SAMPLE

Building the AgriTech of Tomorrow

The Field That Becomes Many Farms

Aiman JoeHanz

Free sample: Introduction & Chapter 1

putrabooks.github.io

Buy the full book:

Carousell carousell.sg/p/building-the-agritech-of-tomorrow-ebook-pdf-1471336398

Ko-fi

Payhip

Contents

Introduction

Chapter 1: The Definition and Its Impostors

Chapter 2: The Field's Honest Arithmetic

Chapter 3: The Sensing Stack

Chapter 4: The Prescription

Chapter 5: The Implements

Chapter 6: The Seed

Chapter 7: The Data Commons

Chapter 8: The Economics

Chapter 9: The Record

Chapter 10: The Decade Ahead

Introduction

A field is not one farm. It never was. Walk a hundred acres and you cross a dozen soils, two water tables, a slope that drains its north half three days before its south, a clay pocket that holds rain like a grudge, and a history of use that left its nutrients in stripes. The farmer has always known this; the farmer's grandfather named the patches and managed them by memory, steering wide around the wet corner and seeding the thin ridge lighter. What the farmer never had was a machine that could act on the knowledge. The planter treated the hundred acres as one thing, the sprayer treated them as one thing, and the agronomist's advice arrived as one number per field, because a number per patch was arithmetic no one could execute even if they could compute it.

Agricultural technology, at its center, is the removal of that constraint. The field is measured patch by patch, the measurement becomes a prescription, and the machines apply the prescription patch by patch: seed here thicker, nitrogen there lighter, water now on the south half only, spray only where the weeds actually are. The technology stack under that sentence, sensors, maps, variable-rate machines, robots, breeding, and the data plumbing that joins them, is what this book builds, the way the series builds every machine: definition first, then anatomy, then failure modes, then economics, then the operating program a builder can actually run.

The series' promises hold here as everywhere. No vendor is named, no product endorsed, no statistic reported that will expire before the ink dries. Every industrial account is a composite, assembled from the field's public record and labeled as a composite, and every number in the text is either a physical constant, a worked example whose arithmetic the reader can check, or a ratio derived in front of the reader. The method is structural because structure is what survives: the brochures of any given decade name machines that are already obsolete, while the base rates of soil variance, the economics of a margin that earns once a year, and the ownership question of who keeps the field's data have governed every previous wave of farm technology and will govern this one.

The book runs ten chapters after this one. Chapter 1 draws the definition and its impostors, the line between the machine that acts per patch and the machine that merely watches. Chapter 2 builds the field's honest arithmetic: the yield equation, the variance a prescription must beat, and the base rates that make agriculture the most variance-exposed industry a technologist can

enter. Chapter 3 builds the sensing stack, from the soil probe to the satellite, and prices what each layer can and cannot see. Chapter 4 builds the prescription, the map that becomes machine instructions, and the four failure shapes that make the wrong map worse than no map. Chapter 5 builds the implements: guidance, variable-rate machines, targeted sprayers, and the robots that thin, weed, and pick. Chapter 6 turns to the seed itself, the decade's quietest and largest software artifact, and its breeding arithmetic. Chapter 7 builds the data commons and its ownership fight: the yield record as an asset, the vendor's natural appetite for it, and the contract terms that decide whose field the data serves. Chapter 8 runs the economics: margins per acre, crossing lines, the smallholder arithmetic, and the honest accounting of what the stack costs to own. Chapter 9 reads the record, the composites of wins and graveyards the field's own literature offers, and discounts the claims against the receipts. Chapter 10 closes with the decade ahead in honest probabilities.

A word to the reader who farms, the reader who builds, and the reader who invests, because the three read this book for different sentences. The farmer should read Chapter 4's failure shapes and Chapter 8's ownership accounting before signing anything. The builder should read Chapter 2's base rates before writing a line of code, because most failures in this field are not software failures but variance failures, products built for a field that does not exist. The investor should read Chapter 9's graveyard arithmetic before the projections, because the graveyard is where the projections are buried. The book asks of the reader only the patience the subject requires: the technology is genuinely new, the constraints it meets are as old as the plow, and the honest account of their meeting is the only account worth building on.

