SAMPLE

Building the Energy Sources of Tomorrow

A Practical Guide to the Technologies Reshaping Power Generation

Aiman JoeHanz

Free sample: Introduction & Chapter 1

putrabooks.github.io

Buy the full book:

Carousell carousell.sg/p/building-the-energy-sources-of-tomorrow-ebook-pdf-1465660982

Gumroad

Ko-fi

ElevenReader

Payhip

Contents

Introduction

Chapter 1: Current Energy Landscape

Chapter 2: Limitations and Challenges

Chapter 3: Solutions and Innovations

Chapter 4: Feature Deep-Dives

Chapter 5: Outdated and Future Outlook

Chapter 6: Conclusion and Recommendations

Chapter 7: Solar Energy Technology

Chapter 8: Wind Energy Technology

Chapter 9: Energy Storage Technologies

Chapter 10: Grid Integration of Renewables

Chapter 11: Hydropower and Marine Energy

Chapter 12: Nuclear Energy

Chapter 13: The Energy Transition

Chapter 14: Geothermal Energy

Chapter 15: Bioenergy

Chapter 16: Hydrogen Economy

Chapter 17: Carbon Capture and Storage

Chapter 18: Smart Grid Technology

Chapter 19: Energy Economics

Chapter 20: Energy Policy and the Future

Closing Note

Frequently Asked Questions

Glossary

This free sample contains the Introduction and Chapter 1. The complete book contains the full contents above.

Introduction

For 200 years, human civilization has been powered by fossil fuels. That era is ending. Not because fossil fuels are running out — there is enough coal, oil, and gas for centuries — but because something better is emerging. Clean energy technologies are now cheaper, cleaner, and more abundant than the fossil fuels they replace.

This book is about that transition. It examines ten critical technology areas — from solar to nuclear fusion to hydrogen to carbon capture — that will define how humanity powers itself in the 21st century. It is written for the people who need to understand this transition: engineers building the infrastructure, investors allocating capital, policymakers shaping regulation, and citizens who want to understand the forces reshaping our energy system.

The research for this book draws on data from the IEA, IRENA, BloombergNEF, Lazard, and peer-reviewed research from Nature Energy and Joule. It is grounded in current project economics, published cost curves, and stated technology roadmaps. Where predictions are made, they are qualified with confidence levels and based on observed learning rates, not speculation.

This book belongs to the Building of Tomorrow series, a tour of the technologies that will define the coming decade — from artificial intelligence to autonomous vehicles. Energy is where the whole series eventually grounds out: no intelligent system, connected device, or autonomous vehicle works without power that is clean, abundant, and reliable. Each volume, this one included, pairs technical depth with accessibility and strategic context with rigor.

Seven chapters covering the current landscape, limitations, solutions, ten feature deep dives, future outlook, and actionable recommendations. The book balances optimism about what is possible with honesty about what is hard.

This research draws on the work of thousands of scientists, engineers, and analysts at the IEA, IRENA, NREL, MIT Energy Initiative, and clean energy companies worldwide. Any errors or omissions are solely the responsibility of the author.

-- Aiman JoeHanz August 2026

The energy transition is the largest infrastructure project in human history. Global energy expenditure exceeds $7 trillion annually — approximately 8% of global GDP. Replacing the fossil fuel infrastructure that provides 80% of this energy with clean alternatives requires rebuilding the world's power plants,

transportation fleet, heating systems, industrial processes, and fuel supply chains. The International Energy Agency estimates that achieving net-zero by 2050 requires annual clean energy investment of $4.5 trillion by 2030 — triple current levels.

The scale of this transition creates both challenges and opportunities. The challenge is mobilizing capital, building infrastructure, and retraining workers at unprecedented speed. The opportunity is that the transition itself creates enormous economic activity — manufacturing, construction, installation, and maintenance of clean energy infrastructure will generate millions of jobs and trillions of dollars in economic value. The nations and companies that lead this transition will shape the global energy economy for the next century.

This book examines the energy sources that will power tomorrow's world. It covers the technologies, economics, and policies that will drive the transition from fossil fuels to clean energy. Each chapter focuses on a specific energy source or technology, providing the technical depth needed to understand how these systems work, their advantages and limitations, and their role in the future energy mix. The goal is to equip the reader with the knowledge needed to participate in — and contribute to — the most important transformation of our time.

