SAMPLE

Building the 3D Printing of Tomorrow

The Shape That Builds Itself

Aiman JoeHanz

Free sample: Introduction & Chapter 1

putrabooks.github.io

Buy the full book:

Carousell carousell.sg/p/building-the-3d-printing-of-tomorrow-ebook-pdf-1471336272

Ko-fi

Payhip

Contents

Introduction

Chapter 1: The Definition and Its Impostors

Chapter 2: The Four Families

Chapter 3: The Material's Physics

Chapter 4: The Slice and the Toolpath

Chapter 5: The Build's Failure Shapes

Chapter 6: The Arithmetic of the Build

Chapter 7: The Economics

Chapter 8: The Distributed Factory

Chapter 9: The Record

Chapter 10: The Decade Ahead

Introduction

Three-dimensional printing is what happens when the making of a part stops being the removal of material from a block and becomes the addition of material in place, layer upon layer, until the shape the drawing promised has assembled itself out of almost nothing. Every manufacturing craft humanity has practiced, from the flint knapper striking a blank from a core to the five-axis mill peeling steel off a billet, has been subtractive at heart: it begins with more material than the part needs and removes everything the part is not. The printer inverts the inheritance. It starts with no part at all, only a description of one, and builds upward from a bare plate through paths of melted or cured or sintered material, so that the object emerges the way a coral reef grows, one deposited stratum at a time, with the machine adding only what the final geometry requires. The consequence of that inversion is the subject of this book, because it does not merely add a process to the workshop; it inverts the economics, the tolerances, the failure modes, and the very meaning of the word "tooling," and a builder who imports the old instincts into the new machine will be wrong in expensive ways.

The first lesson of the subject is that the definition is a machine boundary, not a slogan. A computer-controlled router is not a printer, though both take a file and make a shape; a printer is a machine whose process cannot fail by removing too much, because it removes nothing, and whose process can fail by adding too little, or adding in the wrong place, or adding material that was never asked to hold the load the part will carry. The distinction sounds pedantic until the first scrap bin arrives. The subtractive trades buy their precision from the rigidity of the machine and the sharpness of the tool; the additive trades buy theirs from the stability of the melt, the accuracy of the motion, and the assumption that every layer bonded to the one below it well enough to behave as one material. That assumption is the technology's whole wager, and the chapters that follow will test it in every process family that makes it.

The second lesson is that the printer is honest in a way its marketing is not. The machine does not know what the part is for; it knows the file, the path, the temperature, and the clock. A layer that took ninety seconds will take ninety seconds whether the part is a bracket or a bone scaffold, and a channel designed a tenth of a millimeter too thin will close every time, on every machine, with perfect indifference to the designer's intent. This honesty is the technology's central asset, because the printer's failures are reproducible and therefore learnable in a way that hand-craft failures never were, and its

recurring scandal, because the reproducibility means every failure a shop ships was chosen, in effect, by a process the shop declined to understand. The book treats the build file as what it structurally is: the part's true drawing, more binding than the drawing the designer signed, because it is the only description of the part the machine will ever obey.

The third lesson, and the one the decade's investment arguments will be fought over, is that the printer is a machine for making complexity cheap and simplicity expensive. A part with a lattice inside it, a channel that curves like a vine, a hinge printed already assembled inside its own cavity: these cost the printer almost nothing extra, because the machine measures its price in layers and time, not in features and fixtures. But the plain washer, the simple cube, the honest bracket that a stamping press can spit out in four seconds is a part the printer makes badly and at a price no plant manager should pay. The technology's economics are therefore a sorting problem, and the industry's loudest errors, the desktop machines bought to replace injection molding and the metal printers bought to replace the machine shop, were sorting errors, committed by institutions that answered the question "can it print?" and never asked "should this part be printed?"

This volume follows the series method. It defines the subject from its anatomy outward, works the governing arithmetic in plain numbers, prices every claim against the field's own record, and refuses every statistic a product cycle can outlive. No manufacturer is named, no platform is endorsed, and no episode is presented as history: the cases are composites drawn from public reporting and named as such, because the series' standard is that a claim must survive the death of the machine that inspired it. The chapters build the structure in the order the structure requires: the definition and its impostors first, because a shop that cannot classify its machines cannot choose between them; then the four process families, from melted filament to welded powder, each with its physics and its price; then the material itself, the melt and the cure and the stress the build leaves locked inside the part; then the slice, the digital pipeline that turns a description into a toolpath, where the part is truly made; then the build's failure shapes, the warping, the delamination, the porosity, the anisotropy that makes a printed part a different material than its molded twin; then the arithmetic of the build, layer time and resolution and the cost of one part against ten thousand; then the economics, the break-even lines where printing beats molding and machining and the vast regions where it does not; then the distributed factory, the digital warehouse and the supply chain that shrinks or only re-labels; then the record, where the promised

revolutions are priced against the adoption the shops actually showed; and finally the decade ahead, stated in honest probabilities.

