rather than its loud ones), and the two clocks' conflicts resolved deliberately rather than suffered chronically, because the executive who lets the clocks collide at random has a calendar of collisions, and the one who manages the clocks has the same two calendars run as one.

## The layer's market

The executive role is a profession held inside a market -- the layer's own labor market, with its prices, its seasons, and its signals -- and the practitioner's honest relationship with the market deserves a place in the role's anatomy because the folklore's version (the executive who does not think about the market is the loyal one) and the market's version (the executive who is always shopping) are both wrong in the ways the chapter has been describing. The market's honest place in the executive's practice: the market's signals are the executive's own standing's feedback -- the calls from the search firms, the offers from the peers' organizations, the market's price for this executive's domain and record -- and the signals, read correctly, are calibration rather than temptation: the executive who knows their market's price knows their own leverage in the organization's decisions (the compensation, the resources, the role's terms) and their own honest options in the arc's stages, and the executive who refuses to read the signals has left the calibration to the organization's opinion of them, which is the one source in the market with an interest in the reading being low. The market's discipline is the reading's proportion: the signals read annually rather than hourly (the standing taken, not the appetite fed -- the executive who interviews constantly has converted the calibration into a second job, and the one who never interviews has converted it into ignorance), the standing's terms held honestly (the market's price for the record the executive actually has, not the record the executive wishes the market were pricing), and the arc's decisions made on the whole book's disciplines rather than the market's flattery -- because the market, like every funnel in this series, tells the listener what the listener wants to hear, priced in the currency the listener is selling: the search firm's call that flatters the standing is the funnel's smoothing at the market's scale, and the executive who has read it as calibration rather than as truth has run the market's discipline correctly.

The market's era note: the executive labor market is now more instrumented than its predecessors -- the profiles, the networks, the search industry's own tools have made the standing continuously visible, and the standing's visibility cuts both ways: the executive's record is more findable (the market's calls arriving on better information than the era's predecessors had) and more auditable (the market's diligence on the executive's claims reaching the record's primary facts -- the results the executive cites checked against the organizations that produced them, in the same provenance discipline the executive's own panel runs). The standing's era discipline is the record's honesty maintained prospectively: the executive whose claims match their record has a market that compounds (the prices, the options, and the arc's stages all improving with the verified record), and the executive whose claims outrun their record has a market that discounts -- and the discount, in the era's audited market, arrives before the claim's first repetition rather than after it, which is the era's quiet gift to the honest practitioner and the era's quiet correction of the polished one.

## The specialist's conversion

The layer's definition and its promotion problem have a specific instance the series has treated in its other volumes and the executive layer meets constantly: the specialist's conversion -- the technical or functional expert promoted into the executive role (the domain's best engineer, analyst, or designer given the function to run) -- and the conversion deserves the anatomy's last section because it is the promotion's purest case: the excellence that earned the role most distant from the role's actual work. The specialist's conversion's specific challenges: the identity's release (the expert's self-worth, built on the craft's mastery, must be rebuilt on the domain's outcomes -- the conversion the CEO volume

described for the specialist executive, arriving here at the functional scale: the executive who still measures themselves by the craft's standards (the best review, the cleanest design, the sharpest analysis) has kept the old excellence as the role's private hobby, at the domain's expense, and the conversion's honest work is the grief's: the craft was the identity's foundation, the role is not the craft, and the executive who has not released the foundation has not actually accepted the role); the delegation of the craft (the function's technical work -- the expert's own former domain -- delegated to the leaders who now hold it, with the hardest delegation being the closest: the executive who personally reviews the function's technical work (because they can, because they care, because it is the work they love) has converted their own craft into their bench's ceiling, and the conversion's discipline is the Chapter 5 distance: the craft's ownership transferred genuinely, the executive's reviews ended deliberately, and the function's technical excellence held by the bench the executive develops rather than the one the executive performs); and the judgment's re-foundation (the expert's decision habits -- the craft's standards of proof, the technical's evidence norms -- re-founded for the executive's decisions: the domain's questions are not the craft's questions, and the executive who decides the function's strategy with the engineer's evidence bar (the complete analysis, the proven case, the certainty the craft required) has imported the craft's deliberateness into the role's tempo, and the function's strategy waits for a proof the strategy's uncertainty never permits -- the conversion's last work: the judgment's standards calibrated to the role's actual decisions, with the craft's standards kept for the craft's actual questions, and the two held apart the way the reversibility audit of Chapter 7 holds them.)

