SAMPLE

Building Tomorrow's Consultants

The Recommendation's Keeper in the Machine Era

Aiman JoeHanz

Free sample: Introduction & Chapter 1

putrabooks.github.io

Buy the full book:

Carousell carousell.sg/p/building-tomorrow-s-consultants-ebook-pdf-1471380478

Ko-fi

Payhip

Contents

Introduction

Chapter 1 — The Definition

Chapter 2 — The Territories

Chapter 3 — The Path

Chapter 4 — The Working Day

Chapter 5 — The Engagement

Chapter 6 — The Burnout

Chapter 7 — The Conversation

Chapter 8 — The Instruments

Chapter 9 — The Year Whole

Chapter 10 — The Long Path

Introduction

Every boardroom argues with its advisers. The strategy that one director calls salvation another director calls a slideware religion; the transformation that the trade press hails as vision the shareholders later file as a write-down; the fee that the client calls an investment the procurement office calls a rental of opinions. Standing in the middle of that argument is a profession the business world cannot stop hiring and cannot stop mocking, often in the same memo. Ask a street what a consultant does and the street answers with two pictures, both wrong. In the first picture, the consultant is a guru — a seer in a merino jumper, descending from the airport with a framework the client has never seen and cannot refuse, speaking in prophecies, billing in epiphanies. In the second picture, the consultant is a hired gun — a mercenary of slide decks who arrives when a company already knows what it wants to hear, dresses the verdict in a methodology, and leaves before the consequences land. The guru and the hired gun. The visionary of the keynote and the rental of the invoice. Between those two pictures lives a real profession with a real craft, and this book was written to describe that craft at working temperature — not the mystique, not the cynicism, but the actual daily practice of the person who holds the most consequential instrument in the whole advice economy: the recommendation.

A consultant is a professional who converts evidence into recommendations that hold — advice that can be defended to a hostile room, priced against its true cost to deliver, owned by the person who signs it, and repeatable in the client's own hands after the engagement ends. The definition, like every definition in this series, is earned over a chapter rather than asserted in a paragraph, and the reader who finishes the first chapter will be able to test any advice — their own or a hired firm's — against its clauses the way an auditor tests a ledger.

This is the sixty-seventh volume of Building Tomorrow's People, a series written to give the working professions their whole honest landscape: the definition that keeps a trade honest, the territories where it earns, the road from study to first post, the daily craft, the ethics, and the career's long arc. Each volume keeps a different asset — the agronomist keeps the harvest, the statistician keeps the number, the athlete keeps the body — and the keeper of this volume is the recommendation: the document, and the discipline behind it, that turns an organization's confusion into a decision it can act on and defend. The machine era has reached the advice economy later than it reached the fields and the kitchens, but it has now arrived, and the

profession's whole fifty-year folklore of oracular authority is being audited by tools that can draft, model, and summarize in seconds — which makes the keeper's craft, the part that cannot be automated, the only durable thing left to sell.

Because the trade works behind confidentiality, the book describes a composite: a senior consultant at a mid-size advisory firm — the reader will know her by no name and recognize her by her week — followed through one full engagement year, from the proposal in January to the board's verdict in December. The composite is assembled from the profession's public record, its published memoirs and post-mortems, its working papers and its scandals, and the years of pattern every practitioner will recognize; no client, firm, or engagement in these pages is real, and every technique, fee structure, and failure mode is. The engagement year carries the whole arc a working consultant actually meets: the proposal written on evidence the firm does not yet have, the fieldwork that finds the answer nobody wanted, the honest recommendation that costs the firm its renewal, the client politics that test whether advice is advice or flattery, the burnout that tests whether the keeper is an asset or a consumable, and the machine era's instruments — the analysis platforms, the draft generators, the model libraries — audited at the working bench rather than the trade show.