Chapter 1: Current Energy Landscape

PRACTICAL INSIGHT The energy transition is not a single technology shift but a system transformation. Focus on the intersection of generation, storage, grid, and policy. The biggest opportunities lie where these systems connect: grid-scale storage, demand response, and smart grid integration.

In 2025, fossil fuels supplied 80% of global primary energy. Oil provided 31%, coal 26%, and natural gas 23%. Renewables (including hydro) provided 15%, and nuclear 5%. But the transition is visible in the growth rates: renewables added a record 510 GW in 2025, while fossil fuel capacity grew less than 1%. Clean energy investment ($2.1T) doubled fossil fuel investment ($1.0T) for the first time.

The shift from extraction-based to technology-based energy is the defining trend. Fossil fuel prices are volatile and tied to finite resources; renewable energy costs are predictable and declining. The LCOE (Levelized Cost of Energy) tells the story clearly: solar at $0.03/kWh, onshore wind at $0.03-0.06/kWh, and battery storage at $0.10-0.15/kWh are all cheaper than new coal ($0.08-0.15/kWh) or gas ($0.05-0.12/kWh) in most markets.

LCOE is the right starting question and the wrong finishing one. It prices each technology as an isolated producer selling every kilowatt-hour it makes at an average price — assumptions that dissolve as penetration rises. A variable source's LCOE excludes the system costs it externalizes: backup capacity, transmission from remote resource areas, and the growing share of its own output that arrives when the market is already saturated. Two plants with identical LCOE can therefore carry very different system value. The honest comparison is LCOE plus integration cost on one side, and the reliability attributes — dispatchability, duration, firmness — on the other. Reading any cost chart without those two footnotes is how optimistic deployment plans get written and then quietly redispatched.

Solar PV is the fastest-growing energy source in history. 1,850 GW is installed globally, with 510 GW added in 2025 alone — more than the total installed capacity of all nuclear reactors. China leads with 45% of global installations, followed by the US (12%), India (8%), and the EU (15%).

Deployment concentration is only the visible half of a deeper one: the equipment itself is, to a first approximation, made in one country. China refines most of the battery-chain minerals, builds the majority of cells, panels, and towers, and assembles them at costs that reflect entire-city-scale industrial clusters no rival has replicated. The strategic response — domestic manufacturing incentives on three continents — is now colliding with its own

economics: rebuilding a supply chain costs years and a premium, and every dollar of that premium lands in the price of fighting climate change. The system is working through a real trade-off, not an error: pure low-cost procurement maximizes deployment speed; pure self-sufficiency maximizes resilience at deployment's expense. The portfolio answer — diversified but not autarkic, allied but not single-source — is easy to state and genuinely hard to price, and the pricing is now the world's most consequential industrial negotiation.

Current commercial panels achieve 22-24% efficiency using monocrystalline silicon PERC technology. Perovskite-silicon tandem cells have reached 33.9% efficiency in the lab and are entering commercial production in 2026, promising 28% commercial efficiency by 2028. Bifacial panels (generating from both sides) increase yield 10-15%, and tracking systems add another 20-25%.

The cost trajectory is remarkable: utility-scale solar costs have fallen from $0.35/kWh in 2010 to $0.03/kWh in 2026 — a 90% reduction. At current learning rates, costs will continue dropping 10-15% per year. Solar is now the cheapest source of electricity in most of the world.

Cheap generation is not yet a cheap system, and the difference compounds precisely when solar succeeds. As midday penetration rises, the hours in which solar output arrives are the same hours in which its market value is collapsing — every additional panel dilutes the price all panels earn. In grids that have reached high solar shares, midday wholesale prices routinely fall toward zero or below, and the revenue a new solar plant can expect is measurably lower than that of the plants before it. This is not a flaw in the technology but a property of its success: value deflation is the market's way of demanding storage, flexible demand, and east-west panel orientation as part of the package. Any solar economics calculated on yesterday's capture prices overstates tomorrow's.

Onshore wind has 1,020 GW of installed capacity globally at $0.03-0.06/kWh — competitive with any energy source. Turbine sizes have grown from 2-3 MW in

2015 to 6-8 MW in 2026, with hub heights reaching 150+ meters. The US, China, and Germany lead onshore wind capacity.

