SAMPLE

Building Tomorrow's Doctors

The Chart's Keeper in the Machine Era

Aiman JoeHanz

Free sample: Introduction & Chapter 1

putrabooks.github.io

Buy the full book:

Carousell carousell.sg/p/building-tomorrow-s-doctors-ebook-pdf-1471374359

Ko-fi

Payhip

Contents

Introduction

Chapter 1: The Definition

Chapter 2: The Instrument Case

Chapter 3: The Courts That Judge

Chapter 4: The Engagement Brief

Chapter 5: The Machine Era's Flood

Chapter 6: The Attention Ledger

Chapter 7: The Difficult Cases

Chapter 8: The Compounding Arithmetic

Chapter 9: The Working Program

Chapter 10: The Practitioner's Path

Introduction

Two caricatures stand guard over the public's picture of the doctor, and both are older than the machines now queuing to do the work. The first is the god-doctor's legend: the white-coated oracle of the screen dramas, curing by intuition in the corridor, defeating the committee as often as the disease, adored by every nurse and untouched by every budget — a figure whose calling is imagined as brilliance plus heroism, graded episode by episode by the save. The second is the algorithm's obituary: the doomed diagnostician, painted into the corner by pattern-readers that already read some images faster than any department, waiting for the engines that took the triage, the drafting, and the second opinion to price the rest of the career at zero. The legend flatters a handful of lucky resuscitations into a personality cult; the obituary condemns the whole calling to managed decline; and both portraits miss the same working discipline, because both grade the wrong product. The god-doctor is graded on the save, the doomed diagnostician on the pattern read, and the treating physician's real product is neither: it is the chart — the kept course of care, from the differential written before the first test through the plan that survives the shift change, the consent recorded while the patient could still weigh it, the note that tells the next clinician what was decided and why, the follow-up that catches what the first visit missed — and the keeper standing behind it, the name that stands on every order and answers for every hour between the first complaint and the closed loop. The working professional this book describes is invisible to both portraits precisely because the keeper's decade is quiet: the doctor who outlived the hero's mythology by refusing to improvise and the obituary's arithmetic by refusing to be only a pattern reader, still at the desk in year twenty, running a practice no engine can run, while the legend has burned out or been sued out and the obituary's deadline has been moved twice.

This book replaces both caricatures with a working definition. The doctor is the chart's keeper: a professional whose product is neither a save nor a diagnosis but a governed course of care — a differential that states what is being considered and why, a workup ordered by written reasoning rather than habit, a plan that names the target and the fallback, a consent taken while the patient can still weigh the trade, a record written for the clinician who takes over at midnight, an order signed by a hand that accepts the consequence, and a follow-up built to catch the failure early — and whose signature stands on the whole course, from the first encounter to the closed loop. What follows treats medicine the way this series treats every honest trade: a discipline of instruments, verdicts, briefs, and a floor under the behavior. The doctor's

product is not omniscience; omniscience is the folklore's product, and the body's future is unknowable in exactly the way that makes oracles ungradeable. The kept chart is the product, and ten chapters build the practices that make the keeping possible: chapter one settles the definition, chapter two opens the instrument case, chapter three convenes the courts that judge a clinical decision, chapter four drafts the engagement brief, chapter five prices the machine era's flood, chapter six opens the attention ledger, chapter seven works the difficult cases, chapter eight runs the compounding arithmetic, chapter nine lays out the working program, and chapter ten walks the practitioner's path from the tuition years through the record and the long maturation to a retirement chosen on purpose.

The subject needs scoping at the outset, because "doctor" covers too many people to mean anything. This volume is written to the treating physician — the primary care doctor carrying a panel across years, the hospitalist working the ward a shift at a time, the specialist holding a waiting list and a procedure calendar — and to the parts of every clinical seat that touch courses of care: the uncertainty that must be narrowed, the plan that must be stated, the record that must carry the decisions forward, the conversations that must happen while the patient can still take part in them. It is not written to the researcher whose product is a finding, the administrator whose product is a department's numbers, or the informaticist whose product is the system itself, and it will say plainly where the guideline, the protocol, and the machine each beat the unaided clinician — a candor the folklore never offers. The treating physician's defining sentence is ownership of the whole course: what the differential considered, what the plan targeted, what the consent covered, what the record shows, and what the follow-up caught. The seat this book describes owns that arc end to end, or it keeps nothing at all.

