SAMPLE

Entropy of Information

The Physics of Data

Aira Astralis

Free sample: Introduction & Chapter 1

putrabooks.github.io

Buy the full book:

Carousell carousell.sg/p/entropy-of-information-ebook-pdf-1467811074

ElevenReader

Ko-fi

Payhip

Contents

Introduction

Chapter 1: The Discovery of Entropy of Information

Chapter 2: Understanding Entropy of Information

Chapter 3: Key Characteristics of Entropy of Information

Chapter 4: The Science Behind Entropy of Information

Chapter 5: Observation and Measurement of Entropy of Information

Chapter 6: Important Features of Entropy of Information

Chapter 7: Entropy of Information in Context

Chapter 8: Modern Understanding of Entropy of Information

Chapter 9: Research and Exploration of Entropy of Information

Chapter 10: Significant Discoveries About Entropy of Information

Chapter 11: Challenges and Mysteries of Entropy of Information

Chapter 12: Future Directions in Entropy of Information Research

Chapter 13: Fun Facts and Trivia About Entropy of Information

Chapter 14: Conclusion: The Significance of Entropy of Information

This free sample contains the Introduction and Chapter 1. The complete book contains the full contents above.

Introduction

In 1948, an engineer at Bell Telephone Laboratories asked a deceptively simple question: how much information can a wire carry? The answer he published that year — "A Mathematical Theory of Communication" — created a science that did not exist before he sat down. The measure at the heart of his answer is called entropy, and the quantity he defined — entropy of information — is the subject of this book.

The word carries luggage. Entropy was already taken, in the nineteenth century, by thermodynamics: a measure of molecular disorder that Clausius had named and Boltzmann had grounded in counting. Shannon borrowed the name, on von Neumann's advice, because his formula was mathematically identical to Boltzmann's — and because, as von Neumann joked, entropy is the one term in science that has never been pinned down, which leaves you holding the advantage in any debate. The joke turned out to be prophetic in a way no one intended: the relationship between the two entropies — informational and thermodynamic — is one of the deepest bridges in physics, running from Maxwell's demon to the Landauer limit, and it took half a century to understand.

This book explains what information entropy actually measures, why it is measured in bits, and why that measurement governs the digital world. A message is a sequence of symbols drawn from uncertain sources: a coin flip, a deck of cards, a language, a noisy telephone line, a DNA sequence, a stock ticker. Entropy quantifies the uncertainty of the source — equivalently, the average surprise per symbol, the average information learned when the symbol is revealed, and the minimum number of yes-or- no questions needed, on average, to pin the outcome down. These are not four vague analogies; they are four exact readings of one formula, and the book's first chapters build the formula and its readings carefully.

From the foundation, the book widens out. Coding: how the source coding theorem — entropy is the unbeatable floor of compression — underlies every zip file, every streaming codec, and every error-correcting code that keeps satellites and memory chips honest. Physics: how Shannon's entropy met Boltzmann's, and what the meeting costs. Quantum information: how von Neumann's entropy of entanglement extends the theory into the quantum world and powers quantum computing's resource accounting. And the modern machine-learning era, in which an entropy functional — cross-entropy — is, quite literally, the training objective of most of the artificial intelligence now running on Earth.

The chapters follow the series skeleton: discovery, understanding, key characteristics, the science, measurement, important features, context, modern understanding, research frontiers, significant discoveries, challenges and mysteries, future directions, fun facts, and a concluding assessment. Every equation is real but rendered in words and arithmetic; every example is drawn from engineering, science, or daily life; and nothing is asserted that the theory cannot back. The reader who finishes the book will understand what "information" means when engineers and physicists use the word — a currency with exact units, exact accounting rules, and, as it turns out, exact physical costs.

A note on scope: this book is the informational sibling of a companion volume on thermodynamic entropy, and the two meet deliberately — in Chapter 07, where the shared mathematics becomes shared physics, and in Chapter 11, where the black-hole information problem prices the meeting's stakes. The reader needs no physics to follow the first six chapters and no mathematics beyond arithmetic and logarithms anywhere; every extension is stated in words, and every number in the book can be recomputed by hand.

Chapter 1: The Discovery of Entropy of Information

The discovery of information entropy is really the discovery that information could be measured at all — and the idea arrived, characteristically, from an engineering problem rather than a philosophical one.

The prehistory belongs to the telegraph. In the 1920s, engineers at Bell Labs were paid to think about how to push more message through a wire, and two of them produced results that pointed at a measuring unit without quite finding it. Harry Nyquist in 1924 analyzed the speed of transmission of "intelligence" over a line and showed, for a given line, that the speed depends on the number of distinct signal values the line can represent: more levels, more information per pulse. Ralph Hartley in 1928 went further and proposed the first real measure: the information in a message is the logarithm of the number of possible symbol sequences it could have been — a definition that captured, for the first time, the essential fact that information is a property of the choice made from a set of possibilities, and that it grows with the size of the set.

