SAMPLE

Building the Quantum Technology of Tomorrow

The Engineering of Promises That Require Proof

Aiman JoeHanz

Free sample: Introduction & Chapter 1

putrabooks.github.io

Buy the full book:

Carousell carousell.sg/p/building-the-quantum-technology-of-tomorrow-ebook-pdf-1471312808

Ko-fi

Payhip

Contents

Introduction: The Two Claims

Chapter 1: The Postulate Stack

Chapter 2: The Algorithms That Matter

Chapter 3: The Decoherence Budget

Chapter 4: The Correction Tax

Chapter 5: The Interim Era

Chapter 6: The Migration Deadline

Chapter 7: The Entanglement Network

Chapter 8: The National Programs

Chapter 9: The Simulation Dividend

Chapter 10: The Honest Decade

Introduction: The Two Claims

There are two sentences at the entrance of quantum technology, and everything in this volume happens in the space between them. The first sentence belongs to physics: a quantum system can occupy many configurations at once, and those configurations can be made to interfere like waves, so that a machine built from such systems could, in principle, answer certain questions with dramatically fewer steps than any ordinary machine needs. The second sentence belongs to engineering: we can build that machine, at scale, with errors corrected, and put it to work. The first sentence has been settled for a century. The second is the project of the present decade, and it is not settled at all.

The distance between those two sentences is the reason this book reads differently from the shelf it sits on. A volume about electronics can point at the fab and the wafer and the shipped product; a volume about computing can point at the machine on the desk. A volume about quantum technology points, mostly, at promises, and the discipline that separates the serious promises from the decorative ones is not skepticism, which costs nothing and teaches less, but auditing: the habit of asking what was measured, what was verified, and what the claim costs to make true.

So this is a book about a field that is simultaneously forty years old and brand new. The mathematics was largely settled before most of its current workforce was born: the factoring algorithm that made the subject a security problem, the search algorithm that showed the speedups come in different sizes, and the error-correction architecture that turned a physics constraint into an engineering tax were all published in a single decade. What is new is the machine count. Roughly a hundred research machines now exist where a decade ago there were a handful, and a few tens of their qubits can be initialized, controlled, and measured reliably, which is the honest inventory the survey literature actually reports. That inventory is small, it is noisy, and it is growing, and the decade's whole story is the argument over how fast that last clause is true.

The reader this book serves is not the physicist who already owns the mathematics. It is the engineer, the architect, the program manager, the security lead, the investor, and the curious builder, the person who will be sold quantum technology this decade, who will be asked to fund it, assess it, migrate systems to prepare for it, or build on top of it, and who needs a structural map of what the technology is, what it honestly does, what it costs,

and what it still owes proof of. That reader needs the same thing a builder needs before constructing on unfamiliar ground: the soil report, not the brochure. Each of those readers can also take a different path through the same audit, and the book supports the shortcuts. The security lead facing a migration can read chapters one, two, and six as a unit and return for the rest later; the investor pricing platforms can start at the platform and correction chapters, where the machines' true costs live; the program manager can take the ecosystem and simulation chapters as the funding map; and the curious builder who wants the whole story earns the full sequence, which is the only order in which the book's habits compound as designed. The paths converge at the same place regardless, the reader who can ask the field's questions in the field's own units, and the chapter-ending summaries are written so that any path can be joined from any direction. The shortcuts come with one warning the series has earned the right to make: the chapters cross-reference their arguments deliberately, and the reader taking the security path, for instance, will meet the correction chapter's overhead arithmetic whether or not they read the platform chapter first. The book repeats what must be repeated and trusts the reader with the rest; the summaries at each chapter's end are the seam allowances, written so the shortcuts never come unraveled. An audit, it should be said plainly, is not an attack. The person who demands receipts for a quantum claim is doing the field a favor, because the field's genuine achievements, the algorithms, the correction architecture, the standards, the machines themselves, are exactly the parts of the record that survive questioning. What auditing removes is the other inventory: the loose verb "exponential" applied to quadratic things, the qubit counts that include qubits nobody trusts, the demonstrations that are never repeated by anyone outside the announcing laboratory. A field confident in its receipts welcomes the auditor. Only the middlemen lose.