A reader deserves the book's own limits, stated where they can be weighed before purchase. This volume teaches the structure of the agricultural technology stack, and it does not teach agronomy: the nitrogen curves, the rotation's logic, and the plant's pathology are the profession's own curriculum, and the book treats them only where the stack's machines must be understood in their terms. It does not teach the law: the ownership surface of Chapter 7 is drawn structurally, in the clauses a contract must answer, and the statutes that enforce the clauses differ by nation and change faster than a book should. It refuses, as the series always refuses, to be a buying guide: the machines named in no paragraph, the prices worked as arithmetic rather than quoted, because the quoted price expires and the arithmetic does not. What the book promises instead is the buyer's and builder's literacy, the definitions,

the base rates, the failure shapes, and the audit practices that let the reader price any machine, any subscription, and any claim the decade puts in front of them, and the promise is kept if the reader leaves able to run the paired strip, the pipe walk-through, and the crossing-line arithmetic on their own field.

One last promise belongs here, in the front of the book where promises are kept, and it is the promise of the reading itself: the reader who finishes these ten chapters will have priced the stack's every layer in the same currency, run the same audits the record's winners ran, and held the same receipts the composites' losers lacked, and the reading is an afternoon's habit, not a degree's labor. The chapters build their own tools as they go, and the tools are the book's real content: a definition's card, a ruler of base rates, a tower's orders, a map's receipt, a fleet's checks, a bag's provenance, a commons' walk-through, a ledger's page, a record's discounts, and a decade's card to close. Ten tools, one afternoon each in a farm's year, and the sum is the literacy the series promised on its first page and delivers on its last: the technology of tomorrow, held in tools the reader's own hands can run, on the reader's own field, beginning the season after the reading ends.

The method, one paragraph, for the reader who wants it stated plainly: every claim in this book is either structural, a property of arithmetic or physics that cannot expire, or labeled composite, an account assembled from public reporting and presented as illustrative rather than historical. Where a claim cannot be made durable, it is not made. The chapters that follow keep this discipline in the field's language, in the farmer's units, in the acre, the bushel, and the rain that does not ask permission, and the reader who finishes the book will be able to audit any agritech proposal, from a drone service to a breeding license, with the same blade.

Chapter 1: The Definition and Its Impostors

A definition earns its keep when it separates the machines a builder must treat differently, and agricultural technology is buried in machines that share cabinets, cables, and trade-show floors while being entirely different things. The working definition this book uses is one sentence: agricultural technology is the stack that measures a field at sub-field resolution, converts the measurement into a per-patch prescription, and executes the prescription through machines that act differently on different patches. Three clauses, and each clause excludes a population of impostors that the field's marketing does not exclude, which is why the definition is Chapter 1 and not a footnote.

The first clause is measurement at sub-field resolution. The object is not the farm but the patch, the square of land small enough that its soil, water, and history can be treated as one value, and the measurement must resolve the patch, not the field. The impostor this clause excludes is the dashboard machine, the gadget that reports the farm's averages to a screen in an office. The average is the field-level number the farmer already has; a machine that recomputes it is a reporting layer, useful and sellable, but it changes nothing at the ground because it was never aimed at the ground. The test is simple: ask what changes downstream of the screen. If the answer is nothing, the machine measures the field; if the answer is a planter's population rate on a particular clay knoll, it measures patches, and the clause is satisfied.