### The role's measurements

The anatomy's last discipline is the role's honest measurement, and it begins with a warning the series has made everywhere and makes once more here: the executive layer is measured badly by default -- the title's hierarchy, the compensation's level, the headcount's size, the budget's magnitude -- and the measurements' error is not their imprecision but their substitution: the executive measured by the domain's size rather than the domain's condition has been measured by the inputs rather than the practice, and the practice is the only thing the role actually controls. The honest measurements of the executive, stated for the layer: the domain's trajectory (the function's condition over the executive's tenure -- the strategy's clarity, the bench's strength, the results' trend -- measured against the function's own starting point rather than the peers' functions, because the domains differ and the trajectories compare); the four accountabilities' actual state (the Chapter 1 anatomy as the standing audit: the direction stated and held, the resources allocated deliberately, the bench built, the seams integrated -- each with its own honest evidence, and the executive who can present the four accountabilities' state from evidence has the measurement the layer's folklore never asks for); and the practice itself (the operating discipline's run -- the cadences kept, the probes run, the record reviewed, the transitions done deliberately -- measured by the instruments this book has taught, because the practice is the executive's actual work, and the tenure that runs the practice is the tenure the domain runs well regardless of what the year's markets did to the results, and the tenure that does not is the tenure whose results, however lucky, were never the executive's own.)

### The Closing Argument

The executive layer is a role, not a status: the leaders who run the organization's domains on behalf of the whole, providing the scale, specialization, integration, and succession the layer's expense is paid for. The promotion that creates most executives teaches none of this -- it purchases the role with the previous role's excellence, and the manager's habits carried upward produce the diligent executive whose function drifts -- and the machine era has sharpened the problem by absorbing the layer's routine products while raising the value of its judgment. The role's anatomy is four accountabilities -- direction, resources, people, integration -- and the role's standard is the domain that runs better because of the way its executive runs it, not the executive who runs the domain best personally. The chapters that follow treat each accountability's discipline; this one holds the map.

### Checklist for Chapter 1

- Write your role's four accountabilities in your own words: the domain's direction, resources, people, and integration. Which one have you been treating as someone else's?
- Sort last month's calendar into two piles: instruments of the four accountabilities, and ornaments. What share was ornaments?
- Locate yourself against the era's line: which of your traditional products (the briefs, the analyses, the decks) could your team generate today without you? What remains when they can?
- State your domain's strategy in the organization's terms in three sentences. If it takes more, you have a document, not a strategy.
- Ask the test question honestly: is your function's system better than its best operator -- including you? What would it take for the answer to be yes?
- Identify the promotion's residue: which of your manager-era habits (the personal review, the hoarded decision, the attended meeting) is your function's ceiling right now?

# CHAPTER 2: THE FUNCTIONAL DOMAIN

## 2.1 Running the whole function

The executive's first accountability is the domain itself, and the chapter begins with the discipline the promotion most often skips: the difference between working in the function and running it. The manager's frame is the work -- the team's output, the quarter's deliverables, the unit's metrics; the executive's frame is the system that produces all of that -- the function's strategy, its structure, its talent, its processes, its culture, its position in the organization -- held as a portfolio the executive allocates, reviews, and adjusts, rather than a workload the executive carries. The distinction sounds abstract and is utterly concrete: the executive who runs the function as a workload has a calendar full of the domain's tasks and a function whose direction is whatever the accumulated tasks added up to; the executive who runs the function as a system has a calendar full of the system's instruments -- the strategy reviews, the bench conversations, the allocation decisions -- and a function whose direction is stated, argued, and held. The promotion's residue of Chapter 1 is the workload executive, and the role's discipline begins with the reframe: the function is the work product; the executive's job is its system.

The system's running has a cadence, and the cadence is the chapter's first instrument: the domain's operating rhythm -- the periodic reviews where each of the function's systems is deliberately examined: the strategy (is the domain's direction still right, on the evidence the function's own results provide? reviewed at the quarter, stated in writing, argued honestly), the structure (does the function's shape fit its strategy -- the teams, the roles, the reporting lines? reviewed at the half-year, against the strategy rather than against the personalities), the bench (the leaders beneath -- their development, their fit, their succession: reviewed continuously, at the one-on-ones of Chapter 5), and the portfolio (the function's own allocation -- which efforts get the talent, the budget, the attention; reviewed always, in the executive's own allocation discipline). The rhythm's purpose is the system's visibility: the function that is never deliberately examined is running on its accumulated defaults, and the defaults are the previous executive's strategy -- inherited, unstated, and unexamined, which is how functions drift for years with everyone working hard inside them.

## 2.2 The domain's strategy

The function's strategy deserves its own section because the folklore treats functional strategy as the organization's strategy, scaled down -- a document that mirrors the corporate plan in the function's vocabulary -- while the practice treats it as its own discipline: the domain's answer to the question the organization's strategy asks of it. The answer has three parts. The first is the contribution: what this function exists to give the organization -- the value it produces, stated in the organization's own terms (the CEO's strategy, the customer's experience, the peers' dependencies) rather than the function's own vocabulary, because a functional strategy the organization cannot recognize is a private document with a strategy's title. The second is the approach: how the function will produce the contribution -- the choices the domain's leadership actually makes, in the classic strategy form: where the function will concentrate (its few priorities, funded properly, against the many it will merely

permit), what it will build versus buy versus borrow, what it will stop doing (the strategy's most neglected and most decisive part: the domain's subtraction, without which every new priority is added to the old load and the load is the strategy).

