SAMPLE

Magnetism

Fields, Forces, and the Magnetic Universe

Aira Astralis

Free sample: Chapter 1

putrabooks.github.io

Buy the full book:

Gumroad

Payhip

Contents

Chapter 1: The Stone That Pointed

Chapter 2: Gilbert and the Magnetized Earth

Chapter 3: The Grammar of a Field

Chapter 4: Oersted's Accident

Chapter 5: Faraday and the Current From Motion

Chapter 6: The Family of Magnetic Materials

Chapter 7: The Magnet Inside the Atom

Chapter 8: Why Iron Remembers

Chapter 9: The Electromagnet

Chapter 10: The Dynamo Inside the Earth

Chapter 11: The Compass at Sea

Chapter 12: The Magnetic Century

Chapter 13: Magnetism in the Body and the Hospital

Chapter 14: The Magnetic Universe

Chapter 15: The Open Questions

Chapter 16: Fun Facts and Trivia, Graded

Chapter 1: The Stone That Pointed

No one knows who first picked up a lodestone and felt the tug. The safest honest statement is that somewhere in the ancient world, probably in more than one place, a person noticed that a certain heavy black stone pulled at iron nails, and that the stone, hung on a thread, swung around to face the same direction every time. That single doubled observation, attraction and alignment, is the seed of the entire subject.

The stone is magnetite, an iron oxide, one of the few minerals that is naturally magnetized strongly enough to act as a magnet as it comes out of the ground. The pieces that do so are called lodestones, and they are rare: only a small fraction of magnetite is found magnetized, and a large fraction of that has been gathered by collectors over the centuries. The name carries its own history. The ancient Greeks called it the Magnesian stone, after the district of Magnesia in Thessaly where good specimens were found, and the modern word magnet descends straight from that place name.

The legend is better known than the fact. A shepherd, the story goes, wandering Mount Ida with his flocks, found the nails pulled from his sandals and the tip of his staff clinging to the ground. The tale appears in a Roman poem of the first century and has been retold in a dozen forms since, sometimes with different mountains and different herders. It deserves its label as a legend: no location, date, or name survives, and the details have the smell of an invention built backwards from a familiar stone. What is genuinely ancient is the observation itself. Aristotle, writing in the fourth century BCE, credits Thales of Miletus, a century earlier, with the first reasoned discussion of the stone's power. Thales reportedly thought it possessed a soul, because it moved iron, which for a philosopher of his era was a serious claim about what counts as alive.

China gives the story a second beginning, and it is the more practical one. A Chinese text from the fourth century BCE describes the magnet's attraction as if the stone were summoning its children. By the first century BCE, Chinese writers describe the south-pointing spoon: a lodestone carved into the shape of a ladle, set on a polished bronze plate, its handle swinging to the south. The instrument was ceremonial and imperfect, and its role in actual navigation is debated, but the principle it demonstrated, that a free magnet aligns with the Earth, is the principle the compass still uses. Historians label the Chinese claims of a south-pointing chariot separately: that device, an earlier invention, worked by gears and had nothing to do with magnetism, and texts sometimes blur the two.

The Mediterranean world noticed the alignment trick later and more slowly. Roman authors describe shepherds and sailors using magnetite, but the compass as a navigation tool enters European writing clearly only around the twelfth century, and its first European mention, a poem of about 1190, treats it as a sailors' secret already well known at sea. Meanwhile Arabic authors, including writers in the twelfth century

who passed the device between the two worlds, described the floating needle: a sliver of touched iron set on a straw or a cork riding water, turning until it found north. The needle had to be magnetized by stroking it against a lodestone, which raised a question that would not be properly answered for six hundred years: why does iron take magnetism from a stone, and keep it?

Medieval Europe produced the first experimental study of the magnet, and it is a strikingly modern document. In 1269 a crusader camped at the siege of Lucera, Pierre de Maricourt, known as Peregrinus, wrote a letter to a friend laying out systematic tests. He shaped lodestones into spheres, mapped their directions with a needle, found the two points where the pull ran straight down into the stone, and named them poles. He demonstrated that like poles repel and unlike attract, showed that breaking a lodestone yields two complete magnets rather than a separated north and south, and even sketched a perpetual-motion machine driven by magnets, which was wrong, and honestly wrong in an instructive way: the error forced later workers to ask why the magnets would not spin, and the answer deepened the theory. The letter is the first European use of the word pole for a magnet.

