SAMPLE

Building the Cobots of Tomorrow

Building the Collaborative Machines of Tomorrow

Aiman JoeHanz

Free sample: Introduction & Chapter 1

putrabooks.github.io

Buy the full book:

Carousell carousell.sg/p/building-the-cobots-of-tomorrow-ebook-pdf-1465868735

ElevenReader

Ko-fi

Payhip

Contents

Introduction

Chapter 1: The Definition

Chapter 2: The Anatomy

Chapter 3: The Safety Machinery

Chapter 4: The Senses of the Shared Space

Chapter 5: The Gripper and the Tool

Chapter 6: The Task, Divided

Chapter 7: The Program

Chapter 8: The Exception and the Contact

Chapter 9: The Human's Half

Chapter 10: The Cell and the Operating Program

About this Series

Connect

This free sample contains the Introduction and Chapter 1. The complete book contains the full contents above.

Introduction

Automation kept the person outside the fence. Autonomy sent the machine beyond the person's supervision. The collaborative robot — the cobot — brings the two of them back together: the machine and the person, in the same workspace, on the same task, at the same bench, with no fence between them and no distance to be trusted. This volume builds that machine, and the building is the most demanding in this series, because the cobot's defining condition is the one every previous volume engineered away: the person is inside the machine's reach, every minute, every cycle, and the machine's own design must be what protects them.

The volume's definition is strict. A cobot is a machine engineered, from its first design decision to its last commissioning test, to share a workspace and a task with a person, protected by its own measured limits rather than by the person's absence. The chapters that follow build every clause: the definition and its impostors, the doubled anatomy and the shared volume, the force limits and the speed-separation trade, the senses that track the person as a first-class object, the gripper and tool that deliver the safety to the work, the task's division that gives each party their honest half, the taught program with its governed changes, the exception ladder that begins with a touch, the human's body, trust, and dignity, and the operating program that keeps it all honest for the life of the cell.

The series' method is unchanged. Each chapter is structural synthesis: the concept built clause by clause, the impostors named, the buyer's questions carried forward, the chapter's place in the volume's architecture restated at the close. No vendor names, no statistics, no forecasts — the machine's disciplines, stated plainly, for the reader who audits, buys, deploys, or works beside the machine the word cobot has been promising.

The previous volumes asked what a machine may do without a person's hands, and what it may decide without a person's judgment. This volume asks the harder thing: what a machine may do with a person standing inside its reach — and the answer, engineered, is the collaboration this book exists to build.

—ᛟAiman JoeHanz

Chapter 1: The Definition

The word has been spent. Cobot has been handed to any machine that drew no blood this quarter — industrial arms throttled down and painted green, fenced robots with a light curtain bolted on, telerobotic gadgets, marketing's favorite photograph of a sleek white arm beside a smiling worker — and the handing-out has stripped the word of nearly all its engineering value. This volume needs the word back, and the chapter reclaims it the way the series always reclaims a word: by defining it strictly enough to audit. A cobot is a machine engineered, from its first design decision through its last commissioning test, to share a workspace and a task with a person, no fence between them, such that the person's protection comes from the machine's own design rather than from separation from it. Each clause bears weight, and the chapter raises them one by one before standing back to defend the whole.

Engineered starting at the first design decision.The cobot's safety is not an accessory added to a finished machine; it is the machine's organizing principle, present in the same decisions that define its speed, its reach, and its strength. The series' automation volume taught that the safe machine is the one whose safety is designed in and audited; the cobot extends the lesson, because in the cobot the safety design and the machine design are the same act. A mass, a joint torque limit, a speed ceiling, a stopping distance, a rounded housing edge — none of these is a safety feature bolted on; each is a property the machine has because the machine was conceived as a cobot. The audit question this clause arms the buyer with is the volume's first: what did safety change in this machine's design, and if the answer is a label and a light curtain, the machine is an impostor wearing the word.

To share a workspace. The human and the machine occupy the same floor, the same reach, the same cubic meters of air — not sequentially, on a schedule, with gates and interlocks keeping their worlds apart, but simultaneously, doing different parts of the same work in the same place. The shared workspace is the cobot's defining geometry, and the geometry carries the whole discipline inside it: every sense the machine has must account for the person, every motion must be planned for the person's presence, every exception must be answered in a way that is safe for the person. The machine behind a fence shares nothing; the machine on a schedule shares only time; the cobot shares space, and the sharing is the design problem this entire volume exists to solve.