Offshore wind is growing 20% annually, with 75 GW deployed globally. Turbine sizes have reached 18 MW per unit (Vestas V236), with 22 MW turbines under development. The larger turbines capture stronger, more consistent winds at sea, achieving capacity factors of 50-55% vs 35-40% for onshore. Floating offshore platforms enable deep-water sites, opening vast new resource

potential — the global floating offshore wind potential is estimated at 7,000 GW.

The resource is enormous; the constraint is everything that floats cost implies. Offshore wind carries the physics of better wind — higher capacity factors, more consistent output — and the economics of the sea: salt-water corrosion, vessel-day maintenance, submarine cabling, and port infrastructure that exists nowhere at the scale a mature industry would need. Floating platforms multiply the resource but also the mass of steel per megawatt and the distance from repair crews. The industry's history is a boom-and-bust cost cycle in which auction prices fell faster than engineering reality could follow, forcing project cancellations and redesigns. Reading offshore wind plans therefore means separating two curves: turbine technology, which improves genuinely, and total project cost, which is hostage to ports, ships, and supply chains that cannot be patented or mass-produced as quickly.

Nuclear provides 10% of global electricity from 440 reactors, with 60 new builds underway — mostly in China (30+ reactors) and India (10+). The nuclear renaissance is driven by climate urgency and energy security concerns. China alone plans 150 new reactors by 2035.

Small Modular Reactors (SMRs) promise factory-built, lower-cost reactors (50-300 MW) with passive safety systems. NuScale's VOYGR (77 MWe)

received NRC certification in 2025, though the first project was cancelled due to cost overruns.

The NuScale arc deserves to be remembered precisely because it was not a failure of design. The reactor passed its licensing — the industry's hardest single exam — and still collapsed commercially, because certification proves a machine can be built safely, not that anyone will pay what the first units cost. Its debut project unwound on subscription: the utility customers who once signed provisional offtakes walked away as interest rates rose and the per-unit price climbed. The lesson every subsequent SMR program has absorbed: the binding milestone is not regulatory approval but a bankable order book — a customer of consequence, bound to price and schedule, whose commitment amortizes the first-of-a-kind premium the rest of the fleet inherits. Certificates open the door; contracts pay for the factory. Other SMR designs (GE Hitachi BWRX-300, Rolls-Royce SMR, TerraPower Natrium) are advancing toward deployment in 2028-2030. The SMR market is projected at $300B by 2035.

The binding constraint on small modular reactors is not the reactor physics but the economics of first-of-a-kind engineering. Every prototype pays a cost premium that only a production series can amortize, and no one commits to a

series until someone else pays for the prototype. Breaking that loop requires what aviation and shipbuilding found decades ago: a customer willing to buy the first dozen units at above-market cost to prime the learning curve, and a regulator willing to certify the design once rather than licensing each site as a bespoke project. Without series orders, SMRs do not get cheaper; they get cancelled — as the first certified designs discovered when their debut projects collapsed under cost overruns. With series orders, factory repetition can genuinely move the cost curve. The technology's future depends less on the reactor than on the procurement.

Battery storage has 120 GWh deployed globally, growing 40% annually.

Battery deployment is compounding for a reason worth making explicit: storage and solar are each other's best customers. Every gigawatt of solar deepens the midday glut and steepens the evening ramp, which raises the value of exactly the service batteries sell; every gigawatt of batteries flattens the curve, which raises the penetration solar can reach. The pair is a single co-evolving system, and its two cost curves reinforce — which is why forecasts that model them independently have undershot both. The strategic reading for planners is to watch the ratio, not either number alone: storage-hours per solar-gigawatt is emerging as the grid-planning statistic of the decade, the way reserve-margin defined the fossil era. Lithium-ion dominates at $200-350/kWh, with LFP (lithium iron phosphate) chemistry preferred for stationary storage due to safety and cycle life (6,000-8,000 cycles).

Sodium-ion batteries are entering the market at 40% lower cost than lithium-ion, using abundant sodium instead of lithium. CATL and BYD have begun commercial production. For long-duration storage (8-100 hours), iron-air batteries (Form Energy) offer $20-30/kWh — 10x cheaper than lithium-ion per kWh of stored energy. Vanadium flow batteries provide unlimited cycle life for 4-12 hour applications.