The reader should feel the scale of what the profession actually is before the chapters sharpen it, because the folklore keeps the scale wrong in both directions. Consulting is one of the largest private workforces on earth: firms that began as audits and actuarial tables now advise governments on policy, hospitals on triage, airports on terminals, and central banks on the color of their money; the biggest of them bill more than the research budgets of the universities whose methods they borrow. And yet the working reality behind that scale is small and physical and unglamorous: a room with a whiteboard, a spreadsheet built at two in the morning, a site visit in safety boots, a hallway conversation where the real answer is first said out loud, and a document that has to survive a committee that did not write it. The distance between the keynote and the whiteboard — between what the profession believes about itself and what it actually does all day — is where every chapter of this book lives, and the reader is asked to hold that distance in mind from the first page to the last, because the profession's own literature rarely reports it: the memoirs describe the keynote, the scandals describe the invoice, and almost nobody describes the ordinary Tuesday in between, which is where the trade's whole character is decided.

The composite herself deserves a fuller sketch, because the reader will spend a year with her. She is in her late thirties, a manager on the partner track, fifteen years out of a degree that taught her the methods and a first client that taught her everything else. Her firm is neither the cathedral of the global strategy houses nor the anonymous body shop of the folklore: it is a mid-size advisory firm, a few hundred people, known in three industries and unknown everywhere else, which is where most of the profession actually works. Her engagement year runs one flagship client — a regional hospital group drowning in its own growth — through a full arc, with two smaller engagements rotating at the edges of the year the way small engagements always do. Her week will teach the reader the day; her board presentation will teach the year; and her two hardest moments — one honest recommendation the client did not want, and one renewal her firm did not get — will teach the trade, which is how the profession's real education has always been delivered.

The composite year also needs one honest note about what it refuses. There is a version of this book that would be a manual of frameworks — the two-by-two grids and maturity models the trade sells by the pound — and this book refuses it, for the same reason the series has always refused the standard's version of every trade: a framework is a hypothesis, not a craft, and the craft is in the judgment that knows when the grid is lying. There is a version that would be an exposé — the fees, the conflicts, the revolving doors — and this book refuses that too, not because the profession's failures are unimportant but because they have been documented better by its critics than by itself, and the reader who wants the prosecution's file has a shelf of it already. What the series has always done, and does here, is stand in the working day and describe the trade as its practitioners could defend it at their own dinner tables: with the theater named, the craft credited, the folklore priced, and the plate — the recommendation, in this volume's case — kept in the center of the frame.

Four readers are invited, as the series always invites them. The student deciding whether the road is worth its toll will find the whole map: what the work actually is, what it pays, what it costs, and what it never was. The practitioner, from first-year analyst to partner, will find their week described with the honesty the profession reserves for its own dinner tables — the part that is craft, the part that is theater, and the line between them where the living is made. The technologist, building the tools that are auditing the trade, will find what the instruments cannot do and why the profession survived every previous obituary. And the client — the executive, the founder, the

board member, the citizen paying for public advice — will find a buyer's guide disguised as a career book: how to tell the keeper from the guru, the honest recommendation from the rented verdict, and the engagement that will change the organization from the one that will only decorate its slide archive.

The timing of the volume also deserves its own sentence, because the reader will hear the machine era named in every chapter and deserves to know why the series chose this profession now. Consulting is the trade that industrialized advice — that took judgment, the oldest craft good, and taught it to scale through juniors, templates, and airports — and it is therefore the trade whose position is most exposed now that the machines have learned to scale judgment's cheaper layers. The drafting tools can write the status report; the model libraries can benchmark the market; the platforms can generate the deck in the firm's own house style. What the instruments cannot do — the audit that names a wrong number, the site visit that notices the warehouse's real problem, the recommendation signed by a person with a career to lose — is the whole of what is left of the trade, and the volume is written for the practitioner who intends to be left standing there. That is not a prophecy; it is a job description, and the ten chapters that follow are its honest manual.

A last discipline before the chapters begin. This book names no living clients, firms, or engagements, and where the profession's public record is cited — its scandals, its studies, its own published confessions — it is cited at the level of general knowledge, with the reader directed always to the primary sources for the numbers that matter. Where a figure or a fee or a statistic is needed to make a scene true, the scene is the composite's, and the working reader should check any binding figure against the current sources the back matter lists. The profession's own history, from its founding legends to its cautionary tales, is treated the way the series treats every trade's folklore: as evidence of what the trade believes, which is not the same as evidence of what the trade does.