And a task. The sharing is not merely spatial. The cobot and the person are working on the same job — the same assembly, the same pick, the same weld — and the task's division between them is designed, rehearsed, and audited. A machine that works beside a person on an unrelated task is a neighbor, not a

collaborator; a machine that alternates with a person on the same task but never touches the same work-in-progress at the same time is a queue partner, and a valuable one, but the cobot's full promise is the shared task: the machine holds while the person fastens, the machine presents while the person inspects, the machine and the person building one thing together, each doing the half the other is worse at. The task division has its own chapter, because it is where the economics live.

Without a fence between them. The fence is the industrial robot's answer to the human question: keep the person out of the machine's volume, and the machine may be as fast, as strong, and as indifferent as the work requires. The fence is not a failure — it is a superb solution, and the automation volume treated it with respect — but it is a solution whose cost is paid in floor space, in material flow, in the walling of the factory into zones that must be entered and exited, guarded and audited. The cobot's wager is that there is a class of work where removing the fence is worth the price of the machine's gentleness: the small batch, the mixed production, the task too variable for a fenced cell and too heavy for a person alone. The fenceless machine earns its place only by paying, in design, for every square meter of shared space — and the volume's middle chapters are the account of that payment.

Protected by the machine's own design. This is the clause that separates the cobot from every impostor, and the discipline's hardest requirement. The person standing inside the machine's reach is protected not by their distance from the danger but by the danger's own limits: the arm that cannot exceed a measured force, the motion that cannot exceed a speed where that force would be exceeded, the stop that completes before harm occurs. The protection is intrinsic, verified by measurement, and honest about its coverage — and the volume's safety chapter is nothing but this clause's machinery: the force limits, the speed-separation trade, the modes of contact, the tests that prove the limits hold. The buyer's question, which this chapter states and the safety chapter answers with the whole apparatus: show me the measurement, and show me what it does not cover.

The automation volume's contract ran to three clauses: scope, exception paths, supervision. The autonomous volume stretched them to cover judgment.The cobot's contract is different in kind, because the cobot's work is shared, and a shared work needs a contract between two workers as well as between a machine and its owner. The cobot's contract has four clauses, and the volume builds each one. The task clause: who does which half, stated in a division of work that both parties' behavior can be checked against — the machine's program and the person's procedure, two halves of one job, each written down.

The space clause: where each party works and where the overlap is, the shared volume mapped, its entries and exits designed rather than discovered. The contact clause: what happens when the machine and the person touch — because in a shared space they will, by accident, by misjudgment, by the person reaching for the work at the wrong moment — and the contact's force, duration, and outcome are designed properties, not surprises. And the attention clause: who is watching whom, when, and how the machine earns the person's trust and keeps it calibrated rather than assumed.

The contract's novelty is the person inside it. The automation's human supervised from outside the scope; the autonomous system's human stood at the edges of the machine's judgment; the cobot's human is inside the machine's working volume, every minute, every cycle, a party to the work itself. The whole volume follows from this relocation: the senses must see the person (the shared-space chapter), the safety must bound the contact (the safety chapter), the task must be divided around the person's strengths (the division chapter), the program must be teachable by the person (the program chapter), and the operation must keep the person's trust measured and maintained (the human chapter). The contract is no metaphor; it is the audit, and a buyer demanding all four clauses on paper — the task division, the space map, the contact evidence, the trust machinery — is exactly the buyer these volumes have been training since the first one.

A discipline this series imposes on itself is the naming of impostors — every system that wears the word and skips the contract. The slowed-down industrial robot: a standard arm, fenced at its core and simply run with its speed cut, its cabinet light green because somebody lowered a parameter.It may be safe, in a given installation, under a given risk assessment — but its safety is a setting, not a design, and the first firmware update or careless parameter restore returns it to what it is. The fence-and-curtain hybrid: the robot that stops when the light curtain is crossed, genuinely safe by separation, wearing the cobot label because the fence was replaced by a sensor — a fine machine whose protection is still the person's distance from the danger, and whose word is a marketing decision.

The teleoperator: the surgical and demolition machines, the remote arms — a human's hands at a distance, sharing nothing with a bystander, and not a cobot because the collaboration is with an operator's skill, not a coworker's presence. The exoskeleton: the machine worn rather than stood beside, brilliant and boundary-case — the person and machine share a body rather than a workspace, and its discipline is prosthetics and human factors, close kin to this volume but not inside it. And the social pretender: the humanoid companion, the reception android, the machine designed to be looked at

rather than worked with — sharing space for the space's sake, not the task's, and belonging to the interaction designers rather than the manufacturing engineers.