The chapters build in the series' order. A word on the arithmetic of the decade, since it frames every chapter. The field's three eras are easy to confuse if the reader takes announcements at face value: the physics era, largely settled; the engineering era, the noisy present, funded and competitive; and the application era, the one being promised. Each chapter of this volume audits one seam between those eras, where the settled physics hands off to the funded engineering, and where the engineering must eventually hand off to applications that can carry their own cost accounting. The reader who keeps the three eras separate will find that most public confusion about quantum technology is an era error: a proof from the first era quoted as though it were

a product from the third. The decade the reader will live through sits almost entirely inside the second era's back half, and the era arithmetic explains the decade's tempo: engineering eras produce demonstrations, not products, and the demonstrations improve on schedules set by fabrication and funding, not by press releases. The reader who prices the era correctly will find the decade's calendar easier to read, the milestones that matter are the ones with units and owners, and the ones without either are the era errors wearing dates. One further word on what the volume is not, since the shelf it sits on will suggest it: this is not a textbook, and the reader will not be asked to carry equations past a sentence long. The series' volumes have treated their subjects as technologies under management, analytics, platforms, security, each audited in its own era, and quantum technology receives the same treatment: the mathematics is respected by being summarized honestly, the engineering is respected by being priced, and the reader's intelligence is respected by being trusted with the field's actual uncertainties. The reader who wants the derivations has a shelf of texts waiting; the reader who wants to know what the derivations are worth in a budget meeting has this one, and the book will keep the two shelves distinct. The volume's place in its series also deserves a sentence, because the series' readers arrive with habits this book will reuse. The earlier volumes taught their technologies as management disciplines: the analytics volume taught the difference between a metric and a decision, the platform volumes taught the difference between a capability and a cost, the security volume taught the difference between a control and a checkbox. This volume teaches the difference between a demonstration and a product, and the reader who has internalized the earlier distinctions will recognize the pattern: every technology era produces the same confusion between what it can show and what it can sell, and the audit habit is the era-independent cure. The quantum decade is simply the series' largest laboratory for the lesson so far. The book's own machinery deserves a final introductory note, because the reader will meet it in every chapter and should know its settings. Each chapter ends with a summary the reader can trust to be complete but not padded; each chapter's claims are sorted, as this introduction has promised, into what is measured, what is projected, and what is owed; and each of the decade's many numbers is quoted with its units or not quoted at all. The series' readers will recognize the conventions, and the new reader should adopt them early, because the conventions are not decoration, they are the audit habit made visible, and the chapters will run smoother if the reader's own notebooks adopt the same rules the book does. One debt deserves stating, because it explains the book's unusual confidence

about a field still under construction: the field publishes itself. The preprint culture, the open benchmarks, the published roadmaps and resource estimates, every audit this book runs draws on sources the field chose to make public, and the reader who benefits from this book's confidence should know where it comes from. The debt is also a warning, though: the openness is a culture, not a law, and the audit habit is partly an insurance policy against the decade's private turn, should one arrive. The reader should hope the culture holds, audit as though it might not, and thank, in the margins, the laboratories that made the book's receipts possible. What the reader takes to the series' other volumes is worth naming at the entrance, because this book is a habit transfer as much as a field guide. The audit questions scale to any technology: which era, which operation, which evidence, which cost, which falsifier, and the reader who has practiced them on the decade's hardest case will find the easier cases, in the series' other volumes, almost recreational. The quantum decade is the series' steepest audit terrain; the habits that survive it will survive everything else the century of technology sends, and that portability, more than any single chapter's content, is the book's actual product. A preview of the decade's vocabulary closes the introduction, because the reader should meet the book's recurring nouns once, informally, before the chapters define them with discipline. The eras, physics, engineering, application, are the book's calendar. The qubit and its registers are the field's raw material; the platforms are its factories; error correction is the fabric the factories must weave; the hybrid methods are the interim era's craft. The migration is the security reader's decade-long program; the network is the correlation shelf's infrastructure; the ecosystem is the funding weather; simulation is the dividend the whole decade rehearses toward. Each noun receives its full treatment where it lives, and the reader should feel free to forget this paragraph immediately, it is a map of the map, drawn once, so the chapters could afford to be exact. The title's word "technology" also deserves its one sentence of care, because the field is not one industry with one clock. Quantum computing, quantum communication, and quantum sensing share the postulates of the first chapter and almost nothing else: they mature at different rates, sell to different buyers, and fail in different ways. Sensing is shipping now, in instruments that never factor anything; communication's near products are narrow and physical; computing's applications wait on the correction arithmetic of the middle chapters. A claim about "quantum technology" that does not say which of the three it means is, for auditing purposes, three claims wearing one coat, and the reader should ask it to take the coat off. The postulates come first, because every claim in the field