The method is the series' method: every folklore gets dismantled, every instrument gets the floor it stands on, and every chapter closes with the working week that turns its pages into a calendar. Medicine's engineering — the triage engines, the ambient scribes, the diagnostic suggestion systems, the record platforms — is discussed generically, because the machinery turns over yearly and the instruments of behavior turn over rarely. No clinical background is assumed, and each instrument is described in the chart's own language rather than the drama's, because the course of care that cannot be explained to the next clinician at handover is the course this whole book exists to protect.

One reading note before the first chapter. Medicine is licensed, regulated, and litigated differently in every jurisdiction, and the clinician's rules — what a license permits, what a record must contain, what an error obligates — are written by each system's own authorities. The instruments, courts, and briefs that follow are pitched at the working discipline, not at any single rulebook: a doctor practicing under a specific regime should treat these pages as the floor beneath the local rules, never as their replacement, and never as personal advice. The honest sentence is the one this series carries in every volume: the rules say what the law requires, this book says what the promise to the chart requires, and the promise is the older document.

Chapter 1: The Definition

The god-doctor's legend deserves a slower dismantling than the introduction gave it, because it is the more expensive of the two portraits. Watch how the legend actually grades: a patient arrives with something the textbook says is untreatable, the brilliant diagnostician intuits the one rare disease that fits, the treatment is administered against the committee's caution, and the patient walks out in time for the credits. The grading rubric is the save — a single dramatic reversal, scored in full view of an audience. Nobody grades the forty patients the same clinician saw that month whose diseases followed the textbook, because their recoveries were administered rather than authored. Nobody grades the anchoring the legend teaches, because every viewer who internalizes the corridor genius learns to trust intuition over the differential, and anchoring is what intuition becomes when it has stopped writing down what else the picture could be. And nobody grades the aftermath, because the drama ends at the credits and the aftermath lives in the chart: the medication list the heroic intervention never reconciled, the consult that was ordered in a hurry and never followed, the finding on yesterday's scan that nobody closed out. The legend is not merely flattering; it is a curriculum in the exact habits that produce the quiet failures, taught to every audience that has ever watched a doctor work on a screen.

The legend's deeper cost is what it does to the profession's self-image. A calling graded on saves recruits people who want to be graded on saves, and the wanting warps the whole working week: the interesting rare case is pursued past its evidence while the boring common disease is managed in six minutes; the resuscitation is attended by everyone and the discharge summary by no one; the clinician who needs to be the smartest person in the building is structurally unable to write an honest note, because an honest note admits what was considered and rejected, and admitting what was considered is an admission that the answer was not intuition. The keeper this book describes is the opposite figure, and the profession's survivors know it: the doctor whose colleagues want them at the handover, not at the drama, because the chart they inherit is complete, the plan it contains is stated in sentences a tired person can execute, and the loop it describes is closed. The legend will not die in this chapter, because legends do not die of argument; but it can be priced, and its price is every ungraded hour the save-graded clinician leaves behind them.

The algorithm's obituary is the newer portrait and deserves its own pricing. Its claim is arithmetic: the engines already read some images with a consistency

no human shift maintains, already draft notes faster than any resident, already triage the low-acuity queue, already surface the drug interaction the prescriber forgot; and what an engine can do, an engine will do, and what an engine does, a department will eventually stop paying a clinician to do. The obituary's error is not in the arithmetic but in the product it prices. The engine reads the image; it does not own the course. The engine drafts the note; it does not decide what the note must say, because the note's content is a set of clinical verdicts the drafting tool merely types. The engine triages the queue; the triage is an input to a course of care whose orders, consents, plans, and follow-ups still need a name that answers for them. Price every task the engines have taken and the sum still does not include the product, because the product was never the reading, the typing, or the sorting. The product is the governed course, and the governing is what the obituary forgot to price. The engines will take more tasks — chapter five prices the flood honestly and does not pretend it is a trickle — but the pattern-reader's obituary is written about a job that never existed, the physician-as-reader, and the reader was never the keeper.

Both portraits, priced, leave the same residue: a profession graded on the wrong product, by people who never have to live with the consequences of the grade. The rest of this chapter replaces the grading with a definition, and the definition is one sentence long before it is unpacked into its parts. The treating physician is the chart's keeper: the professional whose product is a governed course of care, and whose name stands on every decision the course contains. The sentence has six ownerships inside it, and each deserves its own paragraph, because each is a place where the folklore and the obituary both imagine someone else is in charge.