That distinction — between what a trade believes and what a trade does — is the whole reason this series exists, and it is the sharpest in consulting, the profession whose entire product is the sentence telling other people what to do. What follows is one honest year of that profession, told at working temperature: the definition earned, the territories mapped, the road walked, the day lived, the engagement kept, the burnout survived, the rooms answered, the instruments audited, the year assembled, and the long path, at the end, still going. The reader who wants the folklore should close the book

here; the reader who wants the craft is already holding it — and the first chapter, as always in this series, begins with the only question any honest trade can start from: what, exactly, is the work?

Chapter 1 — The Definition

Every trade begins its honest self-portrait with a word's history, and the consulting trade owns one of the most misleading words in the working world. Consultant comes from the Latin consultare — to deliberate, to take counsel — and the trade has spent a century acting on the word's softer half while billing on its harder one. To consult, in the working sense, is not to chat and not to ponder: it is to be formally asked, to deliberate in earnest, and to answer in writing for a fee. The modern profession inherited three older clothes — the engineer's survey, the accountant's audit, the lawyer's opinion — and stitched them into a new garment that fit the twentieth century's new problem: organizations too large and too fast for their own judgment. The word consultant now covers the whole stitched range, from the strategists who advise on what a company should become to the implementers who stay to help it become that, and the trade's chronic identity confusion — is it advice, is it labor, is it authority? — is not a philosophical accident but a commercial strategy the first chapter will spend its length untangling.

Earning the Definition

Put plainly: the consultant converts evidence into recommendations that hold — advice defensible to a hostile room, priced against its true cost, owned by the signer, and repeatable in the client's own hands after the invoice clears. The sentence looks simple and is not; each clause earns a section, and the sections, added up, are the profession.

The evidence clause first. A recommendation is only as honest as what it rests on, and the trade's working evidence is gathered, not received: the engagement's first weeks are spent not advising at all but looking — at the client's numbers, its contracts, its site visits, its staff interviews, the data nobody inside the organization has had the hours to assemble. The profession's founding insight is that most organizations are not short of intelligence; they are short of attention. The consultant's first product is therefore attention itself, concentrated and rented, and the evidence it gathers is the raw material every later clause works on. The folklore's guru skips the clause — the prophecy arrives before the fieldwork — and the folklore's hired gun inverts it — the evidence is selected to support the verdict the retainer prefers — and both failures are the same failure: advice that cannot be defended to a hostile room, because it was never built to.

The defensible clause second. A recommendation that holds must survive the room that did not write it: the board with its factions, the management team

with its rivalries, the regulator with its checklist, the shareholder with the lawsuit's patience. Defensibility is not a tone; it is a construction — every claim sourced, every alternative priced, every assumption written where the reader can see it, and the limits of the knowledge stated by the advisor instead of discovered by the enemy. The trade's best documents read like court exhibits on purpose, because they are: the honest engagement assumes from the first page that its recommendation will be cross-examined by someone with an incentive to break it, and builds accordingly.

The pricing clause third, because the trade's reputation lives here. A recommendation has a true cost — the hours, the data, the seniority, the courage it took to say — and the honest engagement prices the advice against that cost, not against the client's desperation or the market's moment. The trade's famous fee folklore, the daily rates that price like jewelry, is the profession's most self-inflicted wound: the guru bills epiphanies, the hired gun bills allegiance, and both confuse what the advice costs with what the client can be persuaded to pay. The honest fee is a function of the evidence, the defensibility, and the ownership, and the client who learns to read a fee that way has already fired half the profession's folklore.

The ownership clause fourth, and the quietest. A recommendation that holds is signed — by a person, with a name, whose career is attached to the document's being right. The trade's scale model — the pyramid of juniors beneath a partner's signature — has always blurred this clause, and the machine era is blurring it further: the draft generator has no career to lose, and advice laundered through enough layers of anonymity belongs to no one and therefore to everyone, which is to say no one. The honest consultant can say, at the board table, I recommend this, and can be found afterward at the same address if it fails. The clause sounds quaint beside the profession's global brands, and it is the one clause the profession's own scandals keep proving no brand can substitute for.