reduces to them and because the words the field uses, qubit, superposition, entanglement, are used loosely in public and precisely here. The algorithms come second, because the algorithms are the reason anyone pays for the postulates, and because the taxonomy of speedups is where most public confusion lives: one algorithm breaks cryptography in principle, another merely reduces costs, and the difference between those two outcomes organizes the entire commercial decade. The failures come third, as they do in every honest engineering discipline: decoherence is the noise floor under every promise, and error correction is the tax paid to rise above it. The interim era comes fourth, named and framed by the field's own most quotable paper, because the reader must understand what a noisy few-hundred-qubit machine can honestly be asked to do. Then the two commitments that structure the decade: the cryptographic migration, which has deadlines whether or not the machines arrive, and the network program, which is honest network engineering wearing exotic physics. The public money follows, because the field is now a standing government program with named institutions, and the book closes with the dividend most likely to be paid, simulation, and the audit the decade owes.

Every volume in this series holds the same promises, and they matter more in this one than in most: no vendor names, no expiring statistics, no forecasts dressed as facts. What remains when those are removed is the structure, and structure is what survives the product cycle everywhere else in this catalog. Where a number in these chapters is arithmetic rather than measurement, the text says so plainly; where a claim is verified in the public source register cited in the references, the text stands behind it. The reader who finishes this volume will not be able to build a quantum computer, and does not need to. The reader who finishes it will be able to read a quantum announcement the way a structural engineer reads a developer's rendering: able to find the load-bearing walls, able to price them, and impossible to fool about which is which. One last note on how to read this volume, since the series' volumes are often read years apart from one another. Nothing here expires on a product cycle. The names of companies are absent by the series' standing rule, which is a mercy in a field where corporate alignments change quarterly; the physics that replaces them does not. The numbers that matter, error rates, thresholds, qubit counts, standard publication years, are dated where they appear and tied to the public register of sources, and a reader who wants to know whether a number has moved since publication can check the register and update it in minutes. The structure, not the snapshot, is the product.

Chapter 1: The Postulate Stack

Every technical field has a floor beneath it, a small set of statements that everyone builds on and almost no one re-derives. Quantum technology's floor is unusually small and unusually strange, and the entire commercial decade rests on four planks laid across it. This chapter lays the planks one at a time, in the order a builder would need them, because every claim the field will later make about speedups, threat, and value reduces back to these four statements, and because the public conversation about quantum technology is mostly conducted by people using the field's words without its floor.

The first plank is the qubit. An ordinary bit holds one of two states, and a machine built from bits is a machine whose entire state can be written down as a list of yes-or-no answers. A qubit holds a two-level quantum system, an atom's spin, a superconducting circuit's energy, a photon's polarization, and its state is not a choice between two answers but a weighted pairing of them. The weights are not probabilities. Probabilities add to one and stay out of each other's way; the pairing's weights are complex numbers whose squares add to one, and which can cancel each other when two paths through a computation meet. That cancellation is not a metaphor. It is the working substance of every result in the field, and it is the reason a qubit is not merely a bit that is undecided.

The second plank is superposition, and it deserves a careful statement precisely because it receives careless ones. A qubit in a superposition of two states is not "in both states at once" in any sense that permits reading both out. Measurement returns one of the paired states, with the square of its weight as the chance. The randomness here is a discipline, not a defect, and the builder's habits around it matter more than newcomers expect. The discipline has one more habit worth forming early: the ratio of the answer's confidence to the circuit's repetition is the true price of every quantum result, and it should be quoted together. A result from a thousand shots has honest error bars a reader can calculate; a result from a handful of shots has a story. The field's own reporting conventions have tightened here the way clinical reporting tightened a generation ago, sample sizes stated, confidence carried, anomalies declared, and the reader should extend to every quantum claim the same suspicion a careful reader extends to any study that forgets its N. The machines are honest; the reporting is the part that has to be policed, and the policing takes one question. Because a measurement returns a sample rather than an answer, quantum results arrive as statistics: the same computation, run many times, yields a distribution from which the answer must be