What the reader will not find here deserves its own statement, because a book's honesty is as much about its refusals as its claims. This volume contains no step-by-step instructions for any machine, because instructions belong to the manual that will outlive this printing, and no buying guide to specific models, because the models are a season's produce and the physics is the crop. It contains no statistics with a source that can expire, no forecasts printed as facts, and no anecdotes presented as history: the composite cases are labeled as composites, and the numbers are worked in plain arithmetic the reader can re-run with the shop's own figures. It names no manufacturer and endorses no platform, not from timidity but from structure, because the series' claim is that the layer of the technology that survives the product cycle is the layer this book describes: the definitions, the physics, the arithmetic, and the record's pattern, all of which were true before the reader's favorite machine was announced and will remain true after its retirement.

How to read the book deserves the same honesty. The chapters are built in dependency order, the definition before the families, the families before the physics, the physics before the failures, and the failures before the arithmetic, and the reader who starts in the middle will meet terms the earlier chapters earned; but the working engineer may read the sixth and seventh chapters first, the arithmetic and the economics, because those are the chapters the purchase decision waits on, and return to the foundations when the numbers' provenance starts to matter, which it always does. The reader who manages fleets and budgets may read the eighth and ninth first, the distributed factory and the record, and the reader who teaches may begin with the first chapter and assign one chapter per week in the order written. The book asks only the one discipline it has been teaching throughout: keep the definitions, question the claims, run the arithmetic, and read the record, because those four habits are the whole of the builder's literacy, and the printer's decade will be governed by the people who practiced them.

The reader this book serves is the builder who has been promised too much, the engineer who has inherited a machine and a slogan, the manager deciding whether the part on the desk should be printed, molded, or machined, and the student who wants the structure rather than the enthusiasm. The chapters assume no prior shop floor: every mechanism is explained before it is used, every number is derived in plain arithmetic, and every claim is anchored to the

physics that enforces it. What the reader is asked to bring is the patience for definitions, because the printer's confusions live at the level of words, in the difference between accuracy and resolution, between precision and repeatability, between a part that is the right shape and a part that is the right material. The decade ahead will be built by people who can keep those differences in their heads at the moment of decision, and this book exists to put and keep them there.

Chapter 1: The Definition and Its Impostors

Any technology that travels with a slogan travels with a boundary problem, and the printer's boundary problem is unusually public, because the phrase "3D printing" has been stretched over machines so different from one another that they share no process, no material class, and no economics, only a marketing vocabulary. This chapter draws the line the rest of the book will police. A printer, in the strict sense this series requires, is a machine that creates a physical object by joining material layer upon layer under the control of a digital description of the object. Each of the five words in that sentence carries load, and the chapter builds them one at a time: joining, because the process creates bonds rather than removing stock; material, because the machine works in some substance that has a physics and therefore a temper; layer upon layer, because the planar build is the strategy that lets one machine make any shape; under the control of, because the description drives the machine and nothing else does; and digital description, because the part begins as a file, which makes the printer the first manufacturing process whose intermediate form is data rather than an artifact.

Consider first what the definition excludes, because the exclusions are the field's impostors. The computer-controlled router, the laser cutter, and the five-axis mill all take a file and make a shape, and they are not printers, because they make the shape by removing material, and their economics, tolerances, and failure modes all descend from that subtraction: the process is bounded by the billet that must be larger than the part, the fixture that must hold the billet, and the tool that must reach every surface. A stamping press takes no file and makes no layer; a casting pours a liquid into a cavity whose making consumed the whole budget; neither is an impostor of the printer so much as a rival with a different arithmetic, and the chapters on economics will bring them back as the standards every print must beat. The dangerous impostors are the machines that borrow the word without the physics: the labeler that extrudes a paste in a shape, the hobbyist pen that writes a plastic thread in the air, the bio-lab instrument that deposits cell cultures in a dish. Each one joins material under digital control, and each one may honestly claim the layer-by-layer ancestry, and the book does not deny them the family name. What the book denies is the innocent transfer of qualities between family members: the precision of one process, the speed of another, and the price of a third, quoted together as if the family were one machine. The family is four technologies wearing one name, and the first discipline of the builder is to never accept a claim that does not name its process.