The second clause is the conversion of measurement into a prescription. Data that ends in a report is surveillance; data that ends in an instruction is agronomy. The prescription is the moment the stack stops being a camera and starts being an agronomist, and it is the clause where the impostors cluster thickest, because conversion is where the vendors discovered that the word insight can be sold by the pound. The honest prescription has a specific form: input, rate, patch, and time, with the reasoning visible enough that an agronomist can audit the rate. The impostor is the recommendation engine whose rates cannot be traced, the machine that prescribes more of the product its seller also sells, and the definition's second clause is where the field's governance has to live, because a prescription is advice, and advice with an undisclosed commission is not advice.

The third clause is execution through machines that act per patch. The prescription must arrive at the actuator, or it is a plan for a farm that runs on wishes. This is the clause that separates the agritech stack from precision agriculture's first generation, which measured beautifully and executed

uniformly, because the variable-rate planter and the sectional sprayer did not exist yet at prices the margin could bear. The impostor here is the most seductive of all: the machine that measures, prescribes, and reports, but whose only actuator is the farmer's thumb on a smartphone, converting a beautiful map into a decision made at the end of a fourteen-hour day. The stack is complete only when the actuator is mechanical, electrical, or hydraulic, and the human's role is supervision rather than translation.

The three clauses exclude, so it is honest to say whom they exclude, because some of the excluded are good machines. The weather station is excluded by the first clause: it measures the farm, not the patch, and belongs to the stack as an input rather than as its center. The accounting package is excluded by the second: it converts receipts into summaries, not measurements into rates. The driver-assist steering of the family of modern tractors is excluded by the third: it acts, and acts beautifully, but it acts uniformly, the machine that drives the straightest line over a field whose patches it treats as one. None of these is a bad purchase, and the definition does not grade purchases; it draws the boundary of the subject, so that the chapters that follow can price the machines inside the boundary without inflating the account with the machines outside it.

The boundary also runs through single products, and the reader must be able to cut along it. A harvester that measures yield on the go, streaming a map as it cuts, is a sensing machine in this book's terms, and it is the most common entry point into the stack because it pays for itself as a harvester first and becomes a sensor second; the same machine with the yield stream disabled is a harvester, full stop. A greenhouse's climate controller that holds a building's air to a recipe is per-patch in the building's terms and belongs inside the definition, which is why the book treats controlled-environment agriculture as agritech's pure form, the patch shrunk to a plant and the variance engineered out of the sky. A breeding pipeline is inside the definition through the second clause's wider door, a seed whose genes are a prescription for how the plant should read its environment, and Chapter 6 gives it its own chapter because the seed is the only input the stack ships in a bag.

The definition survives its hardest test, which is the word precision, a term the industry adopted before it decided what it meant. In the definition's terms, precision is not a compliment but a resolution: the size of the patch, and the decade's usage blurs three different resolutions that a buyer must keep separate. There is measurement precision, how finely the sensing stack of

Chapter 3 divides the field; there is prescription precision, how finely the zone map of Chapter 4 assigns different rates; and there is execution precision, how finely the machines of Chapter 5 can change their behavior. A system can be precise at one resolution and uniform at the next, and the commonest commercial configuration of the last two decades is exactly that asymmetry: measurement at the meter, prescription at the zone, execution at the pass, so that the beautiful map is averaged twice before it touches soil. The definition's clauses give the buyer the vocabulary for the asymmetry, and the question that ends most demonstrations early is which resolution the claimed percentage was measured at.

A worked boundary case is worth more than a paragraph of principle, so take the variable-rate irrigation valve, the machine that waters one zone of a pivot's circle while the boom passes over another. The valve is inside the definition on all three clauses: it measures through the probe network, prescribes through the water balance, and executes through its own solenoid. Now move the same valve to a field with one soil, one slope, and one aquifer, a field whose patches differ by nothing the water cares about: the valve still measures, still prescribes, still executes, and its prescriptions all say the same thing. The machine is inside the definition and outside the economics, and the case teaches the definition's second use, which is not classifying machines but classifying installations. The definition's clauses are a test of the match between the machine and the field, and a stack installed on a uniform field is a camera in a blank room, technically working and structurally pointless, which is why the honest vendor's first question is about the field's variance and the dishonest vendor's first question is about the buyer's budget.