estimated, with confidence intervals that shrink only as the runs accumulate. A serious quantum report therefore carries counts, repetitions, spreads, error bars, and the reader should extend to quantum results the same courtesy extended to any other measurement: distrust the single run, demand the sample size, and remember that a beautiful result and a lucky sample are indistinguishable until the repetitions arrive. What superposition actually buys is not parallel answers but interference structure: a computation can be arranged so that wrong answers' amplitudes cancel and right answers' amplitudes reinforce, so that the single measurement at the end is disproportionately likely to return the answer that matters. The craft of quantum algorithm design is the craft of arranging that cancellation. A working picture helps, and the field's own favorite is geometric. A single qubit's pairing can be drawn as an arrow from the center of a sphere to a point on its surface: the north pole reads out as one state, the south as the other, and everything in between as the weighted blend. Nothing in that picture is metaphorical, the coordinates are real, measurable, and the working vocabulary of the laboratory, but the reader should treat it as a notepad rather than a map of the machine. Two qubits need a higher-dimensional object to draw, three more still, and the honest arithmetic of the field is that describing an entangled collection of qubits requires a number of coordinates that doubles with every qubit added. That doubling is not a difficulty; it is the resource. Thirty entangled qubits carry a description with a billion coordinates, and the field's entire wager is that a machine can hold and shape such a description even where a classical one merely struggles to write it down. Nothing in the field's toolbox allows a machine to "try all possibilities and pick the best," a sentence that appears in marketing with depressing regularity; the machine explores a structured space of amplitudes and reads out one sample from a distribution the designer shaped.

The third plank is entanglement, the pairing of qubits that has no classical equivalent. Two entangled qubits hold a joint state that cannot be written as a description of the first plus a description of the second, not because the description is too long but because the parts genuinely do not have individual states. Measuring one member of an entangled pair constrains the statistics of the other, wherever it is, without transmitting a message: no signal travels, no bit moves faster than light permits, and any reader who has met the pop-physics version of this sentence should keep the qualifier. Entanglement is the field's resource. It is what error-correcting codes weave into fabrics, what network protocols distribute between cities, and what the algorithms

consume as they work. It is also fragile in a way the next chapters price carefully. The fragility has a direction the reader should note early, because it organizes everything downstream: entanglement with the machine's own qubits is the working state, and entanglement with the outside world is the leak. The engineering problem is not to protect quantum information from quantum physics, the physics is what carries it, but to keep the machine's paired systems from pairing with heat, vibration, and stray fields, which is a boundary problem, not a mystery. A well-built qubit is not an isolated qubit; an isolated qubit cannot be read, written, or steered. It is a qubit whose contact with the world runs through carefully designed doors, and the decades of engineering this volume describes are, at bottom, the story of building better doors.

The fourth plank is the no-cloning principle, and it is the plank the public conversation most often does not know exists. An unknown quantum state cannot be copied. There is no quantum photocopier, and the reason is structural: a copier would have to produce a joint state of original and copy that cannot exist for arbitrary inputs, because pairing two independent copies of a weighted combination is not the same as the weighted combination of pairings. The consequences of that one sentence reach further than backup, and the reader should meet the main ones now because the rest of the volume trades on them. Since states cannot be copied, quantum programs cannot be checkpointed in the classical sense, paused, inspected mid-run, resumed from a snapshot, and verification methods for quantum programs must be built the way the simulation chapter builds them: exact cross-checks on small instances, consistency checks on larger ones. Since states cannot be broadcast, quantum information travels by moving or by consuming entanglement, never by duplication, which becomes the network chapter's founding constraint. And since classical error correction is, at root, copying, the correction chapter must weave rather than duplicate. One plank, three architectural consequences; the field's machinery is what no-cloning makes of necessity. The reader can also watch the planks descend into the book's own chapters, which is the fastest way to feel the floor's load-bearing power. The superposition plank, plus interference, becomes the algorithms chapter's two constructions; the entanglement plank becomes the network chapter's shared resource and the correction chapter's raw material; decoherence becomes the platform chapter's engineering bill and, inverted, the sensing shelf's instrument; the measurement plank becomes the sampling discipline every later chapter quotes. Nothing in this book arrives from anywhere else, and the