The first ownership is the differential. The differential is the written list of what the picture could still be — the feared causes ranked by danger, the likely causes ranked by frequency, and the tests that separate them, written before the first test is ordered. It is the discipline's oldest instrument and the one the legend most visibly skips, because intuition presents as a single answer while the differential presents as a confession that several answers are still alive. The differential's floor is what happens without it: anchoring, the first plausible answer hardening into the diagnosis, the workup ordered to confirm rather than to separate, and the rare dangerous cause found late precisely because nobody wrote it down as the thing to rule out. The engines of chapter five will grade a differential — some already suggest what else the symptoms could mean — but writing it is still the keeper's act, because the list is a commitment

to consider, and commitments need a signer.

The second ownership is the workup, and it is distinct from the differential in a way the folklore never notices. The differential says what could be true; the workup is the ordered sequence that separates the possibilities with the least radiation, least phlebotomy, least delay, and least cost the patient will carry. The workup has a stated reasoning: each test in it exists to change a decision, and a test that cannot change any decision in the differential does not belong in the sequence. The floor is the habitual workup — the standing order set that ran the same panel on every patient for a decade, the imaging ordered for reassurance, the cascade that begins with a test nobody needed and ends with a biopsy nobody wanted. The keeper owns the workup's reasoning, which means the keeper owns its omissions too: the test not ordered, with the reason written, is an ownership the legend never claims and the obituary cannot price.

The third ownership is the plan. The plan names the target of treatment, the fallback if the target is missed, the timeline that decides when the plan is judged, and the patient's part in it. A plan that survives a shift change is the test of this ownership: the hospitalist who writes "continue current management" has written no plan, and the one who writes "treat the infection, watch the kidney function, if the creatinine rises hold the drug and call the service" has written one a stranger can execute at three in the morning. The plan's floor is drift — the course of care that accumulates small undefended decisions because no one wrote what winning would look like, carried forward day after day by a rotating cast who each assume someone else knows where the course is going.

The fourth ownership is the consent, taken while the patient can still weigh it. The consent is not the signature on the form; it is the conversation the form records — the risks named in plain language, the alternatives stated including the alternative of doing nothing, and the patient's question answered before the pen comes out. The floor is the signature without the conversation: the intervention that proceeds with legal permission and no actual understanding, which fails in the one court that never reads forms — the patient's memory of what they were told, replayed when the outcome is bad.

The fifth ownership is the record. The record is the chart's spine: the note written for the next clinician rather than for the billing engine or the lawyer, the decision recorded with its reasoning, the ambiguity stated rather than smoothed, the medication list reconciled because the interaction lives in the

list and not in anyone's memory. The note is the instrument that lets a course of care outlive any single shift, and its floor is the course that dies at every handover — the plan that must be reconstructed from fragments, the allergy that was mentioned verbally on Tuesday and is absent from the Thursday note, the consult recommendation that arrived and was never attached to anything.

The sixth ownership is the follow-up, the loop's closing half. The follow-up is the designed check that the treatment worked, the test result that is owned until it is seen, the referral that is tracked until the consult actually happens, the incidental finding that is handed to a named owner instead of left floating in the record. The floor is the floating patient — the abnormal result that nobody was assigned to read, the biopsy that was done and never chased, the borderline finding that was "noted" and never followed — which is not an exotic failure but the commonest one in systems without keepers, and the one the machines are genuinely good at preventing, which chapter five will concede without embarrassment.

Six ownerships, and the keeper's signature runs through all of them, which is the definition's second sentence: the name on the order is the name that answers for the whole course. Not the smartest name, not the fastest name, not the name that saw the rare disease first — the name that can be asked, a year later, what the differential considered, why the test was ordered, what the patient was told, what the note meant, and where the follow-up went, and whose answer is in the chart because the answer was written at the time.

The definition excludes more of the job's glamour than the folklore will accept, and the exclusions should be stated plainly. The definition does not require heroics, because heroics are what a governed course makes unnecessary: the dramatic save is usually the ungoverned course's emergency exit. It does not require diagnostic genius, because the differential is a discipline of consideration rather than a lightning bolt, and the discipline is trainable where the bolt is not. It does not even require that the clinician be the one who personally performs every act in the course — the keeper delegates constantly, to nurses, pharmacists, colleagues, and increasingly to machines, and the definition holds because delegation is an ownership, not a transfer of it: the order signed is still the signer's order, and the follow-up delegated is still the delegator's loop to close. What the definition excludes entirely is the unowned course, and everything the folklore celebrates — the corridor intuition, the committee defeated, the impossible diagnosis — is celebrated

precisely because nobody was keeping the course at the time.