Hartley's measure had a deliberate limitation: every symbol counted equally, every message of the same length carried the same information, and the statistics of the source — the fact that E is common and Q is not — had no place in it.

Claude Shannon closed that gap in 1948. Working at Bell Labs, with the war's cryptography work behind him, Shannon published "A Mathematical Theory of Communication" — a paper that Norbert Wiener compared to Newton's Principia for founding a science in a single stroke. Shannon's move was to make information probabilistic. A source produces symbols with certain probabilities; an improbable symbol should carry more information than a probable one; and the measure of a source should be the average information per symbol. To make "more improbable" into "more information" required the logarithm — Shannon required it explicitly, so that information of independent messages adds — and the average of the negative log-probability is the entropy of the source. Hartley's measure fell out as the special case where all symbols are equally likely. The famous first sentence of the paper's introduction is a manifesto of scope: "The fundamental problem of communication is that of reproducing at one point, either exactly or approximately, a message selected at another point."

The theory Shannon built around the quantity was as startling as the quantity. In the same paper he proved the source coding theorem: entropy is the

absolute floor of lossless compression — no code can encode the source's messages, on average, in fewer bits per symbol than its entropy, and codes exist that approach it arbitrarily closely. He proved the noisy channel coding theorem: a channel has a capacity determined by the statistics of its noise, and for any transmission rate below that capacity, error-correcting codes exist that make the error rate arbitrarily small — a result so counterintuitive (add enough redundancy, and error vanishes without slowing the message below capacity) that it took engineers decades to accept it and decades more to achieve it. And he unified the whole with the observation that the two problems — removing redundancy at the source, and adding structured redundancy to survive the channel — are dual faces of one accounting.

The naming deserves its paragraph. Shannon at first called his quantity the "entropy" of the source only after checking the physics: John von Neumann pointed out to him the identity with Boltzmann's statistical-mechanical entropy and offered his famous double reason — the mathematical development already existed in Boltzmann's statistical mechanics, and, so the quip runs, since entropy has never settled into one meaning, arguing about it leaves you a permanent escape route. Both halves were correct, and the naming turned out to be the theory's destiny: information entropy and thermodynamic entropy are, in the modern understanding, the same mathematics describing the same physics, a convergence treated in Chapter 07.

The last piece of the discovery story is its speed of conquest. Shannon's entropy entered textbooks almost immediately; the coding theorems organized two engineering disciplines (data compression and channel coding) that have run on them ever since; and the quantity itself migrated — into statistics (Kullback and Leibler's 1951 relative entropy, the "directed distance" between distributions), into biology (the information content of DNA and of neural spike trains), into linguistics (entropy of natural language), and eventually into machine learning, where the cross-entropy between a model's predictions and the data is the loss function being minimized, right now, in nearly every significant artificial intelligence training run on the planet. An engineering question about wires, answered with a logarithm, became the measuring system of the information age.

The classified companion deserves its own line in the record. Shannon's wartime work on cryptography at Bell Labs — published openly only in 1949 as "Communication Theory of Secrecy Systems" — had already forced on him the central insight that secrecy is a problem about information, not about hiding: a cipher's strength is a property of the key's uncertainty, and the analysis of a cryptosystem is the analysis of a noisy channel in which the enemy listens. The 1948 paper's fluency with the mathematics of messages was thus

rehearsed in classified rooms; the founding of information theory in a single year is partly an artifact of two parallel programs being declassified at once. Cryptography and communication theory have been twin disciplines ever since — one proving what noise cannot destroy, the other what ignorance cannot reveal.

The paper's own framing of its scope deserves the last word of the discovery story. Shannon's introduction disclaims, in a single paragraph, everything the theory does not do: semantic aspects of communication are "irrelevant to the engineering problem" — the message's meaning plays no role, only its statistical structure. The disclaim was strategic, not dismissive: by refusing to price meaning, the theory could price everything else exactly, and the refusal is why the arithmetic travels — into genetics, neuroscience, and machine learning, none of which existed as information sciences when the paragraph was written. The discovery of entropy of information is thus one kind of discovery wearing two faces: a solution, complete and final, to the engineering problem; and a permanent open question — the one the paragraph set aside — that the field has been circling ever since.

End of free sample. The full book is available at putrabooks.github.io

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Aira Astralis is a science writer specializing in astronomy and space exploration. Her work spans the full breadth of humanity's relationship with the cosmos -- from the earliest naked-eye observations to the engineering marvels of modern space missions.

Her books are built on a foundation of primary sources: peer-reviewed research, mission archives, and direct engagement with the scientific community. She writes for readers who want to understand not just what we know about the universe, but how we came to know it.

She believes that the most important frontier is not out there but in the gap between what scientists discover and what the public understands. Her books exist to close that gap.