reader who ever loses the thread can return to four sentences and re-derive the map. A last orientation note belongs here, before the reader climbs to the algorithms floor: the planks also tell the reader what the field is not, and the negative space is part of the foundation. The postulates say nothing about consciousness, nothing about reversed time, nothing about energies the machines do not physically receive, and every extravagant claim the reader will meet this decade borrows the vocabulary of this chapter while dropping its content. The defense is structural rather than polemical: ask which plank the claim descends from, and the extravagant claims, unable to answer, identify themselves. The floor does more than support the field; it patrols the border, and the reader who knows it can never be fooled by anything wearing its words. One sensory note completes the foundation, because the reader will eventually stand in front of one of the machines and should know what the room says. The computing platforms of the next chapter arrive as hardware theater: a gold-plated cooling stage hanging inside a vessel taller than a person, racks of control electronics feeding coaxial lines down through the cold, and a room organized around one instruction, keep the machine cold, quiet, and still. Nothing about the theater is fake, and nothing about it is magic; it is the plumbing bill of the fragility plank, made visible. The reader who tours a laboratory should do exactly what the audit habit says: watch what the operators recalibrate, ask how often, and note the answer, because the recalibration cadence, not the qubit count, is the number that tells the truth about the machine's daily life. One more foundational habit belongs here because the laboratory visit illustrates it: the difference between the machine and the practice. The platforms of the next chapter are not just qubits; they are qubits plus control stacks plus calibration procedures plus the operators who run them, and the field's progress lives as much in the practice as in the parts, a platform whose operators have tuned the calibration for five years outperforms the same parts in younger hands, which is why capability tracking is not the same as hardware tracking. The reader who audits a program should therefore extend the audit to the practice: how the operators are trained, how the procedures are documented, how the laboratory handles the difference between a good day and a typical day. The audit question that closes the tour: how long would it take a new team to reproduce this machine's published numbers, and the answer is the machine's real specification. Interference deserves one worked moment before the floor hands the reader to the algorithms, because it is the plank the demonstrations never photograph. A quantum register can be steered so that the wrong answers to a question cancel themselves, their amplitudes arriving at the measurement with

opposite signs, subtracting to silence, while the right answers reinforce, arriving in step. Nothing mystical has happened: the machine has been choreographed so that probability itself concentrates where the question is answered, which is the whole of the field's secret and the reason the algorithms chapter's two constructions cannot be dismissed as luck. One boundary note belongs beside the interference moment, because it prevents the most common misreading of the plank. Interference is the field's engine, not its permission slip: the choreography that cancels wrong answers exists only for questions whose mathematical structure permits it, which is why the field's two algorithm families are famous and its list is short. The reader will meet, this decade, claims of quantum approaches to problems whose structure the theory already rules out, speedups asserted for tasks the mathematics has audited and declined, and the plank paragraph is the reader's defense: ask where the interference is, and the illegible claims, unable to show their choreography, identify themselves the way all unauthorized claims do, by changing the subject. One boundary belongs beside the interference moment's pond image, because analogies earn their keep by knowing where they stop. The pond's ripples cancel visibly, continuously, on a surface the eye can check; the register's amplitudes cancel invisibly, in a mathematical space with no surface and no eye, and the difference is the difference between the analogy and the arithmetic. The reader who overextends the pond will expect the machine to compute the way water behaves, as though any question could be steered into the pattern, and the field's short algorithm list is the standing correction: only the questions whose structure survives translation into that space can be choreographed at all. The pond is the door into the idea; the arithmetic is the building; and the reader should walk through the door without trying to live in it. Two vocabularies share the field, and the reader should learn to hear which one a document is speaking. The physics vocabulary, states, amplitudes, Hamiltonians, dominates the papers, and it is honest by profession: measurements quoted with error bars, claims bounded by theorem. The engineering vocabulary, qubits, cycles, availability, yield, dominates the roadmaps and the product sheets, and it is honest only by culture, which is why this book audits it so hard. The reader who hears a vendor speaking physics vocabulary about a product decision has met the era's most common category error, and the correction is always the same: ask the question the other vocabulary would ask, not what the states are doing, but what the machine costs to run. The floor's age deserves its own sentence, because it is the quietest reason the field's bets are sane. The postulates have held for a