Storage economics hinge on a distinction most summaries blur: the cost of power and the cost of energy. A battery's price per kilowatt-hour of capacity is only half the story; the other half is how many hours it sustains that power and how many cycles it survives doing so. Lithium-ion dominates short durations because its high energy density keeps the power-related costs small; for a four-hour battery, that efficiency wins. But stretch the duration to a hundred hours and lithium's per-kWh advantage becomes a liability — you would buy enormous, mostly idle power electronics alongside every marginal hour of energy. Flow batteries, iron-air systems, and thermal storage invert the trade: cheap energy capacity, expensive power conversion, effectively unlimited cycling. Matching chemistry to duty cycle — seconds, hours, days, seasons —

matters more than any single headline cost figure.

Green hydrogen from renewable electrolysis costs $4-7/kg in 2026 — too expensive for most applications but projected to reach $1-2/kg by 2030 as electrolyzer costs fall from $1,000-2,000/kW to $200-400/kW. Major projects: NEOM ($8.4B, 2.2 GW electrolyzer), HyDeal Europe (67 GW), and HyDeal Ambition (95 GW globally). 200+ GW of electrolyzer capacity is planned by 2030.

Planned is the softest verb in the energy lexicon, and the honest reader discounts it hard. Across the industry's recent history, the ratio of announced capacity to capacity that reaches a final investment decision has hovered near one in four, and the gap widens precisely where the announcement serves diplomacy or stock narrative rather than an engineering schedule. The reliable signals sit further down the funnel: land purchased, offtake contracts signed in commercial (not 'framework') terms, main equipment ordered with delivery dates, and grid applications filed with fees paid. The discipline is not cynicism — several announced waves do become real — but sequencing: celebrate the FID, not the press release, and treat the announced-to-built conversion rate of any technology as the single most informative number about its maturity.

Current applications: refining (40%), ammonia production (35%), and steel (emerging). The hydrogen economy is in its early stages but scaling rapidly. The key breakthrough needed is cost reduction — at $1-2/kg, green hydrogen becomes competitive with grey hydrogen (made from natural gas) and enables decarbonization of steel, shipping, and aviation.

Hydrogen's honest handicap is the physics of its detour. Converting electricity to hydrogen and back to useful work discards 30-40% of the energy at best, and more once compression, transport, and conversion losses are counted — against near-lossless direct electrification. That penalty is the reason for the sector's central rule: hydrogen belongs where molecules are irreplaceable — steel reduction, ammonia synthesis, long-haul fuels — not where a wire would do the same job. Every proposal to run heating, commuting, or grid storage on hydrogen must first answer why the same renewable electricity should not simply power a heat pump, a train, or a battery directly. The applications that survive that question are fewer than early roadmaps promised, but they are also genuinely hard to serve any other way — which is what makes the surviving hydrogen market valuable rather than merely large.

Clean energy investment ($2.1T in 2025) creates 16 million jobs globally. Solar employs 7 million, wind 2.5 million, hydro 2.5 million, and storage/EV manufacturing 2 million. The energy transition is the largest job creation engine of the 21st century.

The headline number hides the transition's hardest labor problem: the jobs and the job losses rarely live in the same place. Solar manufacturing and wind construction cluster where supply chains and ports are; coal mines and refinery towns sit where geology put them, often hundreds of kilometers from the nearest clean-energy cluster — and the skills that transfer (electrical, mechanical, subsurface) transfer less readily than the rhetoric suggests. National totals therefore flatter what regional economies experience: a country can gain a hundred thousand energy jobs while a dozen communities lose their economic center. The programs that work treat geography as the central constraint — recruitment quotas for transition regions, relocation support, and above all siting new plants where the old jobs were, even at some cost premium — because the arithmetic of political survival is local, not national.

Despite the rapid growth of renewables, fossil fuels still dominate global energy. Oil provides 31% of primary energy, coal 27%, and natural gas 24% — together accounting for 82% of global energy supply. Oil powers 90% of transportation. Coal generates 36% of electricity. Natural gas provides 23% of electricity and 30% of heating. These shares are declining but remain enormous in absolute terms — global fossil fuel consumption is higher in 2026 than at any point in history.

The fossil fuel infrastructure is vast and deeply embedded. The world has over 1.4 billion vehicles, 95% powered by internal combustion engines. The global electricity grid has over 5 million kilometers of transmission lines connecting thousands of power plants. The oil and gas pipeline network extends over 2 million kilometers. Refineries process 100 million barrels of oil per day. This infrastructure represents trillions of dollars of invested capital with decades of remaining operational life, creating powerful economic inertia against rapid change.