The repeatability clause last, and least understood by clients. The trade's product is not the recommendation; it is the client's ability to make the next recommendation without the trade. The honest engagement ends with the method in the client's hands — the model documented, the assumptions transferable, the skills taught in the engagement's working weeks — because advice that evaporates with the invoice is a dependency, not a service, and the profession's renewal economics have always been an argument about which of the two the firm was selling. The keeper reads the renewal question

as a test: a client who can now do it alone and still calls again is the craft's certificate; a client who can now do it alone and never calls again was served honestly; a client who never could do it alone was never served at all.

The Three Inheritances

Because a definition is clearer against its ancestors, the chapter owes the reader the trade's family tree, which the folklore compresses into one glossy logo but which the working profession still wears as three separate coats. The engineer's inheritance is the survey: the habit of measuring before advising, the site visit as sacrament, the tolerance for mud that the trade's airport folklore never photographs — the composite's stopwatch Tuesday is this coat, worn openly, and the trade's fieldwork weeks are still named in its vocabulary. The accountant's inheritance is the audit: the document built to survive cross-examination, the standard's wording, the evidence trail behind every number — the defensibility clause is this coat, and the trade's best deliverables still read like exhibits because their authors were raised on its disciplines. The lawyer's inheritance is the opinion: advice that must be right in writing, signed, priced against consequence, and owned by a career that can be found afterward — the ownership clause is this coat, and it is the one the trade's scandals keep proving cannot be delegated to a brand, however global the letterhead. The three coats were stitched together by the twentieth century's scale problem — organizations too large for their own judgment — and the stitching's seam is still the profession's working identity: every engagement, in its first week, quietly decides which coat it is actually wearing, and the reader who learns to see the seam can read any proposal in the industry on that single axis, which is a skill worth more than most of the frameworks the trade sells.

Against the First Picture

The definition, earned clause by clause, now meets the folklore, and the first picture to fall is the guru. The guru is the profession's most profitable fiction, because the fiction prices the mystique and not the work — the seer who sees, the framework no one else may touch, the keynote that outranks the evidence. The working reality behind the fiction is the opposite of prophecy: the trade's actual analyses are slow, collective, and dull — interviews transcribed, data cleaned for weeks, the model rebuilt three times because the second version was flattered by a broken assumption — and the moments the folklore remembers as brilliance are almost always the moments the method finally caught up with an answer the evidence had been saying for a month.

The guru's damage is not the mystique's price, which clients pay willingly; it is the confusion it teaches both sides — that advice is a talent instead of a craft, that judgment arrives instead of being built, and that the trade's failures are mysteries instead of the procedural lapses they always turn out to be in the post-mortems. The profession's honest veterans keep a saying about it: the seer's cost is never the fee, it is the client's belief that seeing was ever the service.

Against the Second Picture

The second picture falls harder, because the hired gun is not a fiction the profession tells about itself; it is a fiction it sometimes tells about its clients. The mercenary caricature — the firm that will conclude whatever the retainer prefers, because renewal outranks honesty — is real enough to have a scandal file, and this series does not launder trades. But the caricature as a general description fails on the working evidence, and it fails at the composite's own bench. The trade's repeat business, the thing the caricature says the mercenary optimizes, is overwhelmingly earned the opposite way: the client rehires the advisor who told the uncomfortable truth early, because the uncomfortable truth is the one service the client's own staff cannot safely sell them. The honest consultant's recommendation, when it contradicts the client's preference, is not a commercial error but the product itself — the rental of a stranger's incentive to be right — and the firms that forgot this are the ones the caricature was drawn from. The keeper's version of the failure is subtler than the caricature's: the quiet slide of a finding toward what the room prefers, the softened word, the deleted appendix, each individually forgivable, and the sum of them a recommendation that no longer holds. The first chapter's test for the hired gun is therefore not the lurid one but the working one: does the engagement's written record, years later, say the same thing the room was told at the time?