The stone itself deserves a closer look before the story moves on, because its rarity is part of the wonder. Magnetite is common enough as an iron ore, mined for steel on every continent, but only a tiny fraction of it arrives from the ground already magnetized, and no one can point to a lodestone deposit the way one points to a gold field. Some specimens carry enough magnetism to lift many times their own weight in iron, and collectors still prize a good lodestone the way earlier centuries did: a museum-grade specimen is a working instrument, not a mineral curiosity. The deep origin of that magnetization is itself a graded question this book returns to, since the leading explanation involves lightning striking ore near the surface and leaving the field of the strike frozen in the rock.

The chapter's last figure waits in Elizabethan London, but he belongs to the next chapter, because he changed the question itself. For two thousand years the puzzle had been the stone. William Gilbert asked what the stone was pointing at, and the answer turned the lodestone from a curiosity into the key to the Earth.

Chapter 2: Gilbert and the Magnetized Earth

William Gilbert was a successful London physician who became president of the Royal College of Physicians and physician to Elizabeth the First, and he spent his money and spare years on a private obsession: magnets. In 1600 he published De Magnete, a book of six volumes of experiments and arguments, and it is a fair candidate for the first great experimental physics book in England. Francis Bacon borrowed its method, Galileo read it with admiration, and the subject it founded, terrestrial magnetism, still publishes papers today.

Gilbert's decisive move was to stop asking what the lodestone attracted and start asking what the compass obeyed. The received wisdom of his time credited the pole star, or imagined a mountain of iron at the north, or reached for celestial influence.

Gilbert made a sphere of lodestone, which he called a terrella, a little Earth, set a small compass on its surface, and moved it around. Everywhere the needle dipped and tilted exactly the way compasses behave at corresponding places on the real Earth. He concluded that the Earth itself is a great magnet, and that the compass does not respond to the sky but to the ground under the sailor's feet.

The argument was not a loose analogy. Gilbert mapped the terrella's field as Peregrinus had mapped his spheres, noted that a needle near the pole of his little Earth dips steeply downward, and connected it to the observed tilt of compasses in northern latitudes. He also measured, carefully and in words that survive, the fact that iron heated and cooled in contact with a magnet takes magnetism more strongly, an early glimpse of a technique still used today. The book's reach exceeded its rigor in places: Gilbert dismissed the attraction of amber as a different, moist effect and tangled wrongly with the idea of a soul in the stone, and his claim that the Earth's axis points as it does because of magnetism did not survive. But his core claim stood for four hundred years of testing.

De Magnete also widened the stage. Gilbert argued, from his readings of voyages, that the compass needle's departure from true north, which sailors called variation and modern texts call declination, varies from place to place on the globe, and that its pattern could be mapped. This had a practical sting: navigators hoping variation would reveal longitude were disappointed, because the pattern drifts with time and place rather than with east-west position. The honest verdict, settled by later centuries, is that declination is a real, mappable, shifting quantity, and it is not a key to longitude. The failure mattered: it pushed the maritime nations toward better clocks instead.

The book made the Earth an object of physics, but it could not answer the next obvious question: why is the Earth a magnet? Gilbert guessed at iron in the ground, reasoning from lodestones. The true answer waited three centuries, and it is stranger than a buried magnet, because a permanent magnet loses its power in heat, and the deep Earth is hotter than any magnet can survive. That paradox, first sharpened in the nineteenth century, is the subject of a later chapter on the Earth's dynamo.

Gilbert died in 1603, of plague, shortly after being appointed physician to James the First, leaving a second manuscript on the wider philosophy of science unpublished until 1651. By then the compass had circled the globe many times over. Sailors still spoke of touching their needles nightly to a worn lodestone kept in the captain's chest, a practice that slowly died out as steel needles, once magnetized, held their power better. The word lodestone itself, meaning way-stone or course-stone in the old sense of a leading path, kept the navigation at the heart of the name.

Gilbert also built the first electrical instrument worth the name, a pivoting needle he called the versorium, which turned toward any charged body and let him test whether a substance was electric by attraction rather than guesswork. His insistence that amber's effect and the lodestone's effect were different was correct and important: one was a static electric attraction, weak and friction-born, the other a permanent magnetic force, and conflating them had stalled both subjects for centuries. His larger legacy for this book's honesty spine is the slogan his method implies: measure the

thing, then argue about it, and his chapter on fables about garlic ruining a magnet's power, which he tested and killed in print, is possibly the first experimental debunking in physics.