The third part is the stance: the function's position against its alternatives -- the internal ones (the peers' domains, the shared resources, the organization's tradeoffs: what this function will compete for and what it will trade) and the external ones (the market's options for the function's work -- the vendors, the outsourcers, the machine era's abundant tools: what this domain does that the alternatives cannot, which is the strategy's honest bottom line, because the era's organizations can now buy almost any function's routine output, and the domain whose strategy cannot state what the organization would lose by buying it has a strategy the market is already pricing). The three parts are written, argued with the chief executive and the peers, and -- the discipline's persistent demand -- held against the noise: the executive's daily decisions either serve the stated strategy or revise it, and the executive whose decisions quietly contradict the document has two strategies (the stated one and the real one), and the real one is the function's.

## 2.3 The domain's resources

The function's resources are the executive's second accountability and the one the finance function's vocabulary obscures: the domain's allocation is not a budget exercise but a continuous strategic act -- the executive's actual choices about what the function's talent, budget, and attention are spent on, made daily, and the discipline is to make them deliberately rather than by accumulation. The resource discipline's first instrument is the portfolio view: the function's efforts, listed, each with its actual current consumption (the people, the money, the executive's own attention -- counted honestly, including the hidden subsidy of the executive's hours) and its case for the next increment -- the view that converts the allocation from the accounting's annual event into the leadership's standing question: is this the function's best use of its scarcest resources, and if not, what would be? The portfolio's failure mode is the zombie effort -- the project that survives on history, politics, or hope, consuming the resources the strategy's real priorities are starved of -- and the executive's discipline is the standing subtraction: each quarter, the portfolio's weakest item deliberately defunded or repurposed, because the function that only adds has an allocation made by inertia, and inertia's allocation is the previous strategy's, whatever the current document says.

The resource discipline's second instrument is the executive's own attention -- the scarcest resource the domain has, and the one whose allocation is most neglected: the executive's calendar is the function's real org chart (what gets the executive's hours is what the function actually values), and the discipline is the calendar audit of the series' earlier volumes, run at the executive's scale: the hours inventoried against the four accountabilities, the inherited ceremonies returned to their owners, and the executive's best hours given to the domain's strategy, bench, and integration -- the work the function cannot get anywhere else. The attention audit's question, asked honestly: if the function's next quarter could only have ten of the executive's hours a week, what should they be spent on -- and how far is that from where they actually go?

## 2.4 The domain's operations

Beneath the strategy and the allocation sits the function's operational system -- the processes, the standards, the metrics, the routines by which the work actually runs -- and the executive's operational discipline is the layer's quietest and most decisive: the operations are where the strategy either lives (in the standards, the workflows, and the metrics the work actually follows) or dies (in the documents the strategy lives in and the operations ignore). The operational instruments are standard and treated briefly here because they are standard: the metrics (the domain's small set -- the output's quality, the work's cycle, the team's health, the customer's outcome -- chosen on the executive's own theory of what matters, labeled with their weaknesses, watched at the cadence); the standards (the quality bar the function actually holds, stated, taught, and reviewed -- the bar the machine era has made more important, not less, because the abundant tools make the work's volume cheap and the bar is the only thing that makes the volume worth having); the reviews (the operational cadence -- the domain's routine examinations of its own work, kept honest by the probes and the raw samples of Chapter 6); and the exceptions (the escalations, the overrides, the emergencies -- the operational category whose handling teaches the function its real values, because the exception processed by the standard teaches standards, and the exception processed by the executive's personal intervention teaches that the standards are weather).

The operational discipline's era note is the automation boundary: the function's processes are now candidates for the machines' absorption -- the routine workflows, the reporting, the coordination -- and the executive's operational judgment includes the boundary of that absorption: which processes the function should automate (the routine, the high-volume, the error-prone -- freed for the people and the judgment), which it should keep human deliberately (the work where the function's judgment lives, the customer's moments where the humanity is the product), and which it should stop doing entirely (the process that exists because it always has -- the automation's gift is sometimes the permission to notice the work should not exist at all). The boundary's discipline is the same as the strategy's: stated, reviewed, and held against the noise -- and the executive who has never drawn it has an automation strategy made by vendors, which is the era's quietest form of the inherited default.

## 2.5 The domain's culture

The function's culture is the domain's fourth system and the one the executive shapes most personally: the domain's actual values, transmitted by the executive's own conduct -- what gets praised, what gets punished, what gets ignored; what the executive notices and what the executive lets pass -- the culture being founded the way every culture in this series is founded, by observation rather than announcement, at the scale of the function rather than the organization. The functional culture's discipline has two parts. The first is the deliberate few: the three or four behaviors the executive actually wants the function to run on -- chosen for the domain's strategy (a function whose strategy is reliability runs on a different culture than one whose strategy is discovery), stated in behavioral terms (the conduct, not the values: "we surface bad news early" rather than "transparency"), and reinforced by the executive's own conduct until the function's people can name them without prompting -- the test of a culture's reality being whether the function's newest hire can state what is actually

## ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Aiman JoeHanz is a technology writer and analyst specializing in data center infrastructure, AI networking, and next-generation computing architectures. His work spans artificial intelligence, cybersecurity, energy systems, healthcare, and infrastructure design, treating each technology as engineering: parts, failure paths, and build programs.