The impostors also have impostors, a recursion the field deserves because the word smart is the decade's most inflated coin. A smart irrigation controller that reads one weather feed and delays a watering is a timer with a modem, and the definition's first clause catches it: it measures the sky, not the patch. A smart sprayer that adjusts its total rate from the tractor's speed is a governor, a century-old mechanism wearing a prefix, and the third clause catches it: it varies with the machine, not with the ground. The reader who runs the three clauses on the word smart finds that most of what carries the prefix is one clause deep, one sensor, one rule, one actuator, and the term that survives the audit is not smart but instrumented, which is a humbler word and an honest one. The book uses the definition to deflate the marketing not because the marketing is dishonest but because the buyer who cannot deflate it cannot price it, and the unpriced machine is the one the farm pays for twice, once at

purchase and once at the season's end.

The definition's last service is historical, and it sorts the industry's own timeline honestly. The stack's first generation, the yield monitor and the early navigation, satisfied the first clause and only the first: the field was measured as never before, and the measurement mostly died in binders, because the prescription clause had no software and the execution clause had no actuators at prices the margin could bear. The second generation added the prescription, the zone map and the variable-rate controller, but executed it on machines whose resolution lagged the map. The third generation, the one the decade is shipping, closes all three clauses at once, and the reader who knows the timeline reads the trade-show floor as a museum of generations, booths selling the first generation's instruments under the third generation's vocabulary. The chapters that follow build the third generation honestly, and they start, as every honest build must, with the ground the machine stands on: the field's arithmetic.

A definition earns a second test the field rarely administers, and the chapter should: the boundary under failure. Run the three clauses against a stack that measures, prescribes, and executes, then loses the connectivity for a week in planting season, and watch what each layer does. The honest machine keeps a local copy of its prescription and executes offline, because the map was downloaded to the cab, not streamed to it; the machine that cannot act without the server is not a farm tool but a terminal, and the definition's third clause, read closely, implies the first two, because an actuator that needs a data center is an actuator the weather can defeat with a router. The same test runs at the security layer: the prescription file is an instruction to a machine that applies chemicals at agronomic rates, and a forged or corrupted map is a physical risk, not a data breach, so the mature stack signs its maps, and the buyer's checklist gains a line the trade show does not print, who can write to the machine that writes on the field.

The definition also implies an organizational test, because the three clauses are usually owned by different parties, and the seams between the parties are where the stack's accountability lives. The sensing layer is often a service, the drone contractor or the satellite subscription; the prescription is often the agronomist's or the seed company's software; the execution is the farmer's machines and the farm's labor. The definition holds as a system and dissolves as a contract, and the failure that matters, the wrong rate on the wrong patch, can be caused upstream, at the sensor, or midstream, at the curve, while its

cost lands downstream, at the crop. The mature buyer writes the seams down before the season: whose map, whose model, whose actuation, and the record of Chapter 9 shows the disputes that arise when nobody wrote the seams, the claim that the sensor was calibrated, the counterclaim that the prescription was executed, and no paired strip to settle it.

A last impostor is not a machine at all but a word, and the word is autonomous, the decade's most expensive adjective. The stack's machines run the spectrum from assist, the machine helps the hand, to auto, the machine executes the map while the human supervises, and the honest word for everything shipping this decade is supervised automation: a human is responsible, in reach and in law, for every actuation the machine performs. The definition's clauses keep the word honest, because the prescription has an author, the author is a person or a company, and the execution has an operator whose logs Chapter 3 counted. The reader who hears a machine called autonomous should ask the definition's question, who owns the failure, and the stack that cannot answer has named its own imposture, a machine with no author is a machine with no warranty, and the field's record keeps its failures under the owner's name.