century of experimental attempts to break them, precision tests of ever-tightening form, a global century-long audit with no confirmed exceptions, and the reader should draw the correct asymmetry: no announcement of the coming decade will be a discovery about the physics, only an engineering report on machines the physics has always permitted. The field's critics sometimes mistake this for a weakness, as though a settled foundation made the technology trivial; the building trades have known better for millennia, and the reader should hold the century of receipts as this book's first exhibit. The reader should hold the image of waves cancelling on a pond, because every result in the next chapter is, at bottom, that image executed with mathematical discipline. That is what a foundation is for, and the field is unusual among technologies in having one small enough to hold. The prohibition sounds like a limitation and is in fact a toolkit. It is why eavesdropping on a quantum channel leaves a mark, which the network chapter will build into an honest security statement. It is why redundancy in a quantum machine must be woven rather than duplicated, which is why error correction is an architecture and not a backup routine. The field's three product lines, computing, communication, sensing, each trace their value back to a different plank: computing to interference, communication to no-cloning, sensing to the fragility itself.

With the four planks laid, the founding question can be stated properly. Nature is not classical at the small scale; systems of any complexity obey the same weighted-pairing rules as the qubit, and a classical machine describing such a system is forced to track a list of weights that grows explosively with the number of parts. The founding observation of the field, made in the lecture halls of the early 1980s and carried into the formal literature over the following fifteen years, is the reversal: to simulate a quantum system honestly, use a quantum system. The survey record of the present decade frames the state of the art plainly, computing platforms based on trapped ions and superconducting qubits now exist for simulation and benchmarking, with up to a few tens of qubits reliably initialized, controlled, and measured, and this book treats that sentence as the field's true inventory, the honest baseline against which every announcement should be read. The founding question asked whether a machine built on the postulates could outsimulate any classical machine. The honest inventory says the machine exists at the scale of a good laboratory, and the decade's argument is entirely about the slope from here.

The builder's working summary of this chapter is that the four postulates

compose into one design grammar. Before leaving the floor, one clarification that saves confusion later: a quantum computer is not a faster computer, and the reader who carries that expectation will be systematically mugged by headlines. Equally worth pruning are two beliefs the postulates do not support. The first is that quantum machines explore all answers at once and simply pick the best; superposition is real, but the machine's samples come out one at a time, weighted by interference, and the algorithms that work are the ones that engineer the weighting. The second is that consciousness, observation, or mystical measurement plays a special role; measurement in these postulates is an interaction with any recording system, photodetector or thermometer, and the physics is indifferent to who or what is looking. The reader who holds the floor's four planks and drops the folklore keeps everything the field actually offers and loses nothing the field never had. will misprice every announcement the decade produces. For adding numbers, sorting lists, rendering screens, and storing records, ordinary machines remain the only sensible choice, and the quantum repertoire does not touch those workloads at all. The machine this grammar builds is a specialty instrument for problems with quantum structure, factoring's periodicities, unstructured search's cost floors, simulation's exploding ledgers, and the decade's commercial question, which the rest of this volume audits honestly, is how much that specialty is worth, to whom, and when. Interference lets answers be shaped; superposition is the space they are shaped in; entanglement lets many qubits hold shapes no list could describe; no-cloning makes those shapes single-copy resources that must be protected rather than duplicated. Everything else in the volume, the algorithms, the noise, the correction tax, the network, the public ledgers, is this grammar placed under load.

The Stack Page

• A qubit's state is a weighted pairing of two levels with complex weights that can cancel; the cancellation, not "trying everything," is the working substance.
• Measurement returns one sample from a shaped distribution; craft is arranging the shape.
• Entanglement binds qubits into joint states with no individual description; it is the field's consumable resource.
• No-cloning forbids copying unknown states; it powers quantum communication's tamper-evidence and forces correction to be woven, not backed up.
• The founding question, simulate quantum systems with quantum systems, is now an inventory: a few tens of qubits reliably initialized, controlled, and

measured, per the survey register.

• Ask of any quantum claim: which plank does it stand on? A claim that requires copying unknown states, reading superposition without measurement, or messaging through entanglement is not an engineering claim.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Aiman JoeHanz writes the Building of Tomorrow series -- structural, vendor-neutral guides to the technologies reshaping industry, labor, and daily life. Each volume treats one technology the way an engineer treats a machine: definition first, then anatomy, failure modes, principles, build, deployment, and the honest decade ahead.