Every impostor is a genuine system with a genuine discipline, and the naming is bookkeeping, not contempt. The slowed-down robot is an honest machine whose only dishonesty is its label; the hybrid is often the correct answer for a particular floor; the teleoperator saves lives every day. What none of them is, though — this volume's entire subject — is the machine whose protection of the person is intrinsic, measured, and built into its bones — and a reader who can place any announced machine in its true category after one meeting owns the definition, and the chapter is theirs.

The definition reads as though The fence's logic built the factory the automation volume described: zones, gates, interlocks, the walling of the floor into the machine's places and the people's places, and the safety discipline of that whole era was the discipline of keeping the two apart. The word cobot arrived from the other direction: from the observation that there was a class of work the fence could not reach — the small batch, the mixed production, the assembly too variable for a cell and too heavy for a person — and that reaching it would require a machine built to the person's presence rather than walled against it. The first cobots were not arms at all but the guided machines of the research lab, later the light arms with measured force limits, and the definition this chapter built is the engineering content that arrived with them: the machine's gentleness designed, measured, and audited, rather than the fence's separation.

The history's structural lesson is the one the series has taught in every volume: each generation keeps the previous one's shape. The fenced robot's interlocks, risk assessments, and safety discipline did not vanish in the cobot; they were inherited whole, and the cobot's addition is a new rung on the same ladder — the protection moved from the person's distance to the machine's own limits, with the same audit, the same measurement culture, and the same blameless inquiry when the machinery fails. The reader who knows the automation volume's safety chapter already knows this volume's risk assessment; what is genuinely new — the force limit's arithmetic, the shared volume's geometry, the task's division, the trust's calibration — is the new material, and the volume's chapters are the account. The marketing that announces the cobot as a rupture is describing the paint; the machine beneath is the industrial discipline's direct heir, taught to share.

The history also explains the impostors' persistence, the same way the automation volume's history explained its own: the word's arrival created the

budget line, and the budget line attracted every machine that could plausibly wear the label — the slowed-down arm, the curtain-equipped cell, the telerobotic gadget — because the label was worth money and the definition was not yet enforced. The volume's severity, like the automation volume's, is the audit that holds the budget honest, and a buyer applying the definition will discover, in any era's catalog, that the machines earning a pass are the machines worth the word.

Between the fenced robot and the full cobot stretches a ladder of collaboration, and it earns its own section because most real installations sit somewhere on its middle rungs. The lowest level is coexistence: machine and person share a floor but neither a workspace nor a task — the arm at its station, the person at theirs, the fence traded for distance and a painted line.The second level is sequential collaboration: the parties share a task but not a moment — the machine loads, the person finishes, the work-in-progress passing between them on a schedule, with the shared volume occupied by one party at a time.

The third level is cooperation: the parties work simultaneously in the shared volume on different parts of the work, their motions interleaved, their zones distinct, their coordination the tracking's job. The fourth level is the full collaboration of this volume's definition: the machine and the person working on the same piece at the same time — the holding and the fastening, the presenting and the inspecting — the task's two halves in one place at one moment.

The ladder is no maturity scale, and this chapter says so against a decade of vendor decks: higher rungs are not superior, they are more shared, and sharing is a bargain whose price the safety chapter sets. Some floors belong on the first rung permanently, their work monotonous enough to fence and hazardous enough to need it; some tasks hit their best economics on the second rung, whose buffer between the parties soaks up the variance full sharing cannot absorb; and the fourth rung repays its price only where the task's seam truly passes through the same workpiece at the same moment — the weld that needs the hand's adjustment while the machine holds the heat.

The sin is never a low rung; the sin is a rung mislabeled — the coexistence machine marketed as a collaborator, the sequential cell whose brochure shows a photograph the floor cannot reproduce.The buyer's honest question is the ladder's own: which rung does this installation truly stand on, and was the safety machinery — the force limits, the tracking, the contact design — built for that rung or merely labeled with it?

The ladder's engineering content is the transition. Every rung boundary marks

a shift in the work's coordination, and each transition is a designed interface with failure modes of its own: the coexistence line turning into a sequential cell when the buffer fills and a hand reaches across; the sequential handoff turning into cooperation when the takt tightens and the parties overlap; the cooperation turning into collaboration when the fixture's geometry brings hands and tool onto one surface. The volume's later chapters construct the machinery that keeps those transitions honest — the shared volume's map, the state contract, the recovery's census — and the reader should tote the ladder forward as a map of where that machinery earns its keep.