The chapter owes the reader the impostor that is hardest to see, because it looks like the definition and lives inside the honest machines: the stack that measures the wrong variable. The sensing stack can measure with perfect resolution and prescribe with perfect provenance on a variable the crop does not pay for, the soil's color where the crop reads the soil's water, the canopy's greenness where the harvest reads the canopy's structure, and the failure shape is invisible at the demonstration because the demonstration shows the map, not the money. The test is the grade of Chapter 4's loop: the yield map asks the prescription what it earned, and the variable that does not answer is the impostor, however beautiful its layers. The definition's first clause says measurement at sub-field resolution, and the clause's honest extension is measurement of the yield-bearing variance, the stable and transient layers of Chapter 2, and the stack that resolves a variable the margin cannot use has bought resolution the field will not pay for, which is the costume's technical form.

The definition also deserves its frontier paragraph, the boundary the decade is moving, so the reader can watch it move honestly. The three clauses were written for the open field's machines, and the decade's controlled environments push the boundary inward, the patch shrunk to the plant, the

season shrunk to the day, the variance engineered out of the sky and into the recipe. The vertical farm and the growth chamber satisfy the definition at a resolution the open field cannot reach, and the boundary's honest statement is that they are the same technology at different scales, the probe, the prescription, and the actuator, with the actuator a lamp's spectrum and the prescription a recipe's curve. The reader should hold both truths: the boundary moves inward, and the open field's arithmetic, the weather and the margin and the calendar, does not move at all, and the technology that wins the decade will be the technology that respects both, the chamber's control sold where control pays and the field's judgment kept where it cannot be bought.

The definition's final paragraph belongs to the reader who must police it in themselves, because the buyer's own organization grows impostors faster than any vendor ships them. The cooperative that buys a sensing service and then averages its maps for the board's slide has installed a dashboard with extra steps; the ministry that funds a stack and measures adoption by the acre covered, not the loop closed, has funded the costume directly; the farm's own agronomist who prints the season's beautiful maps and never grades them against the strips has become the impostor's most effective salesman, the one inside the trust. The definition's clauses are a discipline of attention as much as a taxonomy of machines, and the organization that keeps the three questions alive at every meeting, what resolution, whose reasoning, which actuator, is the organization the definition was written to protect, which is the reader's own, and the chapter ends by handing the clauses over.

The definition deserves a closing exhibit the reader can carry in one sentence, and the exhibit is the three questions asked of a real product family the decade will offer every farm: the equipment-maker's bundle, the input-dealer's platform, and the cooperative's shared stack. The maker's bundle measures well and executes well, and its prescription layer is the thinnest, the machine company's agronomy being a courtesy the margins of the whole bundle carry; the dealer's platform prescribes well, and its provenance is the commission shape the definition's second clause warns of, the curve that recommends the dealer's own product; the cooperative's stack owns its commons and rents its layers, and its weakness is capital and craft, the members' patience being the stack's real subscription. The definition does not choose between them, it prices them: the buyer who can run the three clauses down the three offers knows which machine to buy from which party, and the exhibit's teaching is that the stack's three clauses are usually owned by three vendors, and the farm's architecture is the seam-work, which is what the rest of the book

teaches.

The chapter closes with the definition printed as the reader's own instrument, one sentence on a card for the shirt pocket, because the whole book is long and the moment of decision is short. The card: the machine that measures patches, prescribes honestly, and acts where it reads is the machine this book builds; the machine that misses a clause is an impostor the three questions catch; and the farm that asks the questions owns the century's oldest answer, the field known patch by patch, delivered at last by instruments instead of memory. The definition was drawn first because everything else in the book prices it, and the reader who keeps the card keeps the book, and the chapter, and the series' method, in the form the trade-show floor actually demands: three questions, asked standing up, answered before the coffee is cold.