The chapter closes with a grading note, since the subject breeds legends. The tale that Gilbert personally demonstrated before Queen Elizabeth, or that the terrella was built as a court marvel, is unsupported embellishment; the book is addressed to collectors and practitioners, not to the court. The idea that medieval sailors believed the compass needle was pulled by a physical iron mountain in the far north was genuinely held by serious writers before Gilbert, and mocking it is unfair without noting that it was at least a testable physical guess. And the claim in some older histories that Gilbert proved the Earth rotates, via an analogy between terrestrial and celestial magnetism, credits him with a conclusion he circled but did not deliver. He moved the Earth's magnetism from folklore into measurement, and that is glory enough.

Chapter 3: The Grammar of a Field

The word field is the most important vocabulary in this book, and it earns a careful definition. A magnetic field is the condition of the space around a magnet such that any other magnet, or any iron, or any moving charge, placed in that space, feels a push or a pull. The field is not a metaphor and not a substance you can bottle, but it is not nothing either: it carries energy, it can be mapped, and its changes travel outward at the speed of light. Michael Faraday, who did more than anyone to establish the concept, described it in terms of lines, and the lines remain the best tool a reader can hold in the head.

Sprinkle iron filings on a card over a bar magnet and the filings arrange themselves into curved arcs running from one end of the magnet to the other. Each filing has become a tiny compass, and the arcs they trace are Faraday's lines of force. The lines have direction, conventionally flowing out of the magnet's north-seeking end, curving through the air, and diving into the south-seeking end, then running through the body of the magnet to close. A few habits of the lines explain most magnetic behavior. They never cross one another. They crowd together where the field is strong and spread apart where it weakens, so a filing map is also a strength map. And they always close on themselves, which is the source of the deepest rule in the subject.

That rule concerns poles. Cut a bar magnet in half and you do not get a loose north and a loose south. You get two shorter bar magnets, each with both poles. Cut again and the same thing happens, down to the last atom, where the picture breaks for a different reason. No experiment, on any scale, has ever isolated a single magnetic pole, a monopole, despite real and continuing searches in moon dust, in particle accelerators, and in the interiors of magnets. A magnetic field is said to have no sources and no sinks: the lines have no beginning and no end. Physicists state this as one of the foundational laws of electromagnetism, and the honest framing is that the absence of monopoles is a fact confirmed to extraordinary precision, while a few respectable theories predict they should exist but be unreachably rare, a tension the

final chapters revisit.

The strength of a field is measured in tesla, named for the Serbian-American electrical pioneer, and the unit is generous. The Earth's field at the surface is a few hundredths of a thousandth of a tesla, written as tens of microtesla. A refrigerator magnet runs around a few thousandths. The strong medical scanner runs at one and a half to three tesla, with research machines pushing higher. The most powerful sustained fields built in laboratories reach tens of tesla, and fields above a hundred tesla can be created only for microseconds before the apparatus destroys itself. One older unit, the gauss, survives in casual use; ten thousand gauss make one tesla, and the reader mainly needs to know that a bigger number means a stronger field.

Two further pieces of grammar round out the toolkit. First, the field at any point has a strength and a direction, which is why compasses are directional and why filings form arcs: the field is an arrow at every point in space, not a fog. Second, every magnet is a dipole, meaning its field far away looks like the field of any other magnet of the same strength and orientation. This is why the Earth, despite its complicated molten interior, presents a clean compass-facing field to a needle on the surface, and it is why two magnets so different in shape still interact in a way that can be summarized by their poles.

Fields can be redirected as well as mapped, and the trick matters in engineering daily. Since field lines prefer the path of easiest passage through magnetic material, a shell of high-permeability alloy can conduct a field around a volume of space, leaving the inside nearly field-free, the magnetic equivalent of a soundproof room. Laboratories use such shielding to protect delicate instruments from the Earth's and the city's fields, and a traditional watch case made of soft iron does the same job for its movement. The alloy names, mu-metal among them, are trade names and not mysterious principles: they are simply materials whose domains agree exceptionally easily, making them superhighways for field lines.

With the grammar in hand, the old questions sharpen. Like poles repel and unlike attract, Peregrinus showed, and the reason is that the lines between attracting poles run straight and taut like ropes pulling the magnets together, while between like poles the lines bend away and bulge sideways like opposing crowds, and the magnets are pushed apart. The pull grows feebler with distance, roughly vanishing quickly as the distance grows, which is why a magnet that grips a nail across a table will barely wiggle it from across a room. And the filings' obedience raises the question Peregrinus could not answer: why does iron, alone among common materials, act as though it were made of billions of little magnets all willing to line up? That question requires the atom, and the atom arrives three chapters ahead.