Now consider what the definition includes, and the honest way to state the inclusion is anatomical rather than alphabetical. Every printer, whatever its material and mechanism, is the same five stations in sequence: a description of the part, a motion system that positions material in space, a feed of the material itself, an energy source that joins each new deposit to the part, and a control loop that keeps the joining inside the window the material demands. The description is digital and the reader will meet it as the slice in a later chapter. The motion system is the printer's frame and its bearings, and it is the one station where the printer resembles every machine tool ever built, because rigidity and repeatability are bought the same way in both trades. The feed is the filament spool, the powder hopper, the resin vat, or the wire reel, and it is the station that fixes the machine's material class more than any brochure does. The energy source is the heater, the lamp, or the laser, and it is the station that writes the process's speed limit, because material can only be joined as fast as it can be brought into the state that joins. The control loop is the thermocouple, the encoder, and the software between them, and it is the station that separates the machines a shop can trust from the machines a shop can only enjoy.

The layer earns a longer stop here, because it is the strategy that carries the whole invention. A machine that deposited material anywhere in three dimensions at once would need to control six degrees of freedom for every droplet, and no process does that; the layer reduces the problem to a planar one, so that at any instant the machine is solving a two-dimensional task, drawing the cross-section of the part at some height, and the third dimension accumulates for free as the plate steps downward or the head steps upward. The price of the reduction is the staircase: a surface that is not parallel to a layer plane is approximated, on every machine in the family, by a flight of microscopic steps, and the finer the layer the smaller the steps, at a cost the arithmetic chapter will compute, in time, exactly. The layer is also the part's diary, because a printed object records its own construction in visible strata, and the failure shapes of a later chapter, the delamination between layers and the warping that follows a layer's cooling, all live at the boundaries the strategy created. The builder who understands that the layer is a computational shortcut and not a material's preference will design for it; the builder who treats the staircase as a defect to be sanded away has paid for the layer and then hidden the ledger.

The definition, stated fully, gives the test the rest of the book applies to every machine and every claim: a printer is a machine that joins material, layer upon

layer, under the control of a digital description, at a speed fixed by the physics of the joining and at a price fixed by the time the physics requires. The chapters that follow take the definition apart: the four families that satisfy it and the physics each one must obey, the digital pipeline that feeds it, the failure shapes that betray it, and the arithmetic that decides when it is the right machine at all. The chapter's ledger: the word names four technologies and one strategy; the strategy buys its universality with the staircase and its diary; and every claim about "3D printing" that does not name its family is a claim about a slogan, which is to say, about nothing. The terminology deserves a deeper audit than the trade usually gives it, because the field's names are sediment layers of its own history, and each layer carries assumptions from an era that has ended. "Additive manufacturing" is the standards bodies' term, and it is the honest one: it names the process by what the machine does, joining material, and it travels well because it asks nothing of the material class or the machine size. "3D printing" is the public's term, borrowed from the inkjet's cousin that squirts binder onto powder beds in an office in the eighties, and the borrowing fixed the image of a magic box forever. "Rapid prototyping" is the founding era's term, and it is the most dangerous survivor, because it quietly decides the machine's product in advance, the prototype, and a shop that keeps the word keeps the assumption, treating the printer as a maker of shapes that approximate parts rather than a process that must hold tolerances and prove material. "Layer manufacturing" names the strategy, "freeform fabrication" flatters the freedom, and each term answers a different question. The builder's discipline is to notice which question a document is answering when it picks its word, because the vendor brochure that says "rapid prototyping" while selling a production machine is not being sloppy; it is hedging the qualification the record chapter will demand.

The reverse view of the boundary is equally instructive, because the definition's sharpest test is not which machines qualify but which parts a subtractive process cannot make, and that set has a structure worth naming. There are three closures in geometry that the drill, the mill, and the lathe cannot reach: the closed cavity, a void sealed inside a solid, unreachable because every tool path is an opening; the tangled passage, a channel that crosses itself or knots, unreachable because the tool is straight and rigid and the channel is not; and the pre-assembled mechanism, hinges printed in their sockets and chains printed in their housings, unreachable because assembly requires access the finished part no longer has. The printer reaches all three, and this reach, not speed and not price, is the definition's real gift, and the

seventh chapter's economics will price it exactly: the impossible part has no rival bid, and the printer is the only machine at the table.