A composite example will make the six ownerships concrete, and the series offers it the way it offers every illustration: invented for this book, standing for a pattern. A middle-aged patient arrives with four weeks of fatigue and a mild fever, twice dismissed at a walk-in clinic as a lingering virus. The unkept version of the case — the folklore's version, in effect — runs like this: the clinician recognizes something atypical, orders a broad panel from instinct, glimpses one flag, pulls the diagnosis out of the pattern with a flourish, starts the treatment, and wins the save; the credits roll. What the chart shows afterward, read at the review: a differential that was never written, so the broad panel cannot be explained; a workup with no stated reasoning, so the incidental flag that launched two further tests has no owner; a plan with no fallback or timeline, so when the first treatment underperforms the second is chosen by whoever was on call; a consent recorded as a signature the patient cannot remember giving; a note that reads, in its entirety, "patient stable, continue plan"; and a follow-up that consists of the patient being told to return if things get worse. Every element of the folklore's triumph is present. Every ownership is missing, and the six missing items are the six places the next patient's course can go wrong.

The kept version of the same case: the differential is written at the first visit — the feared causes, the common causes, the separators — and the feared rare cause, the one the legend found by genius, is on the list because listing it costs a sentence; the workup is ordered with its reasoning in the chart, and the incidental flag is handled as chapter two's sixth instrument handles it; the plan names its target, its fallback, its two-week verdict date, and the patient's part; the consent for the treatment that can cause harm is a conversation, played back in the patient's words; the note states the reasoning and the unresolved ambiguity in three sentences; the follow-up is a dated recheck with a named owner, and the patient leaves with the safety net written on paper. The kept case looks, from the corridor, exactly like the unkept one — same patient, same diagnosis, same treatment, same recovery — and that is the chapter's point: the legend cannot tell its case from the keeper's, which is why the legend grades the wrong product; the chart tells them apart in one reading, which is why the profession's survivors grade the course.

The definition also places the doctor among the other keepers this series has defined, and the placement is worth a paragraph because the reader will meet them all again. The chart's keeper keeps the plan's sibling to the financial

advisor's plan, the same artifact in a different currency: one governs a course of care, the other a course of money, and both are worthless unsigned. The chart keeps the insurance professional's payout honest, because the claim that arrives on the worst day of a stranger's life is priced from the record another keeper wrote. The chart feeds the actuary's pool, which is a library of charts aggregated, and the codes the keeper assigns are the pool's raw arithmetic. The professor in this series teaches students to keep charts, whether the syllabus says so or not. And the chart is the record's kin to the librarian's collection — the same instrument at personal scale, an organized memory that lets a stranger use what the original author is no longer present to explain. The keepers keep meeting one another, in these pages and in the world, because every honest trade eventually builds the same thing: a governed document that outlives the moment of decision, with a name attached.

The definition has adjacent seats, and the seats need naming so the definition's edges are visible. The nurse keeps the ward — the patient's hours, not the course's weeks — and the two keepers share the chart the way a building's electrician and architect share the drawing. The pharmacist keeps the list, and the keeper of the course ignores that expertise at the interaction's price. The specialist is a keeper of an organ system consulted inside another keeper's course, and the consultation's value is delivered as a written sentence that the primary can act on, not as a fragment of differential glitter returned by letter. The administrator keeps the department, and the definition this book builds is the one honest bridge between them: the keeper whose charts are kept is the administrator's cheapest clinician, because every instrument in chapter two's case is an efficiency the department can audit, and the keeper whose charts are thin is a future cost the department cannot yet see on any report it runs. The definition excludes the researcher and the informaticist, as the introduction said, but the seats are adjacent by design: the researcher's findings become the evidence court's raw material, and the informaticist's platforms become chapter five's flood, and both of them need the keeper's charts as their inputs, which the keeper writes anyway, or the whole building of modern medicine stands on unowned ground.