Chapter 4: Oersted's Accident

The decisive event in the history of magnetism happened in front of a classroom, and by most accounts it was unplanned. In April 1820, at the University of Copenhagen, Hans Christian Oersted was demonstrating the heating of a wire by an electric current.

A compass happened to lie near the wire, and when the current flowed, the needle moved. It did not point toward the wire or away from it, as it would toward a lodestone. It swung sideways, settling crosswise to the wire, and it reversed its swing when the current reversed.

That sideways detail was the clue that broke a thousand-year separation. Electricity and magnetism had been studied as unrelated subjects since antiquity: amber's static pull on one shelf, the lodestone's silent grip on another, with nothing connecting them but the habit of attraction. Oersted's needle said otherwise. The current in the wire was not acting like a magnet in any familiar sense, since a magnet's pull or push would align the needle along the line between them. Instead the influence circled the wire. The field of a straight current runs in loops around it, and a compass placed anywhere near settles tangent to its nearest loop, like a spoke-less wheel finding its rim.

Oersted was slow to publish, taking three months to explore the effect and issue a Latin notice, but the news detonated across the laboratories of Europe. Within weeks Andre-Marie Ampere in Paris was at work, and within months he had the mathematics of the new force. Ampere showed that two parallel wires carrying currents in the same direction attract one another and in opposite directions repel, and he proposed the radical and correct hypothesis that a magnet is itself nothing but electricity in motion: that the magnetism of iron arises from circulating currents too small to see, inside the material. Two decades before anyone knew electrons existed, this was an extraordinary guess, and the modern verdict is that Ampere was almost exactly right, with the currents being the quantum spin and orbital motion of electrons rather than little classical loops.

Ampere's countryman Dominique Arago demonstrated the effect in a way Paris loved: he showed that a current could magnetize steel needles, replacing the worn lodestone as the needle's parent. Others measured the new effect. The French physicist Jean-Baptiste Biot and Felix Savart quantified how the field of a straight current weakens with distance, and though this book keeps its promise of no equations, the physical content deserves words: doubling your distance from a long straight wire halves the strength of its looping field, a slower fade than the quick die-off of a magnet's field, because a wire's influence spreads sideways from a line rather than outward from a point.

The accident's full significance was not lost on its owner. Oersted, a philosopher by temperament who had long suspected a hidden unity in nature, spent his later career popularizing the discovery and building Danish science, and his name survives in the oersted, the unit of field strength still heard in engineering. The anecdote that he noticed the effect during a lecture is supported by his own account and by his student witnesses, though historians caution that he may have noticed an earlier weak twitch during an 1818 experiment and failed to pursue it; the honest version credits both the observation and the prepared mind that took it seriously when it repeated.

The 1820 flood of work also produced the instrument that made the new science measurable. Johann Schweigger in Germany wound the wire into a coil and found that

many loops multiplied the deflection of the needle, so feeble currents became visible as turned needles: the multiplier, ancestor of every galvanometer. The device arrived in time to matter immediately, because the currents of the day were weak, batteries were crude, and the difference between detecting an effect and measuring it is the difference between wonder and science. Within a few years the galvanometer needle was the standard readout of every laboratory in Europe, and the movement from Oersted's single twitch to a calibrated coil is, in miniature, the story of how electromagnetism became an exact science within a single generation.

One more figure belongs to the accident's aftermath, because he connected it to the greatest question of the age. Faraday, then a young assistant in London, repeated Oersted's experiment, built a small device that spun a magnet around a current-carrying wire, and asked the question in reverse: if electricity can make magnetism, can magnetism make electricity? Every laboratory in Europe wanted that answer, because the first to find it would hold the key to unlimited current from motion instead of chemical batteries. The hunt, and the bookbinder's apprentice who won it, is the next chapter.

Chapter 5: Faraday and the Current From Motion

Michael Faraday was born poor in 1791, apprenticed to a bookbinder, and self-taught from the volumes he was hired to stitch. He talked his way into work as a laboratory assistant at the Royal Institution in London, and by the 1820s he was the finest experimenter in England. In 1831 he answered the question the whole scientific world was asking: magnetism, it turned out, could indeed produce electricity, but only under one strict condition. The magnet had to move.