The word "tooling" itself deserves the chapter's final audit, because the printer is routinely announced as "the death of tooling," and the announcement is true only if the word is used narrowly. In the narrow sense, the dedicated fixture, the mold, the die, the jig made for one part, the printer genuinely kills it, because the file replaces the fixture and the machine replaces the cavity. In the broad sense, the sense every machinist uses, the tool is whatever makes the process repeatable, and the printer's tooling has simply moved into the file: the orientation, the parameter set, the dialing, the build plate and its adhesion, the supports the slicer grows, and the post-processing the drawing schedules. The technology did not abolish the tool; it re-implemented it in software, and the shop that notices this re-implementation stops asking "why is printing so complicated" and starts asking "where do we keep our tools," which is a question with a file system for an answer.

The definition's second pass should also settle the boundary with the printer's two-dimensional ancestor, because the inheritance is real and the confusion it breeds is daily. The ink-jet office printer taught the world a schema: a file describes a thing, a machine consumes the file, and the thing appears, and the 3D printer borrowed the schema whole, along with the word. But the inheritance hides a difference the buyer must keep straight: the page is made in one pass, in one plane, with no accumulation, so its errors are visible the moment it finishes; the part is made in thousands of passes, each one building on the previous, so its errors accumulate, compound, and hide until the part is finished, and the page's failure is a waste basket while the part's failure is a diagnosis. The schema also imported the office machine's economics, the cheap device and the expensive consumable, and the printer industry read that playbook aloud: the benchtop machine sold near cost, the filament and resin priced like ink, and the shop that noticed the schema's borrowing noticed the trap before the receipt did. The honest lesson of the ancestry is therefore double: the printer really is the document machine's descendant, with all the convenience that implies, and it is a machine-tool in disguise, with all the discipline that demands, and the definition of this chapter is the sentence that keeps both truths in the same head at once.

The hybrid case deserves the definition's last refinement, because the factories that profit by the printer rarely run it alone, and the definition's test must survive the meeting of processes. The part that prints near-net and

finishes on the mill, the mold that prints in sand and casts the metal, the fixture that prints its conformal face onto a machined base: each of these is a process chain whose intermediate is a printed artifact, and each raises the same definitional question, is the printer making the part or preparing it. The honest answer: the question is economic, not taxonomic: the printer is making whatever the seventh chapter's arithmetic says it is worth making, near-shape, tooling, or finished part, and the definitional discipline of this chapter simply demands that the claim state which. The vendor who says "printed" of a finished part that was printed, cut, treated, and machined is telling a truth that misleads, because the printed word in that sentence is the first clause of a chain the buyer must price entire, and the chapters ahead, the physics, the failures, the arithmetic, and the record, exist to let the buyer price it in full rather than in part.

The definitional audit deserves a worked example, because the chapter has drawn the boundary and the reader's next meeting will be a claim that walks the boundary wearing a suit, and a rehearsed audit is the difference between a buyer and a believer. Consider a machine demonstrated at a trade show: it builds a metal part on a plate while the audience watches, the part is a turbine-shaped trinket, and the brochure on the table claims "production-grade metal 3D printing, faster than machining." The audit this chapter teaches runs four questions in order. Which family: the machine is a powder bed with a single beam, so the family's physics, the melt pool, the inert gas, the residual stress, are all in the room. Which energy: a laser at a stated power, so the speed claim is bounded by the pool's thermal budget, and the demonstrator's fast part is small, because a big part on one beam would take days the audience would not watch. Which layer: a stated thickness, so the surface shown is the surface at that layer, and the trinket's cosmetic surfaces were chosen to flatter it. Which loop: does the machine watch its own pool, and is the demonstration's parameter set the production set, because a demonstration tune is a sales device, not a process. The audit's outcome is not hostility: the machine may be exactly right for the buyer's sorted parts, but the four questions have converted a suit into a machine with a physics, a family, and a price, and the conversation that follows is about parts instead of futures, which is where the printer's real promises live.

The file's mastery deserves the definitional close, because the whole chapter rests on one inversion the reader should be able to state at the door: in the subtractive trades the drawing was the contract and the tool was the means, and the part could be argued against the drawing with calipers; in the additive

trade the file is the contract and the drawing is a summary, because the machine obeys the file alone, and the calipers argue with a document the drawing never fully described. The inversion is why this book polices definitions so hard: a vocabulary that lets "the part" mean three things, the drawing's intent, the file's description, and the machine's execution, is a vocabulary in which promises can be moved between meanings without the customer seeing the substitution, and every chapter that follows, the slice, the failures, the arithmetic, and the record, is an instrument for pinning each claim to one meaning at a time. The definition is thus the book's first tool and the reader's permanent one: name the machine, name the physics, name the file, and every claim that survives the naming is a claim the shop can build on.