A walk-through is how the definition earns its keep, the series' own method: four floors, opened to expose the same clauses.The electronics assembly: the machine presents the board at the person's working height, the person seats the connectors no gripper can feel, the machine holds the work still while the hands do the delicate half — a stated goal (the assembly's cycle), a shared workspace (the bench's mapped volume), a divided task (the seam of Chapter

6), and a contact clause (the handover's designed touch). The machine-tending bench: the cobot loads the lathe that no person should stand at, and hands the finished part to the inspector whose bench it shares — collaboration with a machine on one side of the person, showing that the definition's person can stand between two machines and be protected by both designs.

The laboratory bench: the machine carries the hazard — the hot sample, the repetitive pipetting, the vial's monotonous hours — and the person does the science, the shared volume built around a fume hood's constraints rather than a conveyor's. And the packing station: the machine lifts the cases the backs should never see, the person does the variance (the irregular order, the fragile piece), and the cell's whole economics is the body's bill.

The walk-through is not arguing that the packing station matches the laboratory for exactness; it is showing that the definition's clauses survive every scale.The buyer auditing any cobot — the one on their floor, the one in the vendor's demo, the one in the next quarter's budget — asks the same questions: what did safety change in the design, where is the force measurement, who drew the shared volume's map, and what happens when the person touches the machine. The volume's remaining chapters are this walk-through done slowly, one clause at a time, with the machinery each clause requires.

The chapter's last historical note is the word itself, because the name carries a claim the buyer should know how to weigh. The collaborative robot was named from the idea of collaboration before the machines existed to fill the name — a research program's word, later an industry's label — and the gap between the

name's promise and the machines' delivery is the definition's whole burden. The early cobots were not safe arms at all but guided constraints: machines whose entire function was to shape a person's motion, the collaboration literally physical, the machine as a force-feedback partner.

The industry's later use of the word — the light arm, the measured force, the fenceless cell — kept the name and changed the machine, and the honest reader holds both senses: the cobot as the constrained partner (the machine that works with the person's own body) and the cobot as the bounded arm (the machine that works beside the person safely). This volume's definition covers the second sense and honors the first's spirit, and the buyer who hears the word should ask which sense the vendor's machine delivers — because the constraint partner and the bounded arm share a name, a safety philosophy, and almost nothing else.

The definition's clauses meet their tests at the edge, and the chapter owes the reader the honest boundaries. The machine that is fenceless but unfenced: the arm on an open bench whose person is protected by distance and training rather than measurement — a coexistence installation wearing collaboration's clothes, honest under a painted line's discipline and dishonest under the word's. The machine that is collaborative in one mode and industrial in another: the arm with a switched envelope, gentle inside the shared volume and fast inside its fenced zone — a genuine cobot in the one mode, a fenced robot in the other, and the buyer's question the mode machinery's: who guards the switch, and what happens when the modes disagree?

The mobile machine: the collaborative arm on a moving base, the shared volume itself in motion — the geometry's honest extension, and the tracking's whole burden doubled, because the boundary and the person must now be computed against two motions at once. And the machine-tender's hybrid: the cobot that serves a fenced machine, sharing its space with the person and its work with the lathe — the definition's clauses holding across three parties, with the fence's discipline inside the machine and the collaboration's discipline around it. Each edge case is the definition applied, and the reader who can name the party protected, the clause honored, and the measurement behind it has the definition in practice, which is the chapter's whole ambition.

The chapter's close is the series' close: the questions the buyer carries into the meeting, and the vendor's honest answers. What did safety change in this machine's design — name the decisions, not the accessories? Where is the force limit's measurement, taken on this model, by this method, and what does it not cover? Is the shared workspace a designed volume with a map and an audit, or an open floor where the machine happens not to have hurt anyone

yet? Is the task division written, rehearsed, and owned by both parties? And when the person touches the machine — not if, when — what happens, in newtons of designed consequence, and who verified it? The vendor who answers with the word collaborative and no measurements has sold a label; the vendor who answers with the chapter's four clauses has sold a cobot, and the volume's remaining chapters are the machinery behind the answers.

End of free sample. The full book is available at putrabooks.github.io

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Aiman JoeHanz writes the Building of Tomorrow series, a volume-per-technology account of the systems defining this decade -- each one a structural treatment of the parts, the failure modes, the economics, and the operating discipline a builder can run. In this volume the author takes the series inside the fence's old boundary: the cobot, the machine engineered to share a workspace and a task with a person -- treated here as the strict definition and its impostors, the doubled anatomy and the shared volume, the force limits and the speed-separation trade, the senses that track the person as a first-class object, the gripper and tool that deliver the safety to the work, the task's division and its honest seam, the taught program with its governed changes, the exception ladder that begins with a touch, the human's body, trust, and dignity, and the operating program that keeps the collaboration honest for the life of the cell. The catalog applies the same lens to everything else the decade is building.