Faraday's discovery circuit was primitive: a ring of soft iron with two coils of wire wound on opposite sides, one connected to a battery, the other to a needle galvanometer. When he closed the battery circuit, the far needle kicked. When he broke it, the needle kicked the other way. With a steady current, nothing: the needle sat still. The kick appeared only at the moment of change, and Faraday correctly read the message. Magnetism does not generate current by presence but by alteration. Move a magnet into a coil and a current flows while the field through the coil is rising; hold it still and the flow stops; pull it out and the flow returns in reverse. Within weeks he had a copper disk spinning between a magnet's poles, dragging current off its rim through a sliding contact, the first generator: motion plus magnetism yielding continuous electricity, the principle that still lights the world.

The second discovery was quieter and deeper. Faraday refused to explain the induction by action-at-a-distance, the fashionable notion that forces leap invisibly across empty space. He insisted on the lines of force from the previous chapter, arguing that the space around the magnet is itself in a state of tension, and that the wire's current appears when the number of lines threading the circuit changes. Contemporaries politely ignored this as an Englishman's eccentric picture. James Clerk Maxwell, a generation later, took the lines seriously, expressed Faraday's picture in mathematical form, and derived the existence of electromagnetic waves, light itself,

from it. The field concept that Faraday drew with filings became the backbone of modern physics.

The honesty owed here is about timing and credit, because the priority dispute was bitter. Joseph Henry, an American schoolteacher turned scientist, had found the same effect of a changing magnetism on a current before Faraday published, and Henry, modest and working with poor apparatus, had not published his result. Historians now credit the discovery to Faraday on publication and honor Henry as an independent earlier discoverer, and the unit of induction carries Henry's name. Faraday himself later tried to make his peace with Henry directly, and the two corresponded warmly.

The consequences of 1831 deserve their own honest ledger, because they are the hinge of the modern world. The generator converts motion into current, and every power station on Earth is a refined Faraday disk, spinning in a magnetic field, whether the spin comes from falling water, expanding steam, or wind. The transformer, Faraday's iron ring grown up, transfers current between circuits and made long-distance transmission possible, because alternating current's constantly changing magnetism is exactly what induction needs. The induction motor reverses the trick, turning changing fields into motion, and the modern industrial economy runs on Faraday's principle at every scale. The electric age did not begin when the battery was invented; it began when the magnet was taught to move.

Faraday's disk, for all its elegance, was a proof and not a product, and the industrial gap is its own honest lesson. The disk generates feeble current because the field passes through metal only briefly on its path; practical generators arrived only when engineers arranged the coils and the magnets so the field swept through many turns of wire continuously. Zenobe Gramme's ring dynamo of 1871, three decades after Faraday, was the first generator that delivered usable power steadily, and it was followed within years by the first central power stations, so the distance from the principle to the light bulb involved as much invention as the principle itself. The same lesson governs the transformer and the motor, and the pattern deserves a name: in technology, the discovery and the product are separate careers, and both must be honored.

One more thread closes the circle that Oersted opened. Oersted showed electricity makes magnetism; Faraday showed magnetism makes electricity, given change; Maxwell showed the two fields are one subject, each able to generate the other, and that their mutual generation propagates through space as a wave. At that point magnetism stops being a property of stones and becomes a feature of the universe's fabric. The book now turns to the material question that Faraday could not crack: not how magnetism acts, but why some matter is magnetic at all, and why iron, of all the elements, is the champion.

Chapter 6: The Family of Magnetic Materials

Ask a room of people which materials are magnetic and the answer will be iron, and the room will be wrong in both directions. Iron's family is only the loudest branch of a

large and strange family tree, and nothing at all is entirely without magnetism. The honest sorting of matter by its magnetic behavior is one of the quiet triumphs of physics, and it explains everything from the click of a magnet on a refrigerator to the levitation of a living frog.

The broadest sorting has five familiar branches and a couple of recent shoots. Ferromagnetic materials, iron, cobalt, nickel, and a handful of alloys including several rare-earth compounds, are the strong ones: they are pulled toward a magnet, they cling, and they can be permanently magnetized themselves, which is the difference between a temporary helper and a material that remembers. Their strength comes from an internal agreement, explained two chapters ahead, in which large neighborhoods of atoms align their personal magnetism in the same direction.

Ferrimagnetic materials look almost as strong in daily life but hold a subtler arrangement. In magnetite, the lodestone mineral itself, and in the whole family of ferrites used in loudspeakers and transformer cores, the atoms form two camps pointing in opposite directions, but of unequal strength, so the camps do not cancel and a net magnetism survives. The word feels technical, but the idea is simple: two opposing teams of unequal size, with the larger team's pull winning.