One more boundary the definition must survive is the boundary of time, because the stack's clauses can be satisfied by a machine that measures, prescribes, and acts only for one crop, and the buyer should see the limitation before the purchase prices it. The specialty stack, the greenhouse's climate, the orchard's irrigation, the dairy's feed, is agritech in the definition's full sense, all three clauses joined inside one enterprise's walls, and the specialty stack's success is real and permanent, the controlled environments having crossed their lines a generation ago. The field's stack, the open-field grain and forage where the acreage and the commodity prices live, is where the definition's clauses are hardest to join, because the weather and the calendar tax every clause, and the buyer moving between the two worlds should carry the definition's questions unchanged, because the impostors are identical in both: the greenhouse has its dashboards, the orchard has its untraceable curves, and the dairy has its commission shapes, and the three questions travel as well as the machines do.

The definition deserves one last historical exhibit, because the field has been here before and the record's oldest chapter teaches the definition's use. The horse's replacement by the tractor took two generations, not because the tractor was worse but because the crossing lines were real: the tractor's capital against the horse's forage, the mechanic's trade against the blacksmith's, the fuel's logistics against the pasture's, and the farms that ran the arithmetic honestly bought the machine when their own lines crossed, while the farms that followed the fashion paid for both and starved either. The stack's adoption is the tractor's story told again at a different scale, and the reader who knows the pattern can predict the decade's arguments from the

last century's record: the early adopters' yields argued, the fashion's bankruptcies argued louder, and the generation that finally owned the technology was the generation that learned its arithmetic, which is the generation this book is written for, and the definition's card is the arithmetic's first tool, and the exhibit's teaching is the series' oldest: the machine is new, the crossing line is not, and the definition's three questions were asked at the horse's stable door before anyone asked them at a booth.

The definition's chapter owes the reader the wrap it promised at its start, and the wrap is the card's last line, the reason the card works. The three questions work because they are the definition's clauses wearing the buyer's grammar, and the clauses work because they were cut from the field's own physics: measurement, because the patches are real; prescription, because the rate is an instruction with an author; actuation, because the ground only changes when something touches it. The impostors are many because the money is large, and the card is small because the physics is small, three clauses the ground has enforced since the first acre was farmed as more than one thing, and the reader who keeps the card has the century's newest tools answering the field's oldest questions, which is the whole of the agritech of tomorrow, held in a sentence, asked at a booth, answered at a kitchen table.

The definition's chapter ends with a confession the series owes every reader who has carried the card this far, because the card's brevity should not be mistaken for the field's simplicity. The three clauses are easy to ask and hard to answer, and the answers' difficulty is the reason the rest of the book exists: what resolution, whose reasoning, which actuator, and at what price, on this farm, this decade, against these base rates, is an engineering education compressed into a purchase, and the chapters that follow are the education, taken one layer at a time, in the order the field itself demands: arithmetic before instruments, instruments before maps, maps before machines, machines before seeds, seeds before commons, commons before ledgers, ledgers before records, and records before the decade, which is the order the reader is now holding, and the card, and the book.

The definition's value, the reason a book should open with it, is that it predicts the failure modes the later chapters document. The impostors fail differently: the dashboard fails by being true and useless, the untraceable recommendation engine fails by being useful and unauditable, the thumb-actuated stack fails by being right and unexecuted. A buyer who holds the three clauses reads a demonstration with a different eye, and the

trade-show floor, which the next chapters will visit twice, sorts itself into machines that measure patches, machines that prescribe honestly, and machines that act, and the buyer's question to every booth becomes the same three questions: what resolution, whose reasoning, which actuator. The chapters that follow answer the questions one layer at a time, starting with the arithmetic the patches are made of.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Aiman JoeHanz writes the Building of Tomorrow series -- structural, vendor-neutral guides to the technologies reshaping industry, labor, and daily life. Each volume treats one technology the way an engineer treats a machine: definition first, then anatomy, failure modes, principles, build, deployment, and the honest decade ahead.