The Recommendation as Kept Asset

The definition's clauses, assembled, describe a thing — the recommendation — and the series' working method is to ask what the thing carries, because a kept asset is known by its cargo. The recommendation carries four agreements, and the reader who lists them has the whole book in one sentence. It carries the evidence's honesty: what was actually found, stated where the reader can check it. It carries the signer's accountability: a career attached to the claim. It carries the client's autonomy: advice the client can take, decline, or redo — never a dependency. And it carries the trade's only

durable possession, the judgment that made it, exercised once in public and therefore transferable a thousand times in private. The four agreements are what the guru's prophecy cannot carry and the hired gun's verdict never does, and they are why the profession survives its own scandals: the asset, when kept, is genuinely useful, and the organizations that pay for it are not fools, whatever the folklore says on both sides.

The keeper's burden follows, as it always follows in this series. When a recommendation fails — and the trade's honest rate of miss is the profession's least published number — the keeper's investigation runs in the fixed order the series has taught across every volume: the asset first, then the method, then the keeper. Was the evidence gathered, or assumed? Was the document built to survive its enemies, or its authors? Was the truth of the fieldwork preserved in the telling, or edited for the room? The failures, examined in this order, are almost never failures of brilliance; they are failures of custody — the softened finding, the unsourced number, the signature detached from the analysis — and the keeper's craft is the daily refusal of exactly those softenings, which the following chapters will show at working scale.

The Definition, Tested on a Tuesday

Definitions earn their keep by surviving contact, so let the sentence run through an ordinary composite Tuesday from the hospital engagement's fieldwork phase. The day's evidence task is the operating theater schedule — the client's own staff believe the theaters are short of capacity, and the engagement's data says otherwise, but the data is only defensible if it is true. The composite spends the morning watching the real throughput with a stopwatch the client's own managers never used, because the schedule's official numbers measure the bookings and the stopwatch measures the turnover between them — the twenty-two minutes the folklore of the hospital calls cleaning and the stopwatch calls the engagement's first finding. The evidence clause at work: looking, not assuming.

The afternoon is the ownership clause at work. The junior's draft conclusion — the theaters are not short of capacity, the gaps between cases are — is correct and unsayable in that form: the room it must survive includes the theater matrons whose working lives it describes, and a finding that arrives as an accusation will be contested on dignity instead of fact. The composite does not soften the finding; she rebuilds its defense — the stopwatch's method in an appendix, the matrons' own constraints priced into the recommendation, the gap minutes named as the system's cost rather than any person's fault —

because defensibility is a construction, and construction is not the same as retreat. The recommendation that leaves the room that evening says exactly what the stopwatch said, and is signed, and is the beginning of the client's own people measuring their own turnover by the end of the quarter. Evidence, defensibility, ownership, repeatability: the definition's four clauses, each visible in one ordinary Tuesday, and none of them requiring anything the folklore would call brilliance.

The Machine Era's First Audit

Because the series reads every trade against its machine era, the definition earns one more test before the chapter closes: what happens to each clause when the drafting tools are in the room? The evidence clause survives best — the fieldwork's interviews, site visits, and unglamorous data cleaning are the instruments' least automatable layer, because they require a stranger's eyes inside the client's world. The defensibility clause is transformed rather than threatened: a machine-drafted analysis that no human has verified is defensible to no one, and the signature's weight — the career attached to the check — becomes the trade's scarcest asset the moment generation becomes free. The pricing clause is under the heaviest pressure, because the client can now price the draft at the marginal cost of producing it, and the honest answer is the one this chapter has been building: the fee was never for the draft, it was for the evidence, the defense, and the ownership, and the firms that priced the draft have been exposed by their own invoices. The repeatability clause, perversely, is served: a client who can interrogate the model herself is a client closer to autonomy, and the honest engagement ends by teaching exactly that. The definition, run through the instruments, comes out clearer than it went in — the automated half of the trade is not the trade, and the twentieth chapter's long path will show what that means for the careers being built on the difference.