Paramagnetic materials are weakly attracted. Aluminum, platinum, oxygen gas, and many salts belong here: placed in a strong field, they pull infinitesimally toward the field, and they forget everything the instant the field is removed. The effect is feeble, a few hundred thousandths of iron's response, and can be measured only with laboratory balances. The atoms of a paramagnet are individually magnetic but disorganized, a crowd of tiny compasses jostled by heat, nudged into partial alignment only while the field is present.

Diamagnetic materials are the counterintuitive ones: they are weakly repelled. Copper, gold, silver, water, carbon in graphite and diamond form, and most organic matter push back against a magnetic field by a minuscule amount. The effect arises from the field's influence on the electrons' orbital motion itself, a small induced current in every atom that by a deep law of physics opposes the change that created it. Diamagnetism is universal, because every atom has electrons; it is simply invisible in materials whose stronger paramagnetism or ferromagnetism drowns it out. And it is not merely a curiosity: given a strong enough field, the repulsion of water is real enough to float a living frog, an experiment performed in the Netherlands in 1997, celebrated with an Ig Nobel prize in 2000, with the frog entirely unharmed and merely confused.

Antiferromagnetic materials host two opposing camps of equal strength, so the magnetism cancels internally. Chromium is the classic case. For decades they seemed like a scientific dead end, interesting to physicists and nobody else, but the reader's pocket refutes that: the reading sensors in hard drives and the new class of memory chips exploit antiferromagnetic ordering, and the materials sit at the center of spintronics, an active frontier chapter ahead.

Two recent shoots extend the family. Metamagnetism names the behavior of a few

materials that switch from weak to strong when an applied field crosses a threshold, like a standoffish material suddenly deciding to join. Altermagnetism, a term settled only in the 2020s, names a class proposed to combine the internal cancellation of antiferromagnets with a hidden directional structure, and it has been observed experimentally, though researchers still argue over the boundaries of the definition. The grade here is open: a real and active research frontier, textbook status not yet settled.

The family's behaviors also follow a law worth knowing in words. A paramagnet's weak response fades as its temperature rises, because heat jostles the tiny compasses and undoes the field's alignment, and Pierre Curie measured the decline precisely in the 1890s: double the absolute temperature and the response halves. Below a critical temperature the strong materials abruptly organize instead, the ferromagnetic Curie point of chapter 8, and the transition is a genuine phase change, as sharp as melting. The measurement of these feeble responses built the delicate craft of magnetic metrology, from Gouy balances to the superconducting sensors of modern instruments, and the family's branches have been sorted, measured, and named by generations of patient work.

The everyday phrase non-magnetic gets its honest verdict last. No substance is truly unmagnetizable; the phrase only means the response is too feeble to feel. A copper wire, a wooden table, and a human hand all answer a magnetic field, at strengths thousands of times below iron's, which is why a strong-enough laboratory field can make anything float, and why the strongest fields on Earth must exclude nobody's wedding ring. The sorting of the family is complete; the question that remains is what makes the atoms members at all, and that requires a look inside the atom itself.

Chapter 7: The Magnet Inside the Atom

The ancients asked why iron clings and got no further than the stone's soul. The nineteenth century guessed Ampere's circulating currents but could not find the circuits. The answer arrived in the twentieth century, and it is the strangest in this book: magnetism at its root is not a property of materials at all but a property of the electron itself, built into the fabric of the quantum world.

Electrons carry a built-in magnetism called spin. The name is a historical accident that deserves immediate correction: nothing is spinning. Early physicists pictured the electron as a charged ball rotating like a top, and the word survived the discovery that the picture is false. The electron has no measurable size in which to spin, and its magnetism is simply there, a fundamental property, listed alongside its mass and its charge, as basic as anything in the universe is. Every electron is a permanent magnet of a fixed tiny strength. This is the deepest datum of the subject: the magnetic universe runs on an unchangeable magnetic constant carried by every electron in existence.

The electron also produces magnetism by orbiting. In an atom, electrons circulate the nucleus in quantum states of motion, and their motion constitutes a tiny circulating

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Aira Astralis is a science writer specializing in astronomy and space exploration. Her work spans the full breadth of humanity's relationship with the cosmos -- from the earliest naked-eye observations to the engineering marvels of modern space missions.

Her books are built on a foundation of primary sources: peer-reviewed research, mission archives, and direct engagement with the scientific community. She writes for readers who want to understand not just what we know about the universe, but how we came to know it.

She believes that the most important frontier is not out there but in the gap between what scientists discover and what the public understands. Her books exist to close that gap.