Inertia and stranding are the same phenomenon on different timelines. Capital markets reprice fossil assets the moment demand expectations shift — decades before the power plants, pipelines, and refineries physically stop operating — which is why write-downs, insurance withdrawals, and financing scarcity arrive first, and retirements arrive second. The practical consequence cuts both ways: politicians face stranded-community pressure long before the emissions fall, yet the assets themselves keep running at the margin because their sunk costs make their output cheap. The transition's true pace is therefore set in boardrooms and lending committees, not just in parliaments. Anyone modeling decarbonization as an engineering substitution alone will systematically overestimate how fast the stock turns over and underestimate how fast the flow of new capital dries up.

Energy access and energy sources vary dramatically by region. Sub-Saharan Africa has the lowest electricity access rate in the world — 600 million people lack electricity entirely. South Asia has achieved near-universal electrification but relies heavily on coal (India: 70%+ coal electricity). The Middle East is rich in oil and gas but increasingly investing in solar. Latin America leads in renewable electricity, with Brazil and Colombia generating over 70% of electricity from hydropower. Europe has the most aggressive clean energy targets, with the EU committed to 42.5% renewables by 2030 and net-zero by 2050.

These regional disparities mean that the energy transition will proceed at different speeds in different regions. Wealthy nations with strong grids and access to capital will transition fastest. Developing nations face the dual challenge of expanding energy access while avoiding fossil fuel lock-in. Resource-rich nations must diversify their economies as fossil fuel demand declines. The global energy transition is not one transition but many, each shaped by local resources, economics, and politics.

Regions building their first grids now hold a structural choice that established ones lost decades ago: they are not obligated to retrace the centralized path. The mobile-telephony precedent is exact — nations that skipped landline networks leapt straight to distributed, capital-light architectures that proved more resilient than the ones they never built. Mini-grids and standalone solar now stand where cell towers stood: cheaper for the first kilowatt-hour, faster to deploy, and indifferent to the transmission planning that stalls centralized builds for years. The risk is the mirror of the opportunity: without coordination, distributed systems can calcify into isolated islands too small to support productive industry. The grid architectures being chosen now across Africa and Southeast Asia are once-in-a-generation decisions, and they will do more to shape those regions' industrial geography than any generation technology will.

KEY TAKEAWAYS - Fossil fuels still provide 80% of global energy but their share is declining as renewables grow exponentially. - Solar and wind are now the cheapest sources of new electricity generation in most of the world. - Energy demand continues to grow globally, meaning the transition is about adding clean capacity faster than fossil capacity, not immediately replacing all fossil fuels. - The energy landscape is highly regional: China leads in renewable deployment, Europe in policy ambition, and the US in technology innovation.

The world is in the midst of the most significant energy transformation in human history. For 200 years, civilization has been powered by fossil fuels — first coal, then oil and natural gas. Today, that era is drawing to a close. Climate change, air pollution, energy security, and economic opportunity are

driving a rapid shift toward clean energy sources.

In 2025, clean energy investment reached $2.1 trillion — double fossil fuel investment for the first time in history. Renewable energy capacity grew by a record 510 GW, equivalent to the entire installed capacity of Germany every three months.

Capacity comparisons are vivid but treacherous, and the reader should learn to translate them. A gigawatt of solar is not a gigawatt of nuclear: running at a fifth of the hours, it delivers a fifth of the annual energy, so 'a Germany every three months' of panels is closer to a Germany of nuclear-scale output every year and a quarter — still extraordinary, but a different sentence. The honest unit for energy systems is terawatt-hours delivered, and the honest habit is to ask of every capacity headline: at what capacity factor, in which hours, delivering what share to demand?

None of this diminishes the record — it converts an impression into a number that can be compared, banked, and planned against. Power is how fast the tap pours; energy is how much water is in the glass. Solar PV became the cheapest source of electricity in human history at $0.03/kWh, undercutting coal and gas in most markets without subsidies. Battery storage costs fell below $200/kWh, making electric vehicles cost-competitive with internal combustion engines in most segments.

These trends are not just accelerating — they are compounding. Solar installation costs have dropped 90% since 2010. Wind turbine costs have dropped 60%. Battery costs have dropped 90%. Each doubling of cumulative production drives costs down another 20-30% (Wright's Law). The technologies that will power the 21st century are on exponential cost curves, while fossil fuels are on linear depletion curves.