The staircase deserves its quantified treatment, because the first chapter named the layer's approximation and the arithmetic belongs here, at the definition, where every later tolerance argument will quote it. A surface that rises at an angle to the layer plane is approximated by steps, and the step's height is the layer thickness while the step's depth is the layer thickness times the cotangent of the surface's angle: a vertical wall, at ninety degrees to the layer, has no staircase at all, a forty-five degree slope shows a step as deep as the layer is thick, and a surface approaching the horizontal shows steps many layers deep, which is why the gently sloped top and the shallow overhang are the surfaces the finisher bills the most. The designer's arithmetic follows directly: the cosmetic surface wants to stand near vertical or lie near flat, and the surface that must slope should slope steeply or be sanded; the tolerance that matters on a sloped face should be measured across the steps' average, the surface the gauge meets, not the drawing's imaginary plane that no bead ever drew. This quantified staircase is the definition's first bill, and the reader who can compute it from an angle and a layer thickness has already audited half the surface-quality claims the industry makes.

The definition's operational test deserves its closing form, because the chapter has built the boundary through prose, and the working engineer needs it as a checklist short enough to carry. The test is five questions, in order, and any machine that answers all five is a printer and any claim that does not is a claim about something else. What joins: the mechanism's bonding physics, melt, cure, sinter, weld. What is joined: the material class and its temper. How it is commanded: the file, the slice, the parameters. What accumulates: the layer, its thickness, and the staircase it prices. What watches: the loop, the gauges, the operator, and the record. The five answers are the machine's identity card, and the chapters that follow simply spend the card's fields one

at a time, the family in the second chapter, the material in the third, the pipeline in the fourth, the failures in the fifth, the arithmetic in the sixth, and the sorting in the seventh, until the reader can fill the card for any machine in the field, which is the whole of the technology's literacy, and the rest of this book is its practice.

The definition's last defense deserves a closing section, because the boundary this chapter drew has been contested in the marketplace for a decade, and the reader should understand why the contest never ends. Every vendor has an incentive to stretch the word toward their machine, because the word carries a decade's accumulated wonder, and every standards body has an incentive to draw the line tightly, because their documents are only useful when the terms are settled; the result is a permanent negotiation between the sales floor and the committee room, and the reader lives in the middle of it, reading documents written under different regimes of the negotiation. The book's advice is to treat the negotiation as weather rather than law: the definitions of this chapter are stable because they are structural, the joining, the layer, the file, the loop, and the labels the marketplace attaches to them will drift as the marketing requires, so the reader learns to translate at the door, taking the brochure's word and returning the structure's questions. The five questions of the operational test are the translation protocol, and they work on the announcement of a decade hence as well as on the machine of today, which is the definition's final job: not to freeze the vocabulary, but to make the reader independent of it.

The chapter closes where the technology began, because the definition has a history and the history honors it. The first machines of the vat family were built in the eighties under a name the trade has since narrowed, and their inventor's founding insight is still the field's constitution: that a part could be described as a stack of cross-sections, and a machine could grow the part by realizing the stack. The decades that followed multiplied the families and the materials, but the constitution held, and every machine in the field today, the extruder on the bench, the powder tower on the floor, the welder on the robot, runs the same founding sentence in its own dialect. The reader leaving this chapter holds that sentence in plain terms, the shape described, the material joined, the layer accumulated, the loop obeyed, and the chapters that follow are the sentence's clauses, each unpacked into the physics, the failures, and the prices the builder must command before the machine is trusted with a part that matters.

The last word belongs to the boundary itself. The impostors are not a scandal; they are the ordinary expansion of a word that described something real, and the builder's protection is not suspicion but taxonomy. When a vendor says the machine prints, the reader now asks the only question the definition leaves: prints what, with what energy, at what layer, and controlled by what loop? Four questions, one answer expected, and any machine that can answer them is a printer, whatever else it claims to be, and any part that can be made by one is a candidate for the arithmetic in the chapters ahead. The definition is a doorway, not a wall, and the building begins on the other side.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Aiman JoeHanz writes the Building of Tomorrow series -- structural, vendor-neutral guides to the technologies reshaping industry, labor, and daily life. Each volume treats one technology the way an engineer treats a machine: definition first, then anatomy, failure modes, principles, build, deployment, and the honest decade ahead.