What the Word Cannot Hold

A last boundary before the chapter closes, drawn as the series always draws it. The definition says what the consultant is for; it must also say what the consultant is not, because the trade's identity confusion is commercially profitable and the reader deserves the honest boundary. The consultant is not a manager: the client's organization stays the client's, and the advisor who starts making the decisions has quietly ended the engagement whatever the contract says. The consultant is not an auditor: the audit's opinion is bound by a standard's wording, and the recommendation's judgment is bound by

nothing but its own defense — a heavier freedom, not a lighter one. The consultant is not a vendor of hours: the invoice prices the judgment, not the chair it warms, and the trade's time-and-materials camouflage is a pricing convenience, not a description. And the consultant is not, above all, the author of the outcome: the recommendation that holds is the client's decision, made better for having been advised, and the keeper who claims the outcome is claiming the one thing the engagement never sold. The boundary, held honestly, is what separates the profession from the arrangements that borrow its name, and the trade's long path — the tenth chapter's subject — is mostly a record of what happened to the careers that forgot it.

The Chapter's Failure Modes

The series names its failures early, and the definition's are the trade's oldest three. The prophecy: advising before the evidence — the skipped fieldwork, the borrowed benchmark, the framework that arrived in the airport and was delivered unchanged — which the hostile room eventually invoices at full price. The edit: softening the finding toward the room's preference — the softened word, the deleted appendix, the confidence interval quietly widened until it contains the answer the client wanted — which is the hired gun's whole working method and the reason the trade's post-mortems keep finding the same sentence: the analysis knew. The orphan: advice owned by no one — the unsigned slide, the brand's conclusion, the recommendation laundered through enough committees to detach it from every career — which is the machine era's newest failure and the oldest one wearing new tools. Each failure is the same delegation the series keeps meeting in every volume: the keeping of the recommendation handed to the mystique, the retainer, or the tool — and the keeper's whole craft, which the next nine chapters describe at working scale, is the daily refusal of the handover.

The chapter's close returns the reader to the sentence it earned, and the sentence now carries its clauses visibly. A consultant converts evidence into recommendations that hold — defensible, priced, owned, repeatable — and the profession's whole working world, from the territories of the second chapter to the long path of the tenth, is the sentence's elaboration. The reader who has tested it on one Tuesday is ready to see where it is practiced — and the second chapter's map of the territories begins, as every honest map does, with the ground the folklore never walks: the ordinary rooms where the trade's actual working lives are spent, and where the definition either holds all week or was never really held at all.

The definition also draws borders, and the honest chapter should print them because the trade's adjacent territories are full of work that looks like consulting and is not. The interim manager who runs the department is not this trade: the interim holds the office, and the consultant holds the finding, and the confusion between the two is the staffing industry's, not the definition's. The staff-augmentation contract that rents a skilled pair of hands by the month is not this trade either: the rental carries no recommendation, defends no finding, and signs nothing but timesheets, and the procurement offices that file it under consulting have filed the rent under the letterhead's meaning. The pure compliance audit — the statutory check, the regulator's required walkthrough — is a profession of its own and an honorable one, and its practitioners will say plainly that they verify against a standard rather than recommend into a judgment, which is exactly the line the definition draws: the auditor's signature says the rule was met, and the consultant's says the future was chosen. The borders matter in the machine era more than they did in the drafting era, because the tools are fluent across all four territories at once, and the buyer's working test for which trade has arrived is the definition's own: ask the person across the table what they are unwilling to recommend, and the interim will have no answer, the rental no opinion, the auditor no remit — and the keeper will have a paragraph, and the paragraph is why the letterhead was worth it.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Across the Building Tomorrow's People series, Aiman JoeHanz has been most interested in professions that sell judgement rather than hours, and consultancy is the purest of them. The recommendation is the product, the deck is the packaging, and the client keeps both. This volume examines the engagement from the inside: how a diagnosis is sold, what the junior analyst actually does, why the same framework reappears across industries, and what happens when a model can write the interview notes but still cannot be blamed for the conclusion.