Exponential curves are what technologies do in isolation; what systems do is absorb frictions that bend them. Deployment at scale runs into interconnection queues, permitting timelines, transformer shortages, electrician labor pools, and community siting disputes — none of which follow learning curves. The historical pattern is consistent: a technology's growth is exponential until it becomes infrastructure, then it becomes political. Solar did not slow because cells stopped improving; it slowed where grids filled. This is why realistic transition planning watches the friction indicators — queue lengths, permit backlogs, interconnection durations — as closely as the cost curves, and why the most valuable innovations of the next decade may be administrative: faster studies, standardized permits, and grid investment rules, rather than any new panel or battery.

This book provides a comprehensive overview of energy technology in 2026, covering the current landscape, limitations, solutions, and future outlook. It examines ten critical technology areas that will define the energy transition: advanced solar, nuclear (fission and fusion), wind energy, hydrogen economy, energy storage, geothermal energy, ocean energy, bioenergy, smart grids, and carbon capture.

The audience for this book is broad — engineers designing the next generation of energy systems, investors evaluating clean energy opportunities, policymakers shaping energy regulation, and anyone who wants to understand the technologies that will define the 21st century.

Replacing the global fossil fuel system — valued at $40+ trillion and built over 150 years — is the largest infrastructure project in human history. It requires:

- $100+ trillion in clean energy investment over 25 years, averaging $4 trillion per year - 3.2% annual replacement rate of fossil energy, unprecedented in infrastructure history - Meeting growing demand — global energy demand grows 1.5-2% annually as developing economies industrialize - Decarbonizing hard-to-abate sectors — steel (2.6 Gt CO2/ year), cement (2.5 Gt), shipping (1.0 Gt), and aviation (0.9 Gt) require fundamentally new technologies - Building grid infrastructure — 1,500+ GW of renewable projects await grid connection globally; new transmission takes 7-10 years to permit and build - Securing material supply chains — lithium, cobalt, copper, and rare earths are supply-constrained with concentrated geographic sources - Ensuring a just transition — supporting workers and communities dependent on fossil fuel industries

The transition must happen fast enough to limit global warming to 1.5-2°C, but orderly enough to maintain energy security and economic stability. This is the defining challenge of our generation.

This book moves from context to technology to action. Chapters 1-6 establish the landscape: today's energy system, its limitations, the solutions arriving now, deep dives on key features, the technologies becoming outdated, and overall recommendations. Chapters 7-18 then examine each major technology area in depth — solar, wind, storage, grid integration, hydropower and marine energy, nuclear, the transition as a system, geothermal, bioenergy, hydrogen, carbon capture, and smart grids. Chapters 19-20 close with the economics of energy and the policy architecture shaping the future, followed by a frequently-asked-questions section and a glossary.

All findings are based on: - IEA World Energy Outlook and IRENA's transition outlook series - BloombergNEF's New Energy Outlook series and Lazard's LCOE

analyses - Peer-reviewed research from Nature Energy, Joule, and Energy & Environmental Science - Industry data from major energy companies and project developers - Hands-on analysis of project economics and technology specifications

- Energy engineers designing solar, wind, storage, and hydrogen systems - Investors and analysts evaluating clean energy opportunities and market trends - Policymakers shaping energy regulation and climate policy - Students and researchers studying energy technology and the energy transition - Business leaders planning for the shift from fossil to clean energy

KEY TAKEAWAYS - The global energy landscape is undergoing the most significant transformation since the industrial revolution, driven by cost-competitive renewables and climate policy. - Understanding energy sources requires examining technology, economics, policy, and environmental impact together — no single lens is sufficient. - The transition from fossil fuels to clean energy is not a future possibility but a present reality, with renewables accounting for over 30% of new capacity additions globally. - Energy literacy — understanding how energy is produced, delivered, and consumed — is essential for citizens, policymakers, and investors navigating the transition.

End of free sample. The full book is available at putrabooks.github.io

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Aiman JoeHanz writes about the technologies that are quietly reshaping the world. His Building of Tomorrow series examines the infrastructure behind artificial intelligence -- from blockchain and cloud computing to agentic systems and token optimization -- and asks how these technologies will evolve over the coming decade.

His books are built on technical research and engineering documentation, written for readers who want to understand systems rather than trends. He covers what is being built today and what it will take to build what comes next.

He believes that understanding infrastructure is the difference between watching the future happen and helping to create it.