One more piece of scaffolding before the chapter closes, because a definition needs a test, and the test this series uses is the grading inversion. The public grades doctors on saves, which are rare, dramatic, and mostly outside the keeper's control. The profession's working grade is the course: the differential written, the workup reasoned, the plan stated, the consent conversed, the

record kept, the loop closed — six items, gradeable on every patient, visible to the next clinician, and none of them requiring genius. The inversion is the whole book in miniature: a calling graded on courses instead of saves looks like a different profession, spends its training differently, hires differently, and writes different notes; and the chapters that follow are simply the inversion worked out — the instruments in chapter two, the courts in chapter three, the briefs in chapter four, the flood in chapter five, the ledger in chapter six, the difficult cases in chapter seven, the arithmetic in chapter eight, the program in chapter nine, and the path in chapter ten.

The working week for the definition is one entry long, because a definition that cannot be practiced is a sentiment rather than a profession. Pick one patient from this week's list and audit the course against the six ownerships: was the differential written before the workup began, does every ordered test change a decision the differential contains, does the plan state its target and its fallback, could the patient repeat the consent's risks in their own words, does the note explain the reasoning to a stranger, and does the follow-up have a named owner and a date. One patient, six questions, ten minutes. The keeper who audits one course a week has, by the end of a year, a private map of exactly where their practice leaks — and the map is more useful than any grade the public will ever hand out, because the map points at the six places the next patient's course can be kept instead of merely praised.

Three misreadings of the definition will reach the reader before the definition does, and the chapter should close by disarming them. The first misreading hears "the chart's keeper" and thinks typist — the clinician as clerk, buried in the record while the medicine happens elsewhere; the definition's answer is ownership, not paperwork: the chart is the medicine's governing document, and the clinician who writes no notes is not above the paperwork but absent from the product. The second misreading hears "governed course" and thinks protocol — the clinician as the checklist's executor, the machine's warm glove; the definition's answer is the deviation: the governed course is governed by a signer who can depart from the protocol in writing and defend the departure in chapter three's court, which no glove can do. The third misreading hears "keeper" and thinks custodian — a static guard of a finished thing; the answer is the loop: the chart is never finished while the patient lives, and the keeper's work is the closing of loops, the opening of the next interval, and the currency of the attention ledger spent where the course still runs. The definition survives all three misreadings the way a good instrument survives its cheap imitations: by being used, and by working.

The definition has a history, and the series owes it a paragraph, because the chart's keeper is not this book's invention but the profession's oldest self, recovered from under two centuries of folklore. The modern chart was born as a memory instrument — the casebook, the ward record, the notes kept because the body's course outruns any single shift's attention — and the keeper's six ownerships were the record's original disciplines: the differential written because the differential was how a course was taught to the next physician; the plan stated because the plan had to survive the physician; the consent conversed because the body's owner was always the patient; the loop closed because the follow-up was where the learning happened. The folklore arrived with the theaters and the heroics; the obituary arrived with the engines; the definition outlived both because the definition was never a portrait of the doctor at all — it is a portrait of the course, which needed a keeper, and the profession that forgot its own definition, the twentieth century's version, spent decades grading saves while the charts thinned. This book's whole project is therefore not new but archival: the definition recovered, the instruments re-cut, the courts reconvened, and the keeper named — because the trade, at its honest core, has always known what the folklore hid: that medicine is what is written down, and the doctor is the one who signs.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Aiman JoeHanz writes the Building of Tomorrow and Building Tomorrow's People series -- a volume-per-subject account of the systems and professions defining this decade, from the algorithms and their infrastructure to the consultants, chief executives, founders, executives, people leaders, team leaders, managers, negotiators, speakers, operators, sales leaders, AI engineers, coaches, content creators, creatives, fashion designers, filmmakers, graphic designers, interior designers, journalists, musicians, photographers, translators, legal professionals, librarians, nonprofit leaders, politicians, professors, writers, social workers, teachers, accountants, actuaries, crypto traders, financial advisors, forex traders, financial analysts, insurance professionals, investors, real estate investors, and stock traders -- and now the doctors whose kept charts every other helping volume's practice eventually rests on. Each book takes the same structural approach: the anatomy, the failure modes, the instruments, and the operating discipline a practitioner can run. In this volume the author treats the doctor as the chart's keeper -- the honest definition, the instrument case, the courts that judge, the engagement brief, the machine era's flood, the attention ledger, the difficult cases, the compounding arithmetic, the working program, and the practitioner's path -- and holds the discipline whose product is a governed course of care to the standard the series holds every trade to: